Fundamental Domains for Arithmetic Quotients of Reductive Alebraic Groups

Takao Watanabe

Department of Mathematics Graduate School of Science The University of Osaka

July 2025

Introduction

The group of units of the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with integer entries is called the *n*-th integral unimodular group. This group acts naturally on the set of all *n*-variable positive definite real quadratic forms via linear transformations of variables. The description of a set of representatives for each orbit under this action is known as the reduction theory of positive definite real quadratic forms, for which different methods were proposed by Hermite, Korkine– Zolotarev, Minkowski, Voronoi, etc. ([16, Ch.2 Section v]). The reduction theory of positive definite real quadratic forms can be seen as the reduction theory of the general linear group defined over the field of rational numbers. More generally, the reduction theory of an algebraic group is a theory that describes a fundamental domain for the quotient of the group of real rational points by its arithmetic subgroup. When the base field is an algebraic number field, it is more natural to develop the reduction theory by replacing the group of real rational points with the adelic group.

A central role in Voronoi's reduction theory is played by the set of integercomponent shortest vectors. When *A* is a positive definite $n \times n$ real symmetric matrix, the arithmetical minimum of *A* is defined by the minimum of ${}^{t}xAx$ for non-zero $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. A vector $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ attaining the arithmetical minimum is called a shortest vector of *A*. If $\{x_i\}_i$ is the set of all shortest vectors of *A*, then the closed cone generated by $\{x_i{}^{t}x_i\}_i$ is called the Voronoi cone of *A*. If the Voronoi cone of *A* is full-dimensional in the space of $n \times n$ real symmetric matrices, then *A* is called a perfect form. The Voronoi fundamental domain is constructed from the Voronoi cones of finitely many perfect forms ([24, 3.1.8]). Ryshkov organized Voronoi's reduction theory from a good perspective by defining a convex polyhedron from the arithmetical minimum function on the cone of all positive definite real quadratic forms. This convex polyhedron is called the Ryshkov polyhedron. The vertices of the Ryshkov polyhedron correspond to perfect quadratic forms.

The concepts of the arithmetical minimum function, the set of shortest vectors, and the Ryshkov polyhedron can be generalized to the adelic group of an isotropic reductive algebraic group. This allowed the paper [28] to provide a method for constructing a fundamental domain for the arithmetic quotient in the adelic group of a reductive algebraic group defined over the field of rational numbers. Applying this construction to the aforementioned *n*-variable positive definite real quadratic forms for $n \ge 4$ yields a fundamental domain whose boundary is not a hyperplane. Therefore, we can construct a fundamental domain that differs from both the Voronoi fundamental domain and the Minkowski fundamental domain. Even when limited to this case, the concrete description of the boundary hypersurface is not simple. The motivation for constructing such a fundamental domain is related to the

Hermite–Rankin constant, which is defined as the maximum value of some arithmetical minimum function. In the paper [28], we proved that all extremal points of an arithmetical minimum function lie on the boundary hypersurface. Exploring an analogue of the Voronoi algorithm in this domain with a non-linear boundary is a future task.

This note is based on the graduate course "Topics in Number Theory II" taught during the fall and winter terms of the 2024 academic year. As preparation, Sections 1 to 5 provide an overview of the basic definitions and results of linear algebraic groups, based on [2], [4], [8], [17], [22], and others. Section 6 outlines the existence of maximal compact subgroups and the Iwasawa decomposition in reductive algebraic groups over local fields, and Section 7 outlines the definition and properties of adele groups. Section 8 introduces the theorems of Mostow–Tamagawa and Borel–Harish-Chandra concerning the compactness criterion of the arithmetic quotient, as well as Borel–Harish-Chandra's theorem stating that the Siegel set provides a fundamental set when the arithmetic quotient is not compact. Sections 9 to 11 introduce the results of the paper [28], including proofs.

References

- E. Bombieri and W. Gubler, Heights in Diophantine Geometry, Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [2] A. Borel, Linear Algebraic Groups, 2nd Ed., Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [3] A. Borel, Intorduction aux groupes arithmetiques, Hermann, 1969.
- [4] A. Borel and J. Tits, Groupes réductifs, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 27 (1965), 55 - 150.
- [5] A. Borel, Some finitenes properties of adele groups over number fields, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci. 16 (1963) 5 - 30.
- [6] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra, Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups, Ann. Math. (2) 75 (1962) 485 - 535.
- [7] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et Algébres de Lie, Chapitres 4,5 et 6, Masson, 1981.
- [8] C. Chevalley, Classification des groupes de Lie algébriques, Séminaire C. Chevalley 1956 - 58.
- [9] C. Chevalley, Sur certain groupes simples, Tohoku Math. J. (1955) 14 66.
- [10] C. Chevalley, Theory of Lie Groups, Princeton Univ. Press, 1946.
- [11] C. Chevalley and H. Tuan, On algebraic Lie algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 31 (1945) 195- 196.
- [12] M. Fujimori, A fundamental domain for the general linear group by means of successive minima, J. Lie Theory 33 (2023) 845 - 873.
- [13] D. Grenier, Fundamental domains for the general linear group, Pacific J. Math. 132 (1988) 293 - 317.
- [14] D. Grenier, On the shape of fundamental domains in $GL(n, \mathbf{R})/O(n)$, Pacific J. Math. 160 (1993) 53 66.
- [15] R. Godement, Domaines fondamentaux des groupes arithmétiques, Séminaire N. Bourbaki, exp. n° 257 (1964) 201-225.
- [16] P. M. Gruber and and C. G. Lekkerkerker, Geometry of Numbers, 2nd Ed., North-Holland, 1987.
- [17] J. E. Humphreys, Linear Algebraic Groups, Springer-Verlag, 1987.
- [18] J. E. Humphreys, Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990.
- [19] J. Jorgenson and S. Lang, Pos_n(R) and Eisenstein Series, Lecture Notes in Math. 1868, Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [20] J. Martinet, Perfect Lattices in Euclidean Spaces, Springer, 2003.
- [21] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk, Algebraic Groups and Number Theory, Academic Press, 1994.
- [22] I. Satake, Classification Theory of Semi-Simple Algebraic Groups, Marcel Dekker, 1971.

- [23] K. Sawatani, T. Watanabe and K. Okuda, A note on the Hermite–Rankin constant, Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux 22 (2010) 209 - 217.
- [24] A. Schürmann, Computational Geometry of Positive Definite Quadratic Forms, Polyhedral Reduction Theories, Algorithms, and Applications, University Lecture Series Vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009.
- [25] A. Terras, Harmonic Analysis on Symmetric Spaces and Applications II, Springer-Verlag, 1988.
- [26] J. Tits, Reductive groups over local fields, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 33, part 1,Amer.Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., (1979) 29 69.
- [27] B. L. van der Waerden, Gruppen von Linearen Transformationen, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 4:2, Springer, Berlin, 1935.
- [28] T. Watanabe, Ryshkov domains of reductive algebraic groups, Pacific J. Math. 270 (2014) 237 - 255.
- [29] T. Watanabe, Fundamental Hermite constants of linear algebraic groups, J. Math. Soc. Japan 55 (2003) 1061 - 1080.
- [30] L. T. Weng, Fundamental domains of arithmetic quotients of reductive groups over number fields, Pacific J. Math. 290 (2017) 139 168.

Contents

1	Affine Algebraic Groups	7
2	Reductive Algebraic Groups	15
3	Tori and Character Groups	19
4	Root Systems of Reductive Algebraic Groups	26
5	Bruhat Decomposition	33
6	Algebraic Groups over Local Fields	37
7	Adele Groups	42
8	Arithmetic Quotients of Adele Groups	49
9	Arithmetical Minimum Functions	52
10	Fundamental Domains for Arithmetic Quotients	59
11	Fundamental Domains in the Case of Class Number 1	66
Ap	pendix. Numerical Computations of Minimal k tuples	74

Notation

The symbols **Z**, **Q**, **R**, **C** denote, respectively, the ring of integers and the fields of rational numbers, real numbers, and complex numbers. For a commutative ring *R*, $M_{m,n}(R)$ represents the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in *R*, and $M_n(R)$ is an abbreviation for $M_{n,n}(R)$. The group of all invertible elements in $M_n(R)$ is denoted by $GL_n(R)$. The $n \times n$ identity matrix is denoted by E_n . In this note, sentences beginning with \blacktriangleright provide comments, remarks, or additional results for the preceding definition or theorem.

§1 Affine Algebraic Groups

Let **C** be the field of complex numbers. The following content remains the same if **C** is replaced by an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

1.1 Algebraic Sets

Let $C[X]_N := C[X_1, ..., X_N]$ be the polynomial ring in *N* variables with coefficients in **C**.

Definition Let *M* be a subset of $C[X]_N$. A subset

$$V(M) := \{ z = (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbf{C}^N \mid f(z) = 0 \quad (\forall f \in M) \}$$

of \mathbf{C}^N determined by *M* is called an **algebraic set**.

• Let V = V(M) be an algebraic set. Then

$$I(V) := \{ f \in \mathbf{C}[X]_N \mid f(z) = 0 \quad (\forall z \in V) \}$$

is an ideal of $\mathbb{C}[X]_N$ containing *M*. Since $\mathbb{C}[X]_N$ is a Noetherian ring, its ideals are finitely generated. Therefore, I(V) can be written as

$$I(V) = (f_1, \ldots, f_r), \qquad (^\exists f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \mathbf{C}[X]_N),$$

and

$$V = V(\{f_1, \ldots, f_r\})$$

holds.

▶ If $V_1 \subset \mathbf{C}^{N_1}$ and $V_2 \subset \mathbf{C}^{N_2}$ are algebraic sets with $I(V_1) \subset \mathbf{C}[X]_{N_1}$ and $I(V_2) \subset \mathbf{C}[Y]_{N_2}$, then $V_1 \times V_2$ is an algebraic set in $\mathbf{C}^{N_1+N_2}$ whose corresponding ideal is $I(V_1)\mathbf{C}[Y]_{N_2} + I(V_2)\mathbf{C}[X]_{N_1}$.

Definition Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be an algebraic set. *V* is called **irreducible** if whenever $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ for non-empty algebraic sets $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^N$, it implies $V_1 = V$ or $V_2 = V$. *V* is irreducible if and only if I(V) is a prime ideal.

▶ For any algebraic set *V*, there exist finitely many irreducible algebraic sets $V_j \subset V$ (j = 1, ..., k) such that $V = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_k$. This representation is unique up to order. Each V_j is called an irreducible component of *V*.

1.2 Field of Definition for Algebraic Sets

Let *F* be a subfield of **C**. Let $F[X]_N := F[X_1, ..., X_N]$ be the polynomial ring in *N* variables with coefficients in *F*.

Definition Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^N$ be an algebraic set. If the ideal I(V) can be generated by elements from $I(V) \cap F[X]_N$, i.e.,

$$\exists f_1, \dots, f_r \in I(V) \cap F[X]_N$$
 s.t. $I(V) = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i \mathbb{C}[X]_N$

then *V* is said to be **defined over** *F*, and *F* is called a **field of definition** for *V*. In this case, we set

$$V(F) := \{a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in F^N \mid f_i(a) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, r)\}.$$

The elements of V(F) are called the *F*-rational points of *V*.

▶ Let E/F be a field extension. *E* need not be contained in **C**. If *V* is defined over *F*, then $f_i \in F[X]_N$, so we can view $f_i \in E[X]_N$, and from this, the set of *E*-rational points of *V* can be defined as

$$V(E) := \{ a \in E^N \mid f_i(a) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, r) \}.$$

► Let $\overline{F} \subset \mathbf{C}$ be the algebraic closure of *F*, and let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ be the absolute Galois group. Γ acts naturally on \overline{F}^N . That is,

$$\sigma((a_1,\ldots,a_N))=(\sigma(a_1),\ldots,\sigma(a_N)) \qquad (\sigma\in\Gamma,\ (a_1,\ldots,a_N)\in\overline{F}^N).$$

N T

For a subset $U \subset \overline{F}^N$, set $\sigma(U) = \{\sigma(a) \mid a \in U\}$. *U* is said to be Γ -invariant if $\sigma(U) = U$ for all $\sigma \in \Gamma$. When *V* is defined over \overline{F} , the following equivalence holds.

V is defined over *F* \iff *V*(\overline{F}) is Γ-invariant.

1.3 Polynomial Functions

Let $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a subfield, and let $V \subset \mathbf{C}^N$ be an algebraic set defined over F.

Definition Let $f \in F[X]_N$. The restriction of f to V, denoted by $f|_V$, is called a **polynomial function on** V **defined over** F. The set of polynomial functions on V defined over F is denoted by F[V]. This is an F-algebra. When $F = \mathbf{C}$, $\mathbf{C}[V]$ is isomorphic with $\mathbf{C}[X]_N/I(V)$.

Definition Let $V_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_1}$ and $V_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_2}$ be algebraic sets both defined over *F*. A map $\varphi : V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$ represented as

$$\varphi(z) = (\varphi_1(z), \dots, \varphi_{N_2}(z))$$

is called a **polynomial map defined over** *F* (or *F*-morphism) if $\varphi_i \in F[V_1]$ for all *i*. (When $F = \mathbf{C}$ or \overline{F} , it is simply called a polynomial map or morphism.)

▶ For any ideal $J \subset \mathbf{C}[V]$, let

$$V_{I} := \{ z \in V \mid f(z) = 0 \ (\forall f \in J) \}.$$

The family of sets $\{V_J\}_J$ satisfies the axioms for closed sets, thus defining a topology on *V*. This topology is called the **Zariski topology**.

• According to Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, for any ideal $J \subset \mathbf{C}[V]$, we have

$$\{f \in \mathbf{C}[V] \mid f(z) = 0 \ (\forall z \in V_{\overline{I}})\} = \sqrt{\overline{I}},$$

where $\sqrt{J} := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[V] \mid \exists k > 0 \text{ s.t. } f^k \in J\}$. From this, the following follow:

- (1) For a proper ideal $J \subsetneq \mathbf{C}[V], V_I \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) For a point $z \in V$, $M_z := \{f \in \mathbb{C}[V] \mid f(z) = 0\}$ is a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{C}[V]$, and all maximal ideals of $\mathbb{C}[V]$ are of this form.
- (3) A singleton set $\{z\} \subset V$ is a closed set in the Zariski topology.

▶ Let $V_1 \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_1}$ and $V_2 \subset \mathbb{C}^{N_2}$ be algebraic sets both defined over *F*. If $\varphi : V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$ is a polynomial map defined over *F*, then for any $f \in F[V_2]$, we have $f \circ \varphi \in F[V_1]$. Setting $\varphi^*(f) = f \circ \varphi$ defines an *F*-algebra homomorphism $\varphi^* : F[V_2] \longrightarrow F[V_1]$.

▶ Let $f, g \in F[V]$ with g not vanishing identically on each irreducible component of V. Then f/g is called a **rational function on** V **defined over** F. A map $\varphi : V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$ is called a **rational map defined over** F if its component φ_i is a rational function on V_1 defined over F for all i.

1.4 Affine Algebraic Groups

Let $F \subset \mathbf{C}$ be a subfield.

Definition A subset $G \subset \mathbf{C}^N$ is called an **affine algebraic group defined over** *F* or an *F*-**algebraic group** if it satisfies the following three conditions:

- (1) G is a group.
- (2) *G* is an algebraic set defined over *F*.
- (3) The two maps $G \times G \longrightarrow G$: $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ and $G \longrightarrow G$: $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ are polynomial maps defined over *F*.

(When $F = \mathbf{C}$ or \overline{F} , it is simply called an algebraic group.)

► An *F*-algebraic group *G* that is irreducible as an algebraic set is called an **irreducible algebraic group** or a **connected algebraic group**. In general, if *G* is not irreducible, there is a unique irreducible component G° containing the identity element of *G*. G° is called the **identity component** (or connected component of the identity). G° itself is also an *F*-algebraic group. In this case, the other irreducible components can be represented as $g_1G^{\circ}, \ldots, g_rG^{\circ}$ for some $g_1, \ldots, g_r \in G$ ([2, 1.2]).

▶ Let *G* be an *F*-algebraic group. The set of *F*-rational points G(F) is a group. More generally, for any field extension E/F, the set of *E*-rational points G(E) is a group.

Examples

(1) $G = \mathbf{G}_a = \mathbf{C}$ as the additive group is a connected algebraic group. I(G) = (0).

(2) $G = \mathbf{G}_m = \mathbf{C}^{\times}$ as the multiplicative group is a connected algebraic group. As an algebraic set, it is viewed as a subset of \mathbf{C}^2 :

$$G = \{(z, z^{-1}) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \mid z \in \mathbf{C}^\times\} \subset \mathbf{C}^2,\$$

 $I(G) = (X_1 X_2 - 1).$

(3) $G = SL_n = \{z = (z_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \det z = 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$ is a connected algebraic group. $I(G) = (\det(X_{ij}) - 1)$. This is called the **special linear group**.

(4) $G = GL_n = \{z = (z_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid \det z \neq 0\}$ is a connected algebraic group. As an algebraic set, it is viewed as:

$$G = \{((z_{ij}), y) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \mid \det(z_{ij})y - 1 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n^2 + 1},$$

 $I(G) = (det(X_{ij})Y - 1)$. This is called the **general linear group**.

(5) The algebraic groups from (1) to (4) are all defined over **Q**. Therefore, for any field extension E/\mathbf{Q} (e.g., $E = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{Q}_p$, etc.), the set of *E*-rational points *G*(*E*) is a group.

Definition Let *G* be an *F*-algebraic group. A subset $H \subset G$ is called a **subgroup defined over** *F* or an *F*-**subgroup** of *G* if it satisfies:

- (1) H is a subgroup.
- (2) H is an algebraic subset of G defined over F.

(When $F = \mathbf{C}$ or \overline{F} , to distinguish from abstract subgroups, it is called a **closed subgroup**.) If *H* is furthermore a normal subgroup, it is called a normal *F*-subgroup.

▶ Condition (2) requires that *H* is a closed subset of *G* in the Zariski topology. In general, if *H* is a subgroup of *G*, its closure H^- in the Zariski topology is a closed subgroup of *G* ([2, 1.3]).

Examples

(1) SL_n is a closed subgroup of GL_n .

(2) For any *F*-algebraic group *G*, the identity component G° is a normal *F*-subgroup.

1.5 Homomorphisms of Algebraic Groups

Definition Let G_1 and G_2 be *F*-algebraic groups. A map $\varphi : G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ is called a **homomorphism defined over** *F* or an *F*-homomorphism if it satisfies:

- (1) φ is a group homomorphism.
- (2) φ is a polynomial map defined over *F*.

Furthermore, if φ is bijective and the inverse map φ^{-1} : $G_2 \longrightarrow G_1$ is also a polynomial map defined over *F*, then G_1 and G_2 are said to be *F*-isomorphic, and φ is called an *F*-isomorphism.

▶ For an *F*-homomorphism φ : $G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$, the following hold ([2, 1.4], [17, 7.4]).

- (1) Ker φ is a normal *F*-subgroup of *G*₁.
- (2) Im φ is an *F*-subgroup of *G*₂. Also, (Im φ)[°] = φ (*G*[°]) holds.

▶ If the characteristic of *F* is p > 0 and *F* has inseparable extensions, then Ker φ is generally not necessarily defined over *F*.

► For any field extension E/F, φ naturally induces a homomorphism of (abstract) groups $\varphi_E : G_1(E) \longrightarrow G_2(E)$. If φ is injective, then φ_E is also injective. However, even if φ is surjective, φ_E is not necessarily surjective.

Example The map φ : $\mathbf{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$: $\varphi(z) = z^2$ is a surjective **Q**-homomorphism, but the image of $\varphi_{\mathbf{R}}$: $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{R})$ is the set of positive real numbers, so it is not surjective.

- Theorem 1 (Embedding into GL_n [2, 1.10])

Let **G** be an affine algebraic group. Then there exist some **n** and a **C**-isomorphism φ from **G** into **GL**_n. If **G** is an **F**-algebraic group, φ can be chosen to be an *F*-isomorphism.

▶ It is clear from the definition that a closed subgroup of GL_n is an affine algebraic group. Therefore, affine algebraic groups and closed subgroups of GL_n can be considered identical.

Examples

(1) The following subgroups of GL_n are all connected **Q**-subgroups.

$$T_{n} := \{(z_{ij}) \in GL_{n} \mid z_{ij} = 0 \quad (\forall i, j, i \neq j)\},\$$

$$B_{n} := \{(z_{ij}) \in GL_{n} \mid z_{ij} = 0 \quad (\forall i, j, i > j)\},\$$

$$U_{n} := \{(z_{ij}) \in B_{n} \mid z_{11} = \ldots = z_{nn} = 1\},\$$

$$Z_{n} := \{(z_{ij}) \in T_{n} \mid z_{11} = \ldots = z_{nn}\}.$$

 T_n is the group of diagonal matrices and B_n is the group of upper triangular matrices. There are obvious **Q**-isomorphisms $Z_n \cong \mathbf{G}_m$ and $T_n \cong \mathbf{G}_m^n$.

(2) Let *G* be a finite group of order *n*. By the regular representation $G \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbf{C})$, *G* is regarded as a subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$. Since a finite subset of $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$ is Zariski closed, *G* is an algebraic group.

Definition Let G_1 and G_2 be *F*-algebraic groups. If there exists an *F*-homomorphism φ : $G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ such that Ker φ is a finite group and Im $\varphi = G_2$, then G_1 and G_2 are said to be *F*-**isogenous**, and φ is called an *F*-**isogeny**.

1.6 Quotient Groups

The quotient G/H of an algebraic group G by its closed subgroup H is generally not an affine algebraic set. Hereafter, let $\mathbf{P}^{N-1} = (\mathbf{C}^N - \{0\})/\mathbf{C}^{\times}$ denote the (N-1)-dimensional projective space, and let $\pi : \mathbf{C}^N - \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$ be the natural map. By considering homogeneous polynomials in the polynomial ring $\mathbf{C}[X]_N$, one can define algebraic sets in the projective space \mathbf{P}^{N-1} . That is, if an ideal $I \subset \mathbf{C}[X]_N$ is generated by homogeneous polynomials f_1, \ldots, f_r , then

$$PV(I) := \{\pi(v) \in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} \mid f_1(v) = \dots = f_r(v) = 0 \quad (v \in \mathbb{C}^N - \{0\})\}$$

is called an algebraic set in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} . The topology on \mathbb{P}^{N-1} for which the collection of all algebraic sets forms the closed sets is called the Zariski topology. A Zariski closed subset of \mathbb{P}^{N-1} is called a **projective variety**, and a Zariski open subset is called a **quasi-projective variety**. Let *G* be an *F*-algebraic group and *H* be an *F*-subgroup of *G*. Let $\mathbb{P}^{N-1}(F) = \pi(F^N - \{0\})$. If $\varphi : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_N$ is an *F*-homomorphism, then *G* acts on \mathbb{P}^{N-1} via

$$G \times \mathbf{P}^{N-1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}^{N-1} : g\pi(v) = \pi(\varphi(g)v) \qquad (g \in G, v \in \mathbf{C}^N - \{0\}).$$

- Theorem 2 (Existence of Representation [2, 5.1, 6.8]) –

Let *G* be an *F*-algebraic group and *H* be an *F*-subgroup of *G*. Then there exist some *n*, an *F*-homomorphism $\varphi : G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_n$, and $x_0 \in \operatorname{P}^{n-1}(F)$ such that

$$H=\{g\in G\mid gx_0=x_0\}$$

and φ induces a bijection $G/H \cong Gx_0 \subset P^{n-1}$. Furthermore, $Gx_0 \subset P^{n-1}$ is a quasi-projective variety, and its closure $\overline{Gx_0}$ with respect to the Zariski topology is a projective variety defined over F. (Henceforth, we identify G/H with Gx_0 .)

If *H* is a normal *F*-subgroup, the following stronger result holds.

- Theorem 3 (Existence of Quotient Group [2, 6.8]) -

Let *G* be an *F*-algebraic group and *H* be a normal *F*-subgroup of *G*. Then there exist an *F*-algebraic group G^{\natural} and a surjective *F*-homomorphism $\varphi : G \longrightarrow G^{\natural}$ satisfying the following:

(1) Ker $\varphi = H$.

(2) For any *F*-homomorphism $\psi : G \longrightarrow G'$ such that $H \subset \operatorname{Ker} \psi$, there exists a unique *F*-homomorphism $\psi' : G^{\natural} \longrightarrow G'$ such that $\psi = \psi' \circ \varphi$.

In particular, G^{\natural} is unique up to F-isomorphism. (Henceforth, we view $G/H = G^{\natural}$.)

Since φ is surjective, if *G* is connected, then *G*/*H* is also connected.

▶ φ induces a homomorphism of groups of *F*-rational points *G*(*F*) \longrightarrow (*G*/*H*)(*F*), but this is not necessarily surjective.

Examples

(1) The map $GL_n \longrightarrow G_m$: $g \mapsto \det g$ is a surjective **Q**-homomorphism. Since Ker (det) = SL_n, there is a **Q**-isomorphism $GL_n/SL_n \cong G_m$.

(2) U_n is a normal **Q**-subgroup of B_n , and there is a **Q**-isomorphism $B_n/U_n \cong T_n$.

(3) Z_n is a normal **Q**-subgroup of GL_n . The quotient group GL_n/Z_n is called the **projective general linear group** and is denoted by PGL_n . Let

$$\pi: \operatorname{GL}_n \longrightarrow \operatorname{PGL}_n$$

be the natural **Q**-homomorphism. Let π_1 be the restriction of π to SL_{*n*}. Then

$$\operatorname{Ker} \pi_1 = \operatorname{Z}_n \cap \operatorname{SL}_n = \{ z E_n \mid z \in \mathbf{C}, \ z^n = 1 \}$$

is a finite group, and π_1 is surjective. Therefore, SL_n and PGL_n are **Q**-isogenous.

§2 Reductive Algebraic Groups

Fix a subfield $F \subset \mathbf{C}$. In the following, any algebraic set *V* is assumed to be defined over the algebraic closure \overline{F} of *F*, and we identify *V* with $V(\overline{F})$.

2.1 Solvable Algebraic Groups

For an abstract group *G*, let D(G) denote its commutator subgroup. That is, D(G) is the subgroup of *G* generated by $\{xyx^{-1}y^{-1} \mid x, y \in G\}$. Inductively, define

 $D^k(G) := D(D^{k-1}(G))$ (k = 2, 3, ...).

– Theorem 4 ([2, 2.3]) —

If **G** is a connected **F**-algebraic group, then D(G) is a connected **F**-subgroup. Thus, for any k, $D^k(G)$ is a connected **F**-subgroup.

Definition A connected algebraic group *G* is called a **solvable algebraic** group if it satisfies $D^k(G) = \{e\}$ for some $k \ge 1$.

Example The **Q**-subgroup B_n of upper triangular matrices is a solvable **Q**-subgroup of GL_n .

- Theorem 5 (Lie–Kolchin Theorem [2, 10.5]) If G is a connected solvable closed subgroup of GL_n , then there exists $g \in GL_n$ such that $gGg^{-1} \subset B_n$.

► From Theorem 1 and Theorem 5, the following equivalence holds:

G is a connected solvable algebraic group

 \iff There exists an injective \overline{F} -homomorphism $G \hookrightarrow B_n$ for some n.

 B_n has a normal closed subgroup U_n .

Definition A connected algebraic group *G* is called a **unipotent algebraic** group if there exists an injective \overline{F} -homomorphism $G \hookrightarrow U_n$ for some *n*.

2.2 Parabolic Subgroups

Definition A maximal connected solvable closed subgroup of a connected algebraic group *G* is called a **Borel subgroup**. A closed subgroup of *G* containing a Borel subgroup is called a **parabolic subgroup**.

- Theorem 6 ([2, 11.1, 11.2, 11.16])

Let **G** be a connected algebraic group.

- (1) A Borel subgroups of G exists uniquely up to conjugacy by elements of G.
- (2) P is a parabolic subgroup if and only if G/P is a projective variety.
- (3) Any parabolic subgroup P is connected. The normalizer $N_G(P)$ of P as an abstract group coincides with P.

Example B_n is a Borel subgroup of GL_n . Any Borel subgroup of GL_n is conjugate to B_n . For r = 1, ..., n - 1, let

$$Q_{n,r} = Q_r := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_n \mid a \in \operatorname{GL}_r, \ d \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-r}, \ b \in \operatorname{M}_{r,n-r}(\overline{F}) \right\}.$$

Since Q_r contains B_n , it is a parabolic subgroup. Q_r is called a standard maximal parabolic subgroup. Let e_1, \ldots, e_n be the standard basis of \overline{F}^n , and consider the *r*-dimensional subspace

$$V_r := \overline{F} e_1 + \dots + \overline{F} e_r.$$

Then

$$Q_r = \{g \in GL_n \mid g(V_r) = V_r\}$$

holds. Therefore,

 GL_n/Q_r = the set of *r*-dimensional subspaces of \overline{F}^n .

This is called the **Grassmann variety**. In particular, $GL_n/Q_1 = \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$. B_n and Q_r are all defined over \mathbf{Q} .

► Let *G* be a connected *F*-algebraic group. *G* always has a Borel subgroup defined over \overline{F} , but it does not necessarily have a Borel subgroup defined over *F*.

2.3 Semisimple Groups and Reductive Groups

Definition Let *G* be a connected algebraic group. The maximal connected solvable normal closed subgroup of *G* is called the **radical** of *G* and is denoted by *R*(*G*). The maximal connected unipotent normal closed subgroup of *G* is called the **unipotent radical** of *G* and is denoted by $R_u(G)$. $R_u(G) \subset R(G)$ and $R_u(G) = R_u(R(G))$ hold.

► Let *B* be a Borel subgroup of *G*. The identity component of $\bigcap_{g \in G} gBg^{-1}$ is *R*(*G*).

Definition A connected algebraic group *G* is called **semisimple** if it satisfies $R(G) = \{e\}$, and **reductive** if it satisfies $R_u(G) = \{e\}$.

► For any connected algebraic group G, G/R(G) is connected semisimple, and $G/R_u(G)$ is connected reductive.

Theorem 7 (Levi Decomposition [2, 11.22, 14.2]) -

Let G be a connected algebraic group.

- (1) There exists a maximal reductive closed subgroup H of G, and G is the semidirect product of H and $R_u(G)$. (This semidirect product is called the Levi decomposition of G, and H is called a Levi subgroup.)
- (2) If G is reductive, then R(G) is equal to the identity component C^o_G of the center C_G of G. If D(G) is the commutator subgroup of G, then the map C^o_G×D(G) → G : (c, h) → ch is an isogeny. In particular, C^o_G∩D(G) is a finite group, and D(G) is semisimple.

• Theorem 7(1) does not hold in general for fields of characteristic p > 0.

▶ If *G* is a connected reductive *F*-algebraic group, then the center C_G is an *F*-subgroup ([2, 18.2]). Therefore, C_G° is also an *F*-subgroup. In fact, C_G° becomes an *F*-torus ([2, 11.21]).

Examples

(1) $R(GL_n) = Z_n$. Thus GL_n is reductive. Since $PGL_n = GL_n/R(GL_n)$, PGL_n is semisimple. SL_n , which is isogenous to PGL_n , is also semisimple.

(2) Let $Q_{n,r}$ be a standard maximal parabolic subgroup of GL_n . Let

$$\mathbf{M}_{n,r} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \mathrm{GL}_r, \ d \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-r} \right\},$$
$$\mathbf{U}_{n,r} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} E_r & b \\ 0 & E_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbf{M}_{r,n-r}(\overline{F}) \right\}.$$

Then $U_{n,r}$ is a normal closed subgroup of $Q_{n,r}$, and $Q_{n,r}$ is the semidirect product of $U_{n,r}$ and $M_{n,r}$. $M_{n,r}$ is a Levi subgroup of $Q_{n,r}$. We have $R_u(Q_{n,r}) = U_{n,r}$ and $R(Q_{n,r}) = R(M_{n,r})U_{n,r}$.

- (3) Since B_n is itself solvable, $R(B_n) = B_n$. We have $R_u(B_n) = U_n$.
- (4) Let $D(GL_n)$ be the commutator subgroup of GL_n . From Theorem 7(2),

$$GL_n = Z_n D(GL_n) = \{zh \mid z \in Z_n, h \in D(GL_n)\}.$$

In particular, $GL_n = T_n D(GL_n)$. Clearly $D(GL_n) \subset SL_n$. Therefore, if we set $T_n^1 = T_n \cap SL_n$, then

$$SL_n = T_n^1 D(GL_n)$$

holds. Now, for the case of 2x2 matrices,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ab^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1}b \end{pmatrix} \in D(\mathrm{GL}_2).$$

Based on this, it is easy to show that $T_n^1 \subset D(GL_n)$ holds for any n. Thus $D(GL_n) = SL_n$.

(5) If *G* is connected semisimple, then $R(G) = \{e\}$, so Theorem 7(2) implies G = D(G).

§3 Tori and Character Groups

3.1 Tori

Definition An algebraic group *H* is called a **torus** if it is \overline{F} -isomorphic to \mathbf{G}_m^k for some *k*. If *H* is a torus defined over *F*, then *H* is called an *F*-**torus**. Furthermore, if the isomorphism $H \cong \mathbf{G}_m^k$ can be taken to be an *F*-isomorphism, then *H* is called an *F*-**split torus**.

▶ Any torus is an \overline{F} -split torus, but for a general F, there exist F-tori that are not F-split tori.

Definition Let *G* be an *F*-algebraic group. A maximal one among tori contained in *G* is called a **maximal torus** of *G*. A maximal one among *F*-split tori contained in *G* is called a **maximal** *F*-split torus.

- Theorem 8 ([2, 11.3, 18.2, 20.9]) -

Let G be a connected F-algebraic group.

- (1) The maximal tori in G are conjugate by elements of G. Also, there exists a maximal torus defined over F. (Thus, a maximal F-torus is a maximal torus.)
- (2) If T is a maximal torus of G, then there exists a Borel subgroup B of G containing T such that T is a maximal torus of B.
- (3) If G is a connected reductive F-algebraic group, the maximal F-split tori in G are conjugate by elements of G(F). Also, there exists a maximal F-torus containing a maximal F-split torus.

Definition Let *G* be a connected reductive *F*-algebraic group. Let $S \cong \mathbf{G}_m^k$ be a maximal *F*-split torus of *G*, and let $T \cong \mathbf{G}_m^\ell$ be a maximal torus of *G*. *k* is called the *F*-rank of *G*, and ℓ is called the **absolute rank** of *G*. In particular, if $k = \ell$, *G* is called an *F*-split group. If k = 0, *G* is called *F*-anisotropic, and if $k \ge 1$, *G* is called *F*-isotropic.

Examples

(1) T_n is a maximal torus of GL_n , and also a maximal **Q**-split torus. The **Q**-rank of GL_n is *n*. GL_n is a **Q**-split group.

(2) SL_n is a **Q**-split group. Its **Q**-rank is n - 1.

(3) $SO_{E_n} = \{g \in SL_n \mid {}^tgE_ng = E_n\}$ is a **Q**-subgroup of SL_n . If $n \ge 3$, it is a connected semisimple group with absolute rank [n/2] and **Q**-rank 0.

(4) Let $F = \mathbf{R}$ and

$$H := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbf{C}, \ a^2 + b^2 = 1 \right\}.$$

Let $T_2^1 = T_2 \cap SL_2$. Define the map $f : H \longrightarrow T_2^1$ by

$$f(g) = hgh^{-1}$$
 where $h = \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{-1} & -1 \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Since

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\-b&a\end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix}a+b\sqrt{-1}&0\\0&a-b\sqrt{-1}\end{pmatrix},$$

f is a **C**-isomorphism. Therefore *H* is an **R**-torus. The group of **R**-rational points $H(\mathbf{R})$ is the unit circle, which is a compact group under the usual Euclidean topology. Consequently, there are no non-trivial **R**-homomorphisms from **G**_{*m*} to *H*. Thus *H* is an **R**-anisotropic torus.

3.2 Character Groups

Definition Let *G* be an algebraic group. An \overline{F} -homomorphism $\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$ is called a **rational character** of *G*. The set of all rational characters of *G* is denoted by $X^*(G)$ and is called the **rational character group**. For $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in X^*(G)$, defining

$$(\chi_1 + \chi_2)(g) := \chi_1(g)\chi_2(g), \qquad (n\chi_1)(g) := \chi_1(g)^n \qquad (g \in G, \ n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

makes $X^*(G)$ into a **Z**-module.

Definition Let *G* be an *F*-algebraic group. A rational character $\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$ that is an *F*-homomorphism is called an *F*-rational character. Let

 $X^*(G)_F := \{\chi \in X^*(G) \mid \chi \text{ is an } F \text{-rational character}\}.$

 $X^*(G)_F$ is a submodule of $X^*(G)$.

► A rational character of *G* is a polynomial function. Thus $X^*(G)_F$ is a subset of *F*[*G*]. A $\chi \in X^*(G)_F$ defines, for any field extension *E*/*F*, a group homomorphism

$$\chi_E : G(E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(E) = E^{\times}.$$

► Let Γ = Gal(\overline{F}/F) be the absolute Galois group. For $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $\chi \in X^*(G)$, define ${}^{\sigma}\chi \in X^*(G)$ by

$${}^{\sigma}\chi(g):=\sigma(\chi(\sigma^{-1}(g)))\qquad (g\in G=G(\overline{F})).$$

This defines an action of Γ on $X^*(G)$:

$$\Gamma \times X^*(G) \longrightarrow X^*(G) : (\sigma, \chi) \mapsto {}^{\sigma}\chi.$$

Then, if we set

$$X^*(G)^{\Gamma} := \{ \chi \in X^*(G) \mid {}^{\sigma}\chi = \chi \quad ({}^{\forall}\sigma \in \Gamma) \},$$

we have

$$X^*(G)_F = X^*(G)^\Gamma.$$

▶ If φ : $G_1 \longrightarrow G_2$ is an *F*-homomorphism, then φ induces a module homomorphism

$$\varphi^* : X^*(G_2)_F \longrightarrow X^*(G_1)_F : \varphi^*(\chi) = \chi \circ \varphi$$

If φ is surjective, then φ^* is injective. In particular, an exact sequence of *F*-algebraic groups under *F*-homomorphisms

$$1 \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\psi} G_1 \xrightarrow{\varphi} G_2 \longrightarrow 1$$

gives the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow X^*(G_2)_F \xrightarrow{\phi^*} X^*(G_1)_F \xrightarrow{\psi^*} X^*(H)_F$$

where ψ^* is not necessarily surjective.

Examples

(1) For $T_n \cong \mathbf{G}_{m'}^n$ let a diagonal matrix be

$$g = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & a_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define

$$\epsilon_i : T \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m : \epsilon_i(g) = a_i \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Then $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n$ form a **Z**-basis of X^{*}(T_n). Thus

$$X^*(T_n) = \mathbf{Z}\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{Z}\epsilon_n \cong \mathbf{Z}^n.$$

Clearly ϵ_i are **Q**-rational characters, so $X^*(T_n) = X^*(T_n)_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

(2) Similarly to (1), if *T* is an *F*-split torus with $T \cong \mathbf{G}_m^k$, then $X^*(T) = X^*(T)_F \cong \mathbf{Z}^k$.

(3) Since there are no polynomial homomorphisms from G_a to G_m , $X^*(G_a) = 0$. From this, it follows that if *G* is a unipotent group, then $X^*(G) = 0$. In particular, $X^*(U_n) = 0$. (4) Since $B_n/U_n \cong T_n$, taking the exact sequence yields

$$0 \longrightarrow X^*(\mathbf{T}_n) \longrightarrow X^*(\mathbf{B}_n) \longrightarrow X^*(\mathbf{U}_n) = 0.$$

So, $X^*(B_n) \cong X^*(T_n)$ and $X^*(B_n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong X^*(T_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

(5) Any character $\chi \in X^*(GL_n)$ must satisfy $\chi(ghg^{-1}h^{-1}) = 1$, so its restriction to $D(GL_n) = SL_n$ is trivial. Therefore, from the exact sequence induced by det : $GL_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$:

 $1\longrightarrow \operatorname{SL}_n\longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_n\longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m\longrightarrow 1,$

we get

$$X^*(\mathbf{G}_m) \cong X^*(\mathrm{GL}_n) = X^*(\mathrm{GL}_n)_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Z} \det.$$

(6) Let *G* be a connected algebraic group with Levi decomposition $G = HR_u(G)$. Since $H \cong G/R_u(G)$ and $X^*(R_u(G)) = 0$ from (3), the restriction map $\chi \mapsto \chi|_H$ gives an isomorphism $X^*(G) \cong X^*(H)$. From Theorem 7(2), $H = C_H^{\circ}D(H)$. For $\chi \in X^*(H)$, we have $\chi|_{D(H)} = 1$, so the restriction $\chi \mapsto \chi|_{C_H^{\circ}}$ gives an injection $X^*(H) \hookrightarrow X^*(C_H^{\circ})$. Since $C_H^{\circ} = R(H)$ is a torus ([2, 11.21]), if $C_H^{\circ} \cong \mathbf{G}_m^k$, then $X^*(C_H^{\circ}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^k$. $X^*(G)$ is isomorphic to a submodule of \mathbf{Z}^k , thus $X^*(G)$ is also a finitely generated free abelian group. Also, if *G* is a connected semisimple algebraic group, then D(G) = G, so $X^*(G) = 0$.

3.3 Character Groups of Tori

The following holds for character groups of tori.

✓ Theorem 9 ([2, 8.2, 8.5]) -

Let T be an F-torus.

- (1) *T* is an *F*-split torus if and only if $X^*(T) = X^*(T)_F$.
- (2) *T* is *F*-anisotropic if and only if $X^*(T)_F = 0$.
- (3) If $T' \subset T$ is a connected F-subgroup, then T' and T/T' are both F-tori, and the following is an exact sequence.

 $0 \longrightarrow X^*(T/T')_F \longrightarrow X^*(T)_F \longrightarrow X^*(T')_F \longrightarrow 0$

If T is an F-split torus, then T' and T/T' are also F-split tori.

(4) Let T_s be a maximal F-split torus in T and T_a be a maximal F-anisotropic torus in T. Then the product map $T_s \times T_a \longrightarrow T$ is an F-isogeny. Furthermore, $X^*(T)_F = X^*(T_s)_F$ holds.

 \blacktriangleright *T_a* in Theorem 9 (4) is given as follows:

$$T_a = \bigcap_{\chi \in X^*(T)_F} \operatorname{Ker} \chi \,.$$

For T_s , see **3.5** below.

3.4 The Central Maximal F-split Torus of a Reductive Group

Let *G* be a connected reductive *F*-algebraic group. The maximal *F*-split torus contained in the center C_G of *G* is denoted by Z_G . Z_G is called the **central maximal** *F*-split torus of *G*.

► Since *G* is reductive, $R(G) = C_G^\circ$ is a torus. Thus, in the notation of Theorem 9(4), $Z_G = R(G)_s$, and $X^*(R(G))_F = X^*(Z_G)_F = X^*(Z_G)$ holds.

- Proposition 10 -

Let G be a connected reductive F-algebraic group. Then $X^*(G/Z_G)_F = 0$, and the restriction map

$$X^*(G)_F \longrightarrow X^*(Z_G)_F : \chi \mapsto \chi|_{Z_G}$$

is injective. $X^*(G)_F$ is a finite index submodule of $X^*(Z_G)_F$.

Proof. Let $R(G)_a$ be the maximal *F*-anisotropic torus of R(G). By Theorem 9(2),(4),

$$X^*(R(G)/Z_G)_F \cong X^*(R(G)_a/(R(G)_a \cap Z_G))_F \subset X^*(R(G)_a)_F = 0.$$

Since G/R(G) is semisimple, $X^*(G/R(G))_F = 0$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X^*(G/R(G))_F \longrightarrow X^*(G/Z_G)_F \longrightarrow X^*(R(G)/Z_G)_F,$$

we have $X^*(G/Z_G)_F = 0$. The restriction map gives an injection $X^*(G)_F \hookrightarrow X^*(Z_G)_F$. From the exact sequence

 $1 \longrightarrow D(G) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/D(G) \longrightarrow 1$

and G/D(G) is isomorphic to $R(G)/(D(G) \cap R(G))$, we have

$$X^*(G)_F \cong X^*(R(G)/(D(G) \cap R(G)))_F.$$

Since $D(G) \cap R(G)$ is a finite group, $X^*(D(G) \cap R(G))_F$ is a finite abelian group. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X^*(R(G)/(D(G) \cap R(G)))_F \longrightarrow X^*(R(G))_F \longrightarrow X^*(D(G) \cap R(G))_F$$

and $X^*(R(G))_F = X^*(Z_G)_F$, the index $[X^*(Z_G)_F : X^*(G)_F]$ is finite.

Example When $G = GL_n$, $Z_G = Z_n$ and we have

$$X^*(GL_n)_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Z} \cdot \det, \qquad X^*(Z_n)_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Z}\epsilon_1,$$

where $\epsilon_1(z) = a$ for $z = \text{diag}(a, \dots, a) \in Z_n$. Since det $|_{Z_n} = n\epsilon_1$, we have

$$[X^*(Z_n)_{\mathbf{Q}}: X^*(\mathrm{GL}_n)_{\mathbf{Q}}] = n.$$

The image of $X^*(GL_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ under restriction is $n\mathbb{Z}\epsilon_1 \subset \mathbb{Z}\epsilon_1$.

3.5 Cocharacter Groups of Tori

Let T be an F-torus. An F-homomorphism

$$\xi : \mathbf{G}_m \longrightarrow T$$

is called an *F*-cocharacter of *T*. The set of all *F*-cocharacters is denoted by $X_*(T)_F$. Addition is defined on $X_*(T)_F$ by

$$(\xi_1 + \xi_2)(x) := \xi_1(x)\xi_2(x)$$
 $(\xi_1, \xi_2 \in X_*(T)_F, x \in \mathbf{G}_m)$

making $X_*(T)_F$ into a **Z**-module. The composition of $\chi \in X^*(T)_F$ and $\xi \in X_*(T)_F$

$$\chi \circ \xi : \mathbf{G}_m \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$$

is an *F*-character of \mathbf{G}_m . Let ϵ_1 be the identity map of \mathbf{G}_m . Then $X^*(\mathbf{G}_m)_F = \mathbf{Z}\epsilon_1$, so for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\chi \circ \xi = k\epsilon_1.$$

If we set

$$\langle \chi, \xi \rangle = k,$$

this defines a pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X^*(T)_F \times X_*(T)_F \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

This pairing is non-degenerate, and $X^*(T)_F$ and $X_*(T)_F$ are dual modules to each other. That is,

$$X_*(T)_F \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^*(T)_F, \mathbb{Z})$$

holds. From this, $X_*(T)_F$ is also a free **Z**-module with the same rank as $X^*(T)_F$.

• A maximal *F*-split torus T_s of *T* is given as follows:

$$T_s$$
 = the closed group generated by $\bigcup_{\xi \in X_*(T)_F} \operatorname{Im} \xi$

► Let $X_{\mathbf{R}} = X^*(T)_F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$ and $Y_{\mathbf{R}} = X_*(T)_F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$. By extending the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ **R**-linearly, a non-degenerate **R**-bilinear map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X_{\mathbf{R}} \times Y_{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

can be defined. Separately, given an inner product

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : X_{\mathbf{R}} \times X_{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

on $X_{\mathbf{R}}$, a natural **R**-linear map

$$X_{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow Y_{\mathbf{R}} : v \mapsto v^*$$

is defined by the relation

$$\langle x, v^* \rangle = (x, v) \qquad (\forall x \in X_{\mathbf{R}}).$$

In this sense, $Y_{\mathbf{R}}$ is often identified with $X_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Example By Example (1) in **3.2**, we have

$$X^*(T_n)_F = \mathbf{Z}\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{Z}\epsilon_n$$
.

Take the inner product of $X^*(T_n)_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ such that $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n$ form an orthonomal basis. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, define $\xi_i \in X_*(T_n)_F$ by

$$\xi_i(a) := \operatorname{diag}(1, \ldots, 1, \overset{i}{a}, 1, \ldots, 1) \qquad (a \in \mathbf{G}_m).$$

Then ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_n is a dual basis of $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n$, and hence $\epsilon_i^* = \xi_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let $\alpha := \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}$. Then $\alpha^* = \xi_i - \xi_{i+1}$, i.e.,

$$\alpha^*(a) = \operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \overset{i}{a}, a^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1)$$

As we shall see in **4.7**, α is a simple root of A_{n-1} type root system and α^* is identified with the coroot α^{\vee} defined in **4.6**

§4 Root Systems of Reductive Algebraic Groups

4.1 Reflections

Definition Let *V* be a finite-dimensional real vector space. For $0 \neq a \in V$, a linear map $f : V \longrightarrow V$ is called a **reflection** with respect to *a* if it satisfies:

- (1) f(a) = -a.
- (2) There exists a hyperplane $W \subset V$ such that f(w) = w for all $w \in W$.

► A reflection is a linear isomorphism. If E_V is the identity map on V, then $f \circ f = E_V$. From this, we can choose an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) on V that is f-invariant, i.e.,

$$(f(u), f(v)) = (u, v) \qquad (u, v \in V).$$

With respect to this inner product, *W* becomes the orthogonal complement of *a*. Furthermore, *f* can be expressed as

$$f(v) = v - 2\frac{(v,a)}{(a,a)}a \qquad (\forall v \in V).$$

4.2 Root Systems

Let X be a free **Z**-module of finite rank, and let $X_{\mathbf{R}} := X \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$ be the real vector space.

Definition For a subset $\Phi \subset X$, (X, Φ) (or simply Φ) is called an **extended root system** (or generalized root system) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) Φ is a finite set, $0 \notin \Phi$, and Φ contains a basis of $X_{\mathbf{R}}$.
- (2) If $\alpha \in \Phi$, then $-\alpha \in \Phi$.
- (3) For each $\alpha \in \Phi$, the reflection $s_{\alpha} : X_{\mathbf{R}} \longrightarrow X_{\mathbf{R}}$ with respect to α satisfies

$$s_{\alpha}(\Phi) = \Phi, \qquad s_{\alpha}(\chi) - \chi \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha \quad (\forall \chi \in X).$$

• If Φ satisfies the stronger condition than (2):

(2') If $\alpha \in \Phi$, then $\mathbf{Q}\alpha \cap \Phi = \{\pm \alpha\}$,

then Φ is called a **root system**. In an extended root system, we have

$$\alpha \in \Phi \implies \mathbf{Q}\alpha \cap \Phi \subset \left\{ \pm \alpha, \pm \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \pm 2\alpha \right\}.$$

• If Φ is an extended root system, let

$$\Phi_{\rm nd} = \{ \alpha \in \Phi \mid \alpha/2 \notin \Phi \}.$$

Then Φ_{nd} is a root system. Φ_{nd} is called the **reduced root system** of Φ .

4.3 Weyl Groups

Let (X, Φ) be an extended root system. Let $GL(X_R)$ denote the group of all linear automorphisms of X_R .

Definition The subgroup of $GL(X_{\mathbf{R}})$ generated by $\{s_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi\}$ is called the **Weyl group** of Φ and is denoted by $W(\Phi)$.

▶ By condition (3), for any $w \in W(\Phi)$, we have $w(\Phi) = \Phi$. Thus, w induces a permutation of the elements of Φ . Let Sym(Φ) be the permutation group of Φ . Then there is a homomorphism $W(\Phi) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(\Phi)$. Since w is a linear map and Φ contains a basis of $X_{\mathbf{R}}$, this homomorphism is injective. Therefore, $W(\Phi)$ is a finite group.

► From condition (3), for any $w \in W(\Phi)$, we have $w(X) \subset X$. Thus, w is an automorphism of X. If we let $GL(X) = \{f \in GL(X_{\mathbb{R}}) \mid f(X) = X\}$, then $W(\Phi) \subset GL(X)$.

► Since $W(\Phi)$ is a finite group, $X_{\mathbf{R}}$ has a $W(\Phi)$ -invariant inner product. That is, there exists an inner product such that

$$(w(u), w(v)) = (u, v) \qquad (^{\forall}u, v \in X_{\mathbf{R}}, \ ^{\forall}w \in W(\Phi)).$$

Then s_{α} can be written as

$$s_{\alpha}(v) = v - 2 \frac{(v, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \alpha.$$

From condition (3),

$$c_{\alpha,\beta} := 2 \frac{(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (^{$\forall \alpha, \beta \in \Phi$}).

These $c_{\alpha,\beta}$ are called the **Cartan integers**.

Definition Consider $X_{\mathbf{R}}$ as a Euclidean space with the inner product given above. Since Ker s_{α} is a hyperplane in $X_{\mathbf{R}}$,

$$X_{\mathbf{R}} - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} \operatorname{Ker} s_{\alpha}$$

is an open set. Each connected component of this open set is called a **Weyl** chamber.

► A Weyl chamber *C* is an open cone. Let C^- be the closure of *C* in $X_{\mathbf{R}}$. Then

$$X_{\mathbf{R}} = \bigcup_{w \in W(\Phi)} w(C^{-}), \qquad C \cap wC = \emptyset \quad (^{\forall}w \in W(\Phi), \ w \neq e)$$

holds ([7, Ch.VI, n°1.5]).

4.4 **Positive Roots and Simple Roots**

Definition Let Φ be an extended root system, and fix a Weyl chamber *C*. An element $\alpha \in \Phi$ is called a **positive root** (with respect to *C*) if

$$(v, \alpha) > 0$$
 $(\forall v \in C)$

and is denoted by $\alpha > 0$. The set of all positive roots is denoted by $\Phi^+(C)$ or simply Φ^+ . Furthermore, a positive root α is called a **simple root** if it cannot be expressed as the sum of two positive roots. The set of all simple roots is denoted by $\Delta(C)$ or simply Δ , and is called a **fundamental system** (or base) of Φ .

- Let $\Delta = \Delta(C) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. The following hold ([7, Ch.VI, n°1.5]).
 - (1) Let $\Phi^- := \{-\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+\}$. Then $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$.
 - (2) Δ is a basis of X_{**R**}.
 - (3) Any positive root $\beta \in \Phi^+$ can be expressed as

$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i \alpha_i \qquad (0 \le k_i \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

- (4) $C = \{v \in X_{\mathbf{R}} \mid (v, \alpha_i) > 0 \ (i = 1, ..., n)\}$ holds.
- (5) *W* is generated by $\{s_{\alpha_i} | i = 1, ..., n\}$.

► The fundamental system $\Delta \subset \Phi^+$ is uniquely determined by the set of positive roots Φ^+ . Conversely, the set of positive roots is determined by the fundamental system Δ via property (3) above.

4.5 Irreducible Root Systems

Definition Let (X, Φ) be an extended root system. Φ is called **reducible** if there exist non-empty subsets $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \subset \Phi$ such that

 $\Phi = \Phi_1 \cup \Phi_2$ and with respect to the inner product $\Phi_1 \perp \Phi_2$.

If Φ is not reducible, it is called **irreducible**.

► The classification of irreducible root systems is well-known. They are classified by connected Dynkin diagrams into types $A_n (n \ge 1)$, $B_n (n \ge 2)$, $C_n (n \ge 2)$, $D_n (n \ge 4)$, G_2 , F_4 , E_6 , E_7 , E_8 .

► There is only one series of irreducible extended root systems Φ that are not root systems, called type $BC_n(n \ge 2)$. In this case, the reduced root system Φ_{nd} is of type C_n .

4.6 Lattices of a Root System

Let (X, Φ) be an extended root system, and let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a fundamental system. Let $X_{\mathbf{Q}} := X \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q}$.

Definition For each $\alpha \in \Phi$, the element $\alpha^{\vee} := 2\alpha/(\alpha, \alpha) \in X_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is called a **coroot**. The set of all coroots is denoted by Φ^{\vee} .

► The dual lattice X^{\vee} of X in $X_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is defined as

$$X^{\vee} = \{ \lambda \in X_{\mathbf{Q}} \mid (\lambda, \chi) \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (^{\forall} \chi \in X) \}.$$

Then $\Phi^{\vee} \subset X^{\vee}$, and (X^{\vee}, Φ^{\vee}) is an extended root system. This is called the **dual root system** of (X, Φ) .

Definition The **Z**-module generated by Φ within X_Q is called the **root lattice** and is denoted by $(\Phi)_Z$. Let $(\Phi^{\vee})_Z$ be the **Z**-module generated by Φ^{\vee} . The dual lattice of $(\Phi^{\vee})_Z$ in X_Q is denoted by $(\Phi^{\vee})_Z^{\vee}$. That is,

$$(\Phi^{\vee})_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\vee} = \{\lambda \in X_{\mathbf{Q}} \mid (\lambda, \alpha^{\vee}) \in \mathbf{Z} \quad ({}^{\forall} \alpha^{\vee} \in \Phi^{\vee})\}$$

 $(\Phi^{\vee})_{\mathbf{7}}^{\vee}$ is called the weight lattice.

► From condition (3) in the definition of an extended root system, we have the inclusion relation

$$(\Phi)_{\mathbf{Z}} \subset \mathbf{X} \subset (\Phi^{\vee})_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\vee} \subset \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Q}}.$$

Let Y be a free module such that $(\Phi)_Z \subset Y \subset (\Phi^{\vee})_Z^{\vee}$. Then (Y, Φ) is an extended root system.

4.7 Root Systems of a Reductive Algebraic Group

Consider a non-commutative connected reductive *F*-algebraic group *G*. Fix a maximal *F*-split torus *T* of *G* and a maximal *F*-torus T_{max} containing *T*.

Definition A rational character $\alpha \in X^*(T_{\max})$ is called a **root** (of *G* with respect to T_{\max}) if there exists an injective \overline{F} -homomorphism

$$u_{\alpha} : \mathbf{G}_a \longrightarrow G$$

such that

$$tu_{\alpha}(x)t^{-1} = u_{\alpha}(\alpha(t)x) \qquad ({}^{\forall}x \in \mathbf{G}_{a}, \ {}^{\forall}t \in T_{\max})$$

holds. The set of all roots is denoted by $\Phi(G, T_{\text{max}})$ and is called the **absolute root system** (of *G* with respect to T_{max}).

Since *T* is an *F*-split torus, $X^*(T) = X^*(T)_F$. From $T \subset T_{max}$, a homomorphism

$$\rho : X^*(T_{\max}) \longrightarrow X^*(T) : \rho(\chi) = \chi|_T$$

can be defined. ρ is called the restriction map.

Definition The set $\rho(\Phi(G, T_{\max}))$ excluding 0 is denoted by $\Phi_F(G, T)$ or Φ_F , and is called the **relative root system** (of *G* with respect to *T*).

► If *F* is algebraically closed or if *G* is an *F*-split group, then $T_{\text{max}} = T$, so in this case $\Phi(G, T) = \Phi_F(G, T)$ holds.

► Let R(G) be the radical of G. $R(G) = C_G^\circ$ is a torus and $R(G) \subset T_{\max}$. If $t \in C_G^\circ$, then

 $tu_{\alpha}(x) = u_{\alpha}(x)t$ i.e. $\alpha|_{R(G)} = 0$ ($\forall \alpha \in \Phi(G, T_{\max})$).

Thus, $\Phi(G, T_{\text{max}})$ can be regarded as a subset of $X^*(T_{\text{max}}/R(G))$. Similarly, let Z_G be the central maximal *F*-split torus of *G*. Then $\Phi_F(G, T)$ can be regarded as a subset of $X^*(T/Z_G)_F$.

▶ If G/Z_G is *F*-anisotropic (i.e., $T = Z_G$), then $\Phi_F(G, T) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 11 ([2, 21.6])
Let
$$\Phi = \Phi(G, T_{max})$$
 and $\Phi_F = \Phi_F(G, T)$.
(1) $(X^*(T_{max}/R(G)), \Phi)$ is a root system.
(2) If $\Phi_F \neq \emptyset$, then $(X^*(T/Z_G), \Phi_F)$ is an extended root system.

► In general, $X^*(T_{\max})$ and $X^*(T_{\max}/R(G))$ have different ranks. In that case, the fundamental system of Φ does not form a basis for the vector space $X^*(T_{\max}) \otimes \mathbf{R}$.

Example The characters ϵ_i of T_n were defined by

$$\epsilon_i(\operatorname{diag}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)) = a_i \qquad (i=1,\ldots,n).$$

For $1 \le i, j \le n$, $i \ne j$, let $e_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbf{Q})$ be the matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) position and 0s elsewhere. Define

$$u_{ij}$$
 : $\mathbf{G}_a \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$: $u_{ij}(x) = E_n + xe_{ij}$.

A simple calculation shows that

$$tu_{ij}(x)t^{-1} = u_{ij}(\epsilon_i(t)\epsilon_j(t)^{-1}x) \qquad (\forall x \in \mathbf{G}_a, \ \forall t \in \mathbf{T}_n).$$

Therefore, $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j (= \epsilon_i \epsilon_i^{-1})$ is a **Q**-root.

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{T}_n) = \Phi(\mathrm{GL}_n, \mathrm{T}_n) = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \le i, j \le n, i \ne j\}.$$

This $\Phi_Q(GL_n, T_n)$ is a root system of type A_{n-1} .

 $\Delta = \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$

provides one fundamental system.

4.8 Classification of Connected Semisimple Split Groups

Definition Let X and X' be free **Z**-modules of the same finite rank, and let (X, Φ) and (X', Φ') be root systems. An injective homomorphism $f : X' \longrightarrow X$ is called a **special homomorphism** from (X', Φ') to (X, Φ) if there exists a bijection $\psi : \Phi \longrightarrow \Phi'$ such that $f(\psi(\alpha)) = \alpha$ (${}^{\forall} \alpha \in \Phi$).

► The definition above is for characteristic 0. Over a field of characteristic *p*, the condition is changed to $f(\psi(\alpha)) = q_{\alpha}\alpha$ (where q_{α} is a power of *p*).

► If there exists a module isomorphism $f : X \longrightarrow X'$ such that $f(\Phi) = \Phi'$ between two root systems (X, Φ) and (X', Φ') , we denote this by $(X, \Phi) \cong (X', \Phi')$.

- Theorem 12 ([8, exposé 23, exposé 24]) –

Let *G* and *G'* be connected semisimple algebraic groups, and let $T \subset G$ and $T' \subset G'$ be maximal tori. Let $X = X^*(T)$, $X' = X^*(T')$, and let their respective absolute root systems be $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$, $\Phi' = \Phi(G', T')$.

- (1) (Isogeny Theorem) If a special homomorphism $f : (X', \Phi') \longrightarrow (X, \Phi)$ exists, then there exists an isogeny $\varphi : G \longrightarrow G'$ such that $T' = \varphi(T)$ and $(\varphi|_T)^* = f$. Furthermore, if $\psi : G \longrightarrow G'$ is another isogeny satisfying the same conditions, then there exists some $t \in T$ such that $\psi(g) = \varphi(tgt^{-1})$ for all $g \in G$.
- (2) (Isomorphism Theorem) If there exists an isomorphism $\varphi_T : T \longrightarrow T'$ such that φ_T^* yields an isomorphism $(X', \Phi') \cong (X, \Phi)$, then φ_T can be extended to an isomorphism $\varphi : G \longrightarrow G'$.

- Theorem 13 (Existence Theorem [9])

Let **F** be an arbitrary field. Let **X** be a free **Z**-module of finite rank, and let (\mathbf{X}, Φ) be a root system. Let **Y** be a free **Z**-module such that $(\Phi)_Z \subset \mathbf{Y} \subset (\Phi^{\vee})_Z^{\vee}$. Then there exists a connected semisimple **F**-split group **G** with a maximal **F**-split torus **T** such that $(\mathbf{Y}, \Phi) \cong (\mathbf{X}^*(T)_F, \Phi_F(G, T))$, and such **G** is unique up to **F**-isomorphism.

▶ In Theorem 13, the choice of Y is finite. The group G_{sc} corresponding to the weight lattice $(\Phi^{\vee})_{Z}^{\vee}$ is called the **simply connected group**, and the group G_{ad} corresponding to the root lattice $(\Phi)_{Z}$ is called the **adjoint group**. In general, since $(\Phi)_{Z} \subset Y \subset (\Phi^{\vee})_{Z}^{\vee}$, there are natural isogenies $G_{sc} \longrightarrow G$ and $G \longrightarrow G_{ad}$ by the Isogeny Theorem. The finite group $\pi_{1}(G) := (\Phi^{\vee})_{Z}^{\vee}/Y$ is called the fundamental group of G.

▶ An algebraic group *G* is said to be **almost simple** if $\{e\}$ is the only proper connected normal closed subgroup of *G*. If *G* is a connected almost simple algebraic group, then *G* is semisimple and its absolute root system is an irreducible root system ([2, 14.10]).

▶ Let *G* be a connected semisimple algebraic group. Then there is a finite set $\{G_1, \ldots, G_m\}$ of connected almost simple normal closed subgroup of *G* such that the product morphism $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m \longrightarrow G$ is an isogeny ([2, 14.10]).

► Let *G* be a connected semisimple **Q**-algebraic group, and let $(X, \Phi) = (X^*(T_{\max}), \Phi(G, T_{\max}))$. By Theorem 13, there exists uniquely (up to **Q**-isomorphism) a connected semisimple **Q**-split group G_0 and its maximal **Q**-split torus T_0 such that $(X, \Phi) \cong (X^*(T_0)_Q, \Phi_Q(G_0, T_0))$. Over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$, we have $T_{\max} \cong T_0$, so by the Isomorphism Theorem, there exists a $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ -isomorphism $G \cong G_0$. Connected semisimple **Q**-split groups can be classified by their root systems (Dynkin diagrams) and fundamental groups. For a given G_0 , a **Q**-algebraic group *G* such that $G \cong G_0$ over $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$ is called a **Q-form** of G_0 . Using Galois cohomology sets and relative root systems, **Q**-forms can be classified and described.

§5 Bruhat Decomposition

Let *G* be a connected reductive *F*-algebraic group, $T \subset G$ be a maximal *F*-split torus, T_{max} be a maximal *F*-torus containing *T*, and let $X = X^*(T/Z_G)_F$. Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, T_{\text{max}})$ and $\Phi_F = \Phi_F(G, T)$ be the absolute and relative root systems of *G*, respectively.

5.1 The Normalizer of *T*

Let $C_G(T)$ and $N_G(T)$ be the centralizer and the normalizer of T as an abstract group, respectively. Then the following holds.

- Theorem 14 ([2, 8.10, 21.2]) –

 $N_G(T)$ is an *F*-algebraic group. $C_G(T)$ is a connected reductive *F*-algebraic group and is the identity component of $N_G(T)$. In particular, the quotient group $N_G(T)/C_G(T)$ is a finite group. Also, a representative for each coset of $N_G(T)/C_G(T)$ can be chosen from $N_G(T)(F)$.

Denote the finite group $N_G(T)/C_G(T)$ by W(G, T), and let the representative g of each coset $[g] = gC_G(T)$ be an element of $N_G(T)(F)$. Each $w = [g] \in W(G, T)$ gives an inner automorphism $i_w : T \longrightarrow T : i_w(t) = gtg^{-1}$. This is an *F*-isomorphism. From this, for $\chi \in X^*(T)_F$, if we define $w\chi$ as

$$(w\chi)(t) := \chi(i_w^{-1}(t)) = \chi(g^{-1}tg) \qquad (t \in T)$$

then $w\chi \in X^*(T)_F$. For $w' = [g'] \in W(G, T)$,

$$(w'w\chi)(t) = \chi((g'g)^{-1}t(g'g)) = (w\chi)(g'^{-1}tg') = \{w'(w\chi)\}(t)$$

holds, therefore

$$W(G,T) \times X^*(T)_F \longrightarrow X^*(T)_F : (w,\chi) \mapsto w\chi$$

gives an action of W(G, T) on $X^*(T)_F$. Clearly, i_w is the identity map on Z_G , so W(G, T) also acts on $X = X^*(T/Z_G)_F$. From this, we can regard $W(G, T) \subset$ GL(X).

- Theorem 15 ([2, 21.2]) —

As a subgroup of **GL(X)**, W(G, T) is equal to the Weyl group $W(\Phi_F)$ of Φ_F .

▶ Denote the coset corresponding to $w \in W(\Phi_F)$ by $[n_w] \in W(G, T)$, $n_w \in N_G(T)(F)$.

5.2 Standard Parabolic Subgroups

From the definition of absolute roots, for each $\delta \in \Phi$, there exists a unipotent \overline{F} -subgroup

$$U_{\delta} = u_{\delta}(\mathbf{G}_a) \subset G.$$

Let ρ : $\Phi \longrightarrow \Phi_F \cup \{0\}$ be the restriction map. Fix a base Δ_F of Φ_F , and let Φ_F^+ be the set of positive roots. For a subset $I \subset \Delta_F$, let $(I)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the sub-**Z**-module of X generated by *I*, and further define

$$[I] := (I)_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \Phi_{F},$$

$$\Phi^{+}(\Delta_{F} \setminus I) := \rho^{-1}(\Phi_{F}^{+} - [I]) = \{\delta \in \Phi \mid \rho(\delta) \in \Phi_{F}^{+}, \ \rho(\delta) \notin [I]\}.$$

Define subsets T_I , M_I , U_I of G as follows.

Since each α ∈ I is a character α : T → T, its kernel Ker α ⊂ T is a closed subgroup. Let the identity component of the intersection of Ker α (α ∈ I) be

$$T_I := \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} \operatorname{Ker} \alpha\right)^\circ.$$

 T_I becomes an *F*-split torus. (If $I = \emptyset$, let $T_{\emptyset} = T$.)

- Let the centralizer of T_I in G be $M_I := C_G(T_I)$. Then $T \subset T_{\max} \subset M_I$.
- Let $\Phi^+(\Delta_F \setminus I) = \{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k\}$. Define

$$U_I := \prod_{i=1}^k U_{\delta_i} = \{g_1 \cdots g_k \mid g_i \in U_{\delta_i} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k)\}.$$

Theorem 16 ([2, 21.9, 21.11, 21.12]) -

- (1) M_I is a connected reductive *F*-subgroup of *G*, and its relative root system with respect to *T* is $\Phi_F(M_I, T) = [I]$.
- (2) U_I is determined independently of the numbering of the elements of $\Phi^+(\Delta_F \setminus I)$. U_I is a unipotent *F*-subgroup of *G*.
- (3) Let $P_I := M_I U_I = \{mu \mid m \in M_I, u \in U_I\}$. Then P_I is a parabolic *F*-subgroup of *G*. M_I is a Levi subgroup of P_I , and U_I is the unipotent radical of P_I .
- (4) Let I and J be two distinct subsets of Δ_F. Then P_I ≠ P_J and P_I∩P_J = P_{I∩J} hold. In particular, P_Δ = G, and for any I, P_∅ ⊂ P_I ⊂ P_Δ holds.
- (5) Let $Q \subset G$ be an arbitrary parabolic *F*-subgroup. Then there exist $I \subset \Delta$ and $g \in G(F)$ such that $Q = g^{-1}P_Ig$. *I* is uniquely determined by *Q*.

Definition Each P_I is called a **standard parabolic** *F***-subgroup** of *G*. Also, $P_{\Delta_F - \{\alpha\}}$ ($\alpha \in \Delta_F$) is called a **standard maximal parabolic** *F***-subgroup**.

▶ P_{\emptyset} and its conjugates are minimal among the parabolic *F*-subgroups of *G*, and are thus called **minimal parabolic** *F*-subgroups. If *F* is an algebraically closed field or *G* is an *F*-split group, then P_{\emptyset} is a Borel subgroup of *G*.

▶ If $I = \Delta$, then

$$T_{\Delta} = \left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} \operatorname{Ker} \alpha\right)^{\circ} = Z_G$$

holds. Therefore, $M_I = C_G(Z_G) = G$.

Example Consider the base of the root system $\Phi = \Phi_Q = \Phi_Q(GL_n, T_n)$ of GL_n :

$$\Delta = \{\alpha_i = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$$

Fix α_r , and let $I = \Delta - \{\alpha_r\}$. Then

$$T_I = \left(\bigcap_{i \neq r} \operatorname{Ker} \alpha_i\right)^\circ = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} aE_r & 0\\ 0 & dE_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} \mid a, d \in \mathbf{G}_m \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$M_{I} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}, \ D \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-r} \right\}.$$

The set of positive roots of Φ is

$$\Phi^{+} = \{ \epsilon_{i} - \epsilon_{j} \mid 1 \le i < j \le n \}$$

= $\{ \alpha_{i} + \dots + \alpha_{i+k} \mid 1 \le i \le n-1, \ 0 \le k \le n-i-1 \}.$

Thus

$$\Phi^+(\Delta \setminus I) = \{\alpha_i + \dots + \alpha_{i+k} \mid 1 \le i \le r, \ r-i \le k \le n-i-1\}$$
$$= \{\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid 1 \le i \le r, \ r+1 \le j \le n\}.$$

Hence

$$U_I = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} E_r & B \\ 0 & E_{n-r} \end{pmatrix} \mid B \in \mathbf{M}_{r,n-r}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \right\}.$$

From this

$$P_{I} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathrm{GL}_{r}, \ D \in \mathrm{GL}_{n-r}, \ B \in \mathrm{M}_{r,n-r}(\overline{\mathbf{Q}}) \right\} = \mathrm{Q}_{n,r}$$

is obtained.

• Let P = MU be the Levi decomposition of a parabolic *F*-subgroup *P*. Then, for the group of *F*-rational points,

$$P(F) = M(F)U(F), \qquad (G/P)(F) = G(F)/P(F)$$

holds([2, Proposition 20.5]).

5.3 Bruhat Decomposition

Let $W_F = W(\Phi_F) = \{[n_w] \in W(G, T)\}$ be the Weyl group of Φ_F . For each $\alpha \in \Phi_F$, there is a reflection $s_\alpha \in W_F$, and W_F is generated by $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta_F}$. For a subset $I \subset \Delta_F$, denote the subgroup of W_F generated by $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ as $W_F(I)$. (However, if $I = \emptyset$, let $W_F(\emptyset) = \{e\}$.) For two subsets $I, J \subset \Delta_F$, denote an element of the double cosets $W_F(I) \setminus W_F/W_F(J)$ as $[w]_{I,J} = W_F(I)wW_F(J)$. Let $P = P_{\emptyset}$ be the standard minimal parabolic *F*-subgroup of *G*.

- Theorem 17 (Bruhat Decomposition [2, 21.15, 21.16]) -

For the group of F-rational points G(F),

$$G(F) = \bigsqcup_{w \in W_F} P(F) n_w P(F) = \bigsqcup_{[w]_{I,J} \in W_F(I) \setminus W_F/W_F(J)} P_I(F) n_w P_J(F)$$

holds, where each union of double cosets is a disjoint union.

► Let $M = M_{\emptyset}$ be the Levi subgroup of P and $U = U_{\emptyset}$ be the unipotent radical. Then, since $T_{\emptyset} = T$, we have $M = C_G(T)$. Since $C_G(T)$ is a normal subgroup of $N_G(T)$, for $n_w \in N_G(T)(F)$, $n_w M(F) = M(F)n_w$ holds. Therefore, we have

$$P(F)n_{w}P(F) = U(F)n_{w}P(F) = P(F)n_{w}U(F).$$

Example In the case of GL_n , $W_Q \cong S_n$ (the *n*-th symmetric group), and the representatives of $W(GL_n, T_n)$ can be taken as follows. Let e_i be the *i*-th column of the identity matrix E_n . For $\sigma \in S_n$ as a permutation of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, define the matrix

$$n_{\sigma} = (e_{\sigma(1)}, e_{\sigma(2)}, \dots, e_{\sigma(n)}) \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Then,

$$W(\operatorname{GL}_n, \operatorname{T}_n) = \{ [n_{\sigma}] \mid \sigma \in S_n \}.$$

Therefore, the Bruhat decomposition of GL_n is

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in S_n} \operatorname{B}_n(\mathbf{Q}) n_\sigma \operatorname{B}_n(\mathbf{Q})$$

where B_n is the standard Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.

§6 Algebraic Groups over Local Fields

6.1 Locally Compact Groups

Definition Let *L* be a group. *L* is called a **locally compact group** if it satisfies the conditions:

- (1) *L* is a locally compact Hausdorff topological space.
- (2) The map $L \times L \longrightarrow L$: $(x, y) \mapsto xy^{-1}$ is continuous.

Furthermore, if *L* is a real analytic (or complex analytic) manifold and the map in (2) is real analytic (or complex analytic), then *L* is called a **Lie group** (or **complex Lie group**).

Examples

(1) (*p*-adic field) Fix a prime number *p*. For any $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$, if $n = p^k n'$ where $p \nmid n'$, define

$$|n|_p := p^{-k}$$

and furthermore, for any rational number $n/m \in \mathbf{Q}$, define

$$|n/m|_p := |n|_p |m|_p^{-1}, \qquad |0|_p = 0.$$

This defines the norm $|\cdot|_p$: **Q** \longrightarrow **R**. Then

$$d_p : \mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R} : d_p(x, y) = |x - y|_p$$

becomes a metric. Let R_p be the set of all Cauchy sequences in **Q** with respect to d_p . Define addition and multiplication on R_p by

$$\{a_i\} + \{b_i\} = \{a_i + b_i\}, \quad \{a_i\} \cdot \{b_i\} = \{a_ib_i\} \quad (\{a_i\}, \{b_i\} \in R_p).$$

Then R_p becomes a commutative ring. Furthermore, let

$$I_p := \{\{a_i\} \in R_p \mid \lim_{i \to \infty} a_i = 0\}.$$

Then I_p is a maximal ideal. Therefore, R_p/I_p is a field. We denote this by $\mathbf{Q}_p = R_p/I_p$ and call it the *p*-adic field. \mathbf{Q}_p is the completion of \mathbf{Q} with respect to d_p . Any $a \in \mathbf{Q}_p$ has the following unique representation:

$$a = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_i p^i, \qquad a_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$$

By defining $|a|_p = p^{-k}$ in this case, the norm can be extended to \mathbf{Q}_p . Let \mathbf{Z}_p be the closure of \mathbf{Z} in \mathbf{Q}_p . Then $\mathbf{Z}_p = \{a \in \mathbf{Q}_p \mid |a|_p \le 1\}$, and \mathbf{Z}_p is an open and compact subring. The group of units of \mathbf{Z}_p is

$$\mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} = \{ a \in \mathbf{Z}_p \mid |a|_p = 1 \} = \mathbf{Z}_p - p\mathbf{Z}_p$$

and $p\mathbf{Z}_p = \{a \in \mathbf{Z}_p \mid |a|_p < 1\}$ is the unique prime ideal of \mathbf{Z}_p . Also, we have

$$\mathbf{Q}_p^{\times} = p^{\mathbf{Z}} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} = \{ p^k u \, | \, k \in \mathbf{Z}, \ u \in \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times} \}.$$

 ${p^n \mathbb{Z}_p}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ gives a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 in \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, \mathbb{Q}_p becomes a locally compact topological field, and the multiplicative group \mathbb{Q}_p^{\times} becomes a locally compact topological group.

(2) Let \mathcal{P}_f be the set of all prime numbers, consider the symbol ∞ formally, and let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_f \cup \{\infty\}$. The elements of \mathcal{P} are called the primes (or **places**) of **Q**. Hereafter, when we write $q \in \mathcal{P}$ or $q \leq \infty$, it means q is a prime number or ∞ . We define \mathbf{Q}_q as the p-adic field if q = p is a prime number, and $\mathbf{Q}_{\infty} = \mathbf{R}$ if $q = \infty$. \mathbf{Q}_q is called a **local field**. \mathbf{Q}_q is a locally compact field. By the product topology, $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbf{Q}_q) = \mathbf{Q}_q^{n^2}$ also becomes a locally compact topological space. By endowing $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_q) \subset \operatorname{M}_n(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ with the relative topology, $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ becomes a locally compact topology, we call the topology of the locally compact group $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ the q-topology. If $L \subset \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ is a closed subgroup with respect to the q-topology, then L is also a locally compact group.

(3) Let $G \subset GL_n$ be a closed subgroup defined over **Q**. Since *G* is given as the zero set of a finite number of polynomials with **Q** coefficients, for any $q \in \mathcal{P}$, $G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ is a closed set of $GL_n(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ with respect to the *q*-topology. Given that the group operation is defined by polynomial maps defined over **Q**, it is continuous in the *q*-topology. Therefore, the set of \mathbf{Q}_q -rational points $G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ of a **Q**-algebraic group *G* becomes a locally compact group with respect to the *q*-topology.

▶ If *G* is a **Q**-algebraic group and *p* is a prime number, then $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ is **totally disconnected** with respect to the *p*-topology. That is, for any neighborhood *U* of the identity element in $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$, there exists an open compact subgroup *L* such that $L \subset U$.

► Even if *G* is a connected **Q**-algebraic group, $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})$ is not necessarily connected with respect to the ∞-topology.

▶ If $G \subset GL_n$ is a connected algebraic group, then $G = G(\mathbf{C})$ is a connected complex Lie group with respect to the topology induced from the complex Lie group $GL_n(\mathbf{C})$. Conversely, if *G* is a connected complex Lie group and g is its Lie algebra, then

G is an algebraic group \iff the replica of any element of g is contained in g holds (Chevalley-Tuan theorem, [11]).

▶ If *L* is a compact Lie group, then there exists an algebraic group *G* defined over **R** such that $L = G(\mathbf{R})$ (Chevalley's version of **Tannaka duality** [10, Chapter VI]).

- Theorem 18 (Existence of Invariant Measures)

Let **L** be a locally compact group, and let \mathcal{B} be the Borel σ -algebra of **L** (i.e., the smallest σ -algebra containing all open sets of **L**). There exists a measure $\mu_{\ell} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow [0, \infty]$ on *L*, unique up to a positive constant factor, such that $\mu_{\ell}(gB) = \mu_{\ell}(B)$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $g \in L$, and $\mu_{\ell}(U) > 0$ for any open set **U**. (μ_{ℓ} is called a **left invariant measure** on *L*.)

► We can paraphrase left as right, i.e., a locally compact group has a non-trivial right invariant measure uniquely up to a positive constant factor.

► For $g \in L$, if we define $\mu_{\ell,g}(B) = \mu_{\ell}(Bg)$ $(B \in \mathcal{B})$, then $\mu_{\ell,g}$ is also a left invariant measure. By uniqueness, there exists a positive constant $\Delta(g) > 0$ such that $\mu_{\ell,g} = \Delta(g)\mu_{\ell}$. $\Delta : L \longrightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a continuous homomorphism. This Δ is called the **modular character** (or modular function). When $\Delta = 1$, μ_{ℓ} is also a right invariant measure. Such an *L* is called a **unimodular group**.

► Let *L* be a compact group. In this case, $\Delta(L) \subset (0, \infty)$ is compact, so $\Delta(L) = \{1\}$ holds. Therefore, compact groups are unimodular.

6.2 Maximal Compact Subgroups

Definition Let *L* be a locally compact group. A non-trivial subgroup *K* of *L* is called a **maximal compact subgroup** if *K* is compact and there exists no compact subgroup that properly contains *K*.

▶ If the only compact subgroup of L is $\{e\}$, or if L has an infinite ascending chain of compact subgroups, then L is said to have no maximal compact subgroup.

- Theorem 19 ([21, Propositions 3.10, 3.15 and 3.16, Theorem 3.1]) –

Fix $q \in \mathcal{P}$. Let G be a connected Q_q -algebraic group, and let $G(Q_q)$ be a locally compact group.

- (1) $G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ has a maximal compact subgroup if and only if G is reductive.
- (2) If G is reductive and $K \subset G(Q_q)$ is a compact subgroup, then there exists a maximal compact subgroup containing K.
- (3) If G is reductive and $q = \infty$, then all maximal compact subgroups of $G(\mathbf{R})$ are conjugate by elements of $G(\mathbf{R})$.
- (4) $G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ is compact if and only if G is reductive and \mathbf{Q}_q -anisotropic.

▶ If *G* is reductive and q = p is a prime number, the conjugacy classes of maximal compact subgroups of $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ under conjugation by elements of $G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$ are generally not unique. Therefore, there may exist multiple non-conjugate maximal compact subgroups.

Examples

(1) Let the group consisting of all orthogonal matrices be

$$O_n(\mathbf{R}) := \{ g \in GL_n(\mathbf{R}) \mid {}^t gg = E_n \}.$$

This is a maximal compact subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{R})$.

(2) Denote the group of units of the matrix ring $M_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ by $GL_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. That is,

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p) := \{ g \in \operatorname{M}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p) \mid g^{-1} \in \operatorname{M}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p) \}.$$

This coincides with the stabilizer of \mathbf{Z}_p^n in $GL_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$. Namely,

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p) = \{ g \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p) \mid g\mathbf{Z}_p^n = \mathbf{Z}_p^n \}.$$

 $GL_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ is a unique (up to conjugation) maximal compact subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{Q}_p)$.

6.3 Iwasawa Decomposition

Fix $q \in \mathcal{P}$, and let *G* be a connected reductive \mathbf{Q}_q -algebraic group. Fix a maximal \mathbf{Q}_q -split torus *T*, and let P_{\emptyset} be the standard minimal parabolic \mathbf{Q}_q -subgroup. Let $M_{\emptyset}U_{\emptyset}$ be a Levi decomposition of P_{\emptyset} , where $M_{\emptyset} = C_G(T)$.

- Theorem 20 (Iwasawa Decomposition [21, Theorem 3.9], [26, 3.3.2]) —

There exists a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(Q_q)$ such that

$$G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = KT(\mathbf{Q}_q)U_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{Q}_q) = U_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{Q}_q)T(\mathbf{Q}_q)K$$

holds. That is, any $g \in G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ can be represented as

$$g = kau = u'a'k' \qquad (k,k' \in K, a,a' \in T(\mathbf{Q}_q), u,u' \in U_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{Q}_q)).$$

▶ The elements *k*, *a*, *u* in the representation of *g* are generally not unique.

▶ In the case $q = \infty$, let $T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+$ be the identity component of $T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})$ with respect to the ∞-topology. Then the map

$$K \times T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \times U_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) \longrightarrow G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) : (k, a, u) \mapsto kau$$

is a diffeomorphism, and in particular, it is a bijection.

- Corollary 21

Let $K \subset G(Q_q)$ be a maximal compact subgroup for which the Iwasawa decomposition holds. Let K_1 be a maximal compact subgroup conjugate to K, and let P be a parabolic Q_q -subgroup of G. Then

$$G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = K_1 P(\mathbf{Q}_q) = P(\mathbf{Q}_q) K_1$$

holds.

Proof. By assumption, $G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = KP_{\emptyset}(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ holds. Therefore, for any standard parabolic \mathbf{Q}_q -subgroup P_I , we have $G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = KP_I(\mathbf{Q}_q)$. P is conjugate to some P_I by an element of $G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ (Theorem 16, (5)). Thus, there exists $g \in G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ such that $P = gP_Ig^{-1}$. Since $G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = KP_I(\mathbf{Q}_q)$, we can write g = kh for some $k \in K$ and $h \in P_I(\mathbf{Q}_q)$. Then $P = khP_I(kh)^{-1} = khP_Ih^{-1}k^{-1} = kP_Ik^{-1}$. So,

$$G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = kG(\mathbf{Q}_q)k^{-1} = k(KP_I(\mathbf{Q}_q))k^{-1} = (kKk^{-1})(kP_I(\mathbf{Q}_q)k^{-1}) = KP(\mathbf{Q}_q)$$

holds. The bijecton $g \mapsto g^{-1}$ gives $G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = P(\mathbf{Q}_q)K$. K_1 is conjugate to K, so we can write $K_1 = \gamma K \gamma^{-1}$ for some $\gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$. From the decomposition $G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = P(\mathbf{Q}_q)K$, γ can be taken in $P(\mathbf{Q}_q)$. Therefore,

$$G(\mathbf{Q}_q) = \gamma G(\mathbf{Q}_q)\gamma^{-1} = \gamma (KP(\mathbf{Q}_q))\gamma^{-1} = (\gamma K\gamma^{-1})(\gamma P(\mathbf{Q}_q)\gamma^{-1}) = K_1 P(\mathbf{Q}_q)$$

holds.

§7 Adele Groups

7.1 Adelization of GL_n

For a finite subset $S \subset \mathcal{P}_f$, let

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_S) := \prod_{p \in S} \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f - S} \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p).$$

We equip $GL_n(\mathbf{A}_S)$ with the product topology of the *p*-adic topologies, making it a locally compact group. Clearly, if $S \subset S'$, then $GL_n(\mathbf{A}_S) \subset GL_n(\mathbf{A}_{S'})$. The inductive limit with respect to this inclusion relation is denoted by

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_f) := \lim_{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_S) = \bigcup_{S \subset \mathcal{P}_f} \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_S).$$

Here, *S* runs over all finite subsets of \mathcal{P}_f . The topology of $GL_n(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is defined as follows:

O is an open set $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\longleftrightarrow}$ for any *S*, *O* \cap GL_{*n*}(**A**_{*S*}) is an open set in GL_{*n*}(**A**_{*S*}).

This makes $GL_n(\mathbf{A}_f)$ a locally compact group. Furthermore, we set

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}) := \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_\infty) \times \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_f).$$

With the product topology, $GL_n(\mathbf{A})$ becomes a locally compact group. $GL_n(\mathbf{A})$ is called the **adele group** of GL_n .

7.2 Adelization of an Algebraic Group

Let $G \subset GL_n$ be a **Q**-subgroup. For $p \in \mathcal{P}_f$, set

$$G_{\mathbf{Z}_p} := G(\mathbf{Q}_p) \cap \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p).$$

 $G_{\mathbf{Z}_v}$ is a compact group. For a finite subset $S \subset \mathcal{P}_f$, let

$$G(\mathbf{A}_S) := \prod_{p \in S} G(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f - S} G_{\mathbf{Z}_p}$$

and endow it with the product topology. Similar to the case of GL_n , take the inductive limit

$$G(\mathbf{A}_f) := \lim_{\longrightarrow} G(\mathbf{A}_S) = \bigcup_{S \subset \mathcal{P}_f} G(\mathbf{A}_S)$$

and define the topology similarly to the GL_n case, making $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ a locally compact group. Furthermore, set

$$G(\mathbf{A}) := G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) \times G(\mathbf{A}_f).$$

With the product topology, $G(\mathbf{A})$ becomes a locally compact group. $G(\mathbf{A})$ is called the **adele group of** *G*.

► Clearly,

$$G(\mathbf{A}) \subset \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} G(\mathbf{Q}_q)$$

but the topology is different from the relative topology induced by the product topology on the right-hand side. On the other hand, $G(\mathbf{A}) \subset GL_n(\mathbf{A})$ is also clear, and the topology of $G(\mathbf{A})$ coincides with the relative topology induced from $GL_n(\mathbf{A})$. More generally, if $H \subset G$ is a **Q**-subgroup, then, by definition, one has

$$H(\mathbf{A}) = G(\mathbf{A}) \cap \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} H(\mathbf{Q}_q).$$

Thus, $H(\mathbf{A})$ is a subgroup of $G(\mathbf{A})$, and the topology on $H(\mathbf{A})$ coincides with the relative topology induced from $G(\mathbf{A})$ ([21, Lemma 5.4]).

• $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is a totally disconnected locally compact group. $G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is sometimes called the **finite adele group of** *G*.

Examples

(1) In the case of G_a , we have

$$\mathbf{G}_{a}(\mathbf{A}_{A}) = \prod_{p \in S} \mathbf{Q}_{p} \times \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{f}-S} \mathbf{Z}_{p}, \qquad \mathbf{G}_{a}(\mathbf{A}_{f}) = \bigcup_{S \subset \mathcal{P}_{f}} \mathbf{G}_{a}(\mathbf{A}_{S}).$$

 $\mathbf{G}_{a}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{Q}_{\infty} \times \mathbf{G}_{a}(\mathbf{A}_{f})$ is called the **adele ring** of **Q**.

(2) Let us look at the case $\mathbf{G}_m = \mathrm{GL}_1$. Since $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbf{Z}_p) = \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}$, we have

$$\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_S) = \prod_{p \in S} \mathbf{Q}_p^{\times} \times \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f - S} \mathbf{Z}_p^{\times}, \qquad \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_f) = \bigcup_{S \subset \mathcal{P}_f} \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_S).$$

 $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Q}_\infty) \times \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is specifically called the group of **ideles**. For $x = (x_\infty, x_f) \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})$, where $x_f = (x_p) \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}_S)$, we define

$$|x|_{\mathbf{A}} := \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} |x_q|_q = |x_{\infty}|_{\infty} \prod_{p \in S} |x_p|_p \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}.$$

The map

$$|\cdot|_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$$

is a continuous homomorphism. This is called the **idele norm**. Let the diagonal embedding be

$$\iota : \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}) : \iota(a) = (a, a, a, \ldots).$$

Then, for any $a \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Q})$, $|\iota(a)|_{\mathbf{A}} = 1$ holds.

– Proposition 22 –

For $g \in G(\mathbb{Q})$, there exists a finite set $S \subset \mathcal{P}_f$ such that $g \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_f - S$. In particular, via the natural diagonal embedding

$$\iota \, : \, G(\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} G(\mathbb{Q}_q) \, : \, \iota(g) = (g, g, g, \ldots)$$

we have $\iota(G(\mathbb{Q})) \subset G(\mathbb{A})$. Furthermore, $\iota(G(\mathbb{Q}))$ is discrete in $G(\mathbb{A})$.

Proof. It suffices to show this for $G = GL_n$. Let $g = (g_{ij}) \in GL_n(\mathbf{Q})$. Let

$$S' = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_f \mid |\det g|_p = 1, |g_{ij}|_p \le 1 \text{ (for all } i, j)\}$$

Then $S = \mathcal{P}_f - S'$ is a finite set, and for $p \in S'$, we have $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. From this, $\iota(g) \in GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_\infty) \times GL_n(\mathbb{A}_S)$. Since such an *S* can be chosen for each *g*, we have $\iota(GL_n(\mathbb{Q})) \subset GL_n(\mathbb{A})$. For the empty set \emptyset ,

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{\emptyset}) = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f} \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$$

is an open subgroup of $GL_n(\mathbf{A}_f)$. Since

$$\iota(\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q})) \cap (\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_\infty) \times \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{\emptyset})) = \iota(\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})),$$

if we let

$$O_{\infty} = \{ (g_{ij}) \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) \mid |g_{ij}| < 1/2 \ (i \neq j), \ |g_{ii} - 1| < 1/2 \ (\text{for all } i) \}$$

then

$$\iota(\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q})) \cap (O_\infty \times \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{\emptyset})) = \{\iota(E_n)\}.$$

Therefore, $\iota(GL_n(\mathbf{Q}))$ is discrete in $GL_n(\mathbf{A})$.

▶ Hereafter, we omit the embedding map ι and consider $G(\mathbf{Q}) \subset G(\mathbf{A})$.

7.3 Fundamental Results on Adele Groups

Let *G* and *G'* be **Q**-algebraic groups, and let $f : G \to G'$ be a **Q**-homomorphism. For each $q \in \mathcal{P}$, f induces a homomorphism $f_q : G(\mathbf{Q}_q) \to G'(\mathbf{Q}_q)$ which is continuous with respect to the *q*-adic topology. Let the product map be

$$\prod f_q : \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} G(\mathbf{Q}_q) \longrightarrow \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} G'(\mathbf{Q}_q).$$

The restiction of this product map to $G(\mathbf{A})$ is denoted by $f_{\mathbf{A}}$, i.e.,

$$f_{\mathbf{A}} = \left(\prod_{q} f_{q}\right)\Big|_{G(\mathbf{A})} : G(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} G'(\mathbf{Q}_{q}).$$

Definition Let $f : G \longrightarrow G'$ be a **Q**-homomorphism. We say that f has a **local section** if for each $y \in G'$, there exist a Zariski open neighborhood O_y of *y* and a **Q**-rational map $g_y : O_y \longrightarrow G$ such that $f \circ g_y$ is the identity map of O_y .

Theorem 23 ([21, Lemma 5.2, Propositions 5.2 and 5.3]) – Let f : G → G' be a Q-homomorphism.
(1) f_A yields a continuous homomorphism f_A : G(A) → G'(A).
(2) If f is injective, then f_A is also injective.

- (3) If f has a local section, then f_A is surjective. In particular, if f is a **Q**-isomorphism, then f_A is also an isomorphism.

▶ In general, even if *f* is surjective, f_q and f_A are not necessarily surjective. For example, let $f : \mathbf{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$ be $f(x) = x^2$. Then f is surjective (over an algebraically closed field), but $f_{\infty} : \mathbf{R}^{\times} \to \mathbf{R}^{\times}$ is not surjective.

▶ If $N \subset G$ is a normal **Q**-subgroup, then $N(\mathbf{A})$ is a normal subgroup of $G(\mathbf{A})$. The quotient group G/N is also a **Q**-algebraic group, so $(G/N)(\mathbf{A})$ can be defined. The natural map $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/N$ induces $\pi_{\mathbf{A}} : G(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow (G/N)(\mathbf{A})$, which is not necessarily surjective, so $G(\mathbf{A})/N(\mathbf{A})$ and $(G/N)(\mathbf{A})$ may not be isomorphic.

▶ The identity component G° of G is a normal **Q**-subgroup. The group $G(\mathbf{A})/G^{\circ}(\mathbf{A})$ is compact ([5, 1.9]).

▶ Let $H \subset G$ be a **Q**-subgroup and $N \subset G$ be a normal **Q**-subgroup such that *G* is a semidirect product of *H* and *N*. In this case, for the natural map $\pi : G \longrightarrow G/N \cong H$, the map $G/N \cong H \hookrightarrow G$ provides a local section, so π_A is surjective. $G(\mathbf{A})$ is a semidirect product of $H(\mathbf{A})$ and $N(\mathbf{A})$ ([5, 1.6]).

▶ Let G be a connected reductive **Q**-algebraic group, and let $P \subset G$ be a parabolic **Q**-subgroup. If P = MU is a Levi decomposition, then by the above remark, $P(\mathbf{A}) = M(\mathbf{A})U(\mathbf{A})$ is a semidirect product.

7.4 Iwasawa Decomposition of Adele Groups

Let $G \subset GL_n$ be a connected reductive **Q**-algebraic group.

- Theorem 24 ([26, 3.9.1])

If the finite set $S \subset \mathcal{P}_f$ is taken sufficiently large, then for any $p \in \mathcal{P}_f - S$, $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p} = G(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and the Iwasawa decomposition holds for $G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$.

For $p \in \mathcal{P}_f - S$, let $K_p = G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. For $q \in S \cup \{\infty\}$, let $K_q \subset G(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ be a maximal compact subgroup for which the Iwasawa decomposition holds. Then set

$$K:=\prod_{q\in\mathcal{P}}K_q.$$

– Proposition 25 –

K is a maximal compact subgroup of G(A). If $P \subset G$ is a parabolic Q-subgroup, then

$$G(\mathbf{A}) = KP(\mathbf{A}) = P(\mathbf{A})K$$

holds.

Proof. We can write $K = K_{\infty} \times K_f$, where

$$K_f = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f} K_p.$$

It suffices to show that $K_f \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is a maximal compact subgroup. Take a compact subgroup *L* such that $K_f \subset L \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$. Since K_f is an open subgroup, L/K_f is a finite set. Let

$$L = g_1 K_f \cup \cdots \cup g_n K_f.$$

Choose a finite set $S \subset \mathcal{P}_f$ such that if $p \in \mathcal{P} - S$, then $g_{ip} \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ for i = 1, ..., nand $K_p = G_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ holds. Then

$$K_f \subset L \subset G(\mathbf{A}_S) = \prod_{p \in S} G(\mathbf{Q}_p) \times \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f - S} K_p.$$

Thus, we can write

$$L = L_S \times \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f - S} K_p, \qquad L_S \subset \prod_{p \in S} G(\mathbf{Q}_p).$$

Since

$$K_S = \prod_{p \in S} K_p \subset \prod_{p \in S} G(\mathbf{Q}_p)$$

is a maximal compact subgroup, we must have $K_S = L_S$. Therefore $K_f = L$, and $K_f \subset G(\mathbf{A}_f)$ is a maximal compact subgroup. From Corollary 21, $G(\mathbf{A}) = KP(\mathbf{A})$ follows easily.

7.5 Unit Adele Groups

Let $G \subset GL_n$ be a connected **Q**-algebraic group, and let $X^*(G)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ be the group of **Q**-rational characters of *G*. By Theorem 23(1), each $\chi \in X^*(G)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ yields a continuous homomorphism

$$\chi_{\mathbf{A}} : G(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}).$$

Let $|\chi|_A$ denote the composition of χ_A and the idele norm. That is,

$$|\chi|_{\mathbf{A}} : G(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0} : |\chi|_{\mathbf{A}}(g) = |\chi_{\mathbf{A}}(g)|_{\mathbf{A}}.$$

Let

$$G(\mathbf{A})^1 := \left\{ g \in G(\mathbf{A}) \mid |\chi|_{\mathbf{A}}(g) = 1 \text{ for all } \chi \in X^*(G)_{\mathbf{Q}} \right\}.$$

Here, we will call $G(\mathbf{A})^1$ the **unit adele group of** G. Let χ_1, \ldots, χ_r be a **Z**-basis of X^{*}(G)_{**Q**}. Define ϑ_G by

$$\vartheta_G : G(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}_{>0})^r : \vartheta_G(g) = (|\chi_1|_{\mathbf{A}}(g), \dots, |\chi_r|_{\mathbf{A}}(g)).$$

Then

$$G(\mathbf{A})^1 = \operatorname{Ker} \vartheta_G.$$

Thus, $G(\mathbf{A})^1$ is a normal subgroup of $G(\mathbf{A})$. Clearly, $G(\mathbf{Q}) \subset G(\mathbf{A})^1$.

Examples

(1) In the case of GL_n ,

$$X^*(GL_n)_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Z} \det$$

so

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A})^1 = \{g \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}) \mid |\det(g)|_{\mathbf{A}} = 1\}.$$

Let Z_n be the center of GL_n , and let $Z_n(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+$ be the connected component of the identity of $Z_n(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})$ with respect to the ∞ -topology. That is,

$$Z_n(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ = \{aE_n \mid a \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}\}.$$

Via the natural injection

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_\infty) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_\infty) \times \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}_f) : g \mapsto (g, e)$$

we regard $Z_n(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \subset \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A})$. Then we have a direct product decomposition

$$\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A}) = Z_n(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \cdot \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{A})^1.$$

(2) Let *G* be a connected reductive **Q**-algebraic group, and let Z_G be the maximal central **Q**-split torus of *G*. From Proposition 10, $X^*(G)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $X^*(Z_G)_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $Z_G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+$ be the connected component of the identity of $Z_G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})$ with respect to the ∞ -topology, and naturally regard $Z_G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \subset G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})$ as a subgroup of $G(\mathbf{A})$. Then $G(\mathbf{A})$ has a direct product decomposition

$$G(\mathbf{A}) = Z_G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \cdot G(\mathbf{A})^1.$$

(3) Let $Q_r = Q_{n,r} \subset GL_n$ be the standard maximal parabolic subgroup. If $Q_r = M_r U_r$ is a Levi decomposition, then $M_r \cong GL_r \times GL_{n-r}$. From $X^*(Q_r)_Q = X^*(M_r)_Q$, we get

$$Q_r(\mathbf{A})^1 = M_r(\mathbf{A})^1 U_r(\mathbf{A}), \qquad M_r(\mathbf{A})^1 \cong \operatorname{GL}_r(\mathbf{A})^1 \times \operatorname{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbf{A})^1.$$

(4) Let *G* be a connected reductive **Q**-algebraic group. If *G* is **Q**-anisotropic or if *G* is semisimple, then $X^*(G)_{\mathbf{O}} = 0$, so in this case $G(\mathbf{A})^1 = G(\mathbf{A})$.

► In general, $G(\mathbf{A})$ is not a unimodular group, but $G(\mathbf{A})^1$ is a unimodular group.

§8 Arithmetic Quotients of Adele Groups

8.1 Fundamental Domains

Let Γ be an abstract group acting on a Hausdorff topological space *V*. Denote the action by

 $\Gamma \times V \longrightarrow V : (\gamma, v) \mapsto \gamma \cdot v.$

If there exists an open set $\Omega \subset V$ satisfying the conditions:

- (1) if Ω^- denotes the closure of Ω , then $V = \Gamma \Omega^-$,
- (2) $\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \Omega^- \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\} = \{e\},\$

then such Ω is called an **open fundamental domain** for $\Gamma \setminus V$. A set *F* such that $\Omega \subset F \subset \Omega^-$ is called a **fundamental domain** for $\Gamma \setminus V$.

- Theorem 26 (Baer–Levi [27, Section 10]) –

If the action of Γ *on* V *is free and properly discontinuous, then an open fundamental domain for* $\Gamma \setminus V$ *exists.*

The action of Γ is said to be free if

$$\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma v = v\} = \{e\} \quad (\text{for all } v \in V)$$

holds. The action of Γ is said to be **properly discontinuous** if for any $v \in V$, there exists a neighborhood O_v of v such that

$$\sharp\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma O_v \cap O_v \neq \emptyset\} < \infty$$

holds.

▶ If the condition (2) for an open fundamental domain is replaced by

(2') $\sharp\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \Omega^- \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset\} < \infty$,

then a set *F* such that $\Omega \subset F \subset \Omega^-$ is called a **fundamental set**. The condition (2') is called the **Siegel property** for Γ ([3, 9.6])

Example Let G be a connected **Q**-algebraic group. The natural action of $G(\mathbf{Q})$

$$G(\mathbf{Q}) \times G(\mathbf{A})^1 \longrightarrow G(\mathbf{A})^1 : (\gamma, g) \mapsto \gamma g$$

is clearly free. For any $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$, let O_g be a compact neighborhood of g. Then $O_g \cdot O_g^{-1}$ is a compact subset of $G(\mathbf{A})^1$, and since $G(\mathbf{Q}) \subset G(\mathbf{A})^1$ is discrete,

$$\# \left(O_g \cdot O_g^{-1} \cap G(\mathbf{Q}) \right) < \infty$$

holds. Therefore, the action of $G(\mathbf{Q})$ is properly discontinuous. From this, an open fundamental domain $\Omega \subset G(\mathbf{A})^1$ for $G(\mathbf{Q})$ exists. The quotient space $G(\mathbf{Q})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})^1$ (or $G(\mathbf{Q})\backslash G(\mathbf{A})$) is called the **arithmetic quotient** of *G*.

► From proper discontinuity, the natural map π : $G(\mathbf{A})^1 \longrightarrow G(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{A})^1$ is a local homeomorphism. That is, for any $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$, there exists a neighborhood O_g such that π restricted to O_g is a homeomorphism.

8.2 Compactness Criterion

- Theorem 27 (Mostow–Tamagawa, Borel–Harish-Chandra [5, 5.8]) –

For a connected **Q**-algebraic group G, $G(\mathbf{Q})\setminus G(\mathbf{A})^1$ is compact if and only if G/R(G) is **Q**-anisotropic. Here R(G) is the radical of G.

Examples

(1) If *T* is a **Q**-torus, then R(T) = T, so $T(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus T(\mathbf{A})^1$ is compact. Let Z_T be the maximal **Q**-split torus in *T*. Since $T(\mathbf{A}) = Z_T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ T(\mathbf{A})^1$ and $T(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus T(\mathbf{A}) = Z_T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \cdot (T(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus T(\mathbf{A})^1)$, it follows that

 $T(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus T(\mathbf{A})$ is compact $\iff Z_T = \{e\}$, i.e., *T* is **Q**-anisotropic.

In particular, for the ideles $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})$, $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})^1$ is compact, but $\mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})$ is not compact.

(2) If *G* is a connected reductive **Q**-algebraic group, since $G(\mathbf{A}) = Z_G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ G(\mathbf{A})^1$ and $G(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{A}) = Z_G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \cdot (G(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{A})^1)$, the following are equivalent:

 $G(\mathbf{Q})\setminus G(\mathbf{A})$ is compact $\iff Z_G = \{e\}$ and G/R(G) is **Q**-anisotropic.

8.3 Siegel Sets

Let *G* be a connected reductive **Q**-algebraic group, let $T \,\subset\, G$ be a maximal **Q**-split torus, and let $T \subset T_{\text{max}} \subset G$ be a maximal **Q**-torus. Assume the relative root system $\Phi_{\mathbf{Q}} = \Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}(G,T) \neq \emptyset$, and fix a fundamental system $\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}$. Let $P = P_{\emptyset}$ be the standard minimal **Q**-parabolic subgroup, let M_P be its Levi subgroup and U_P its unipotent radical. Since *T* is a maximal **Q**-split torus of M_P and $R(M_P) = Z_{M_P} = T$, the quotient $M_P/R(M_P) = P/R(P)$ is **Q**-anisotropic, so $P(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus P(\mathbf{A})^1$ is compact by Theorem 27. Therefore, there exists an open and relatively compact subset $\omega \subset P(\mathbf{A})^1$ such that

$$P(\mathbf{A})^1 = P(\mathbf{Q})\omega.$$

Let $K \subset G(\mathbf{A})$ be the maximal compact subgroup given in Proposition 25. Then the Iwasawa decomposition holds:

$$G(\mathbf{A}) = P(\mathbf{A})K.$$

For a constant c > 0, set

$$T(\mathbf{A})_c := \{t \in T(\mathbf{A}) \mid |\beta|_{\mathbf{A}}(t) \ge c \quad \text{(for all } \beta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}})\}.$$

Then let

$$\mathfrak{S}_{\omega,c} := \omega T(\mathbf{A})_c K.$$

 $\mathfrak{S}_{\omega,c}$ is called a **Siegel set**.

Since $T(\mathbf{A}) = Z_T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \cdot T(\mathbf{A})^1$, if we set

$$Z_T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+_c := Z_T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^+ \cap T(\mathbf{A})_c$$

then $T(\mathbf{A})_c = Z_T(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})_c^+ \cdot T(\mathbf{A})^1$.

→ Theorem 28 (Borel–Harish-Chandra [15, Théorèm 7])
→ For some c > 0, $\mathfrak{S}_{\omega,c}$ is a fundamental set for $G(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{A})$. That is, $G(\mathbf{A}) = G(\mathbf{Q})\mathfrak{S}_{\omega,c}$ and $\#\{\gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q}) \mid \gamma\mathfrak{S}_{\omega,c} \cap \mathfrak{S}_{\omega,c} \neq \emptyset\} < \infty$

holds.

▶ It should be noted that there are two different methods to construct fundamental sets by using Siegel sets. [5, Theorem 4.5] is using a Siegel set of GL_n and is different from [15, Théorèm 7]. See also [3, Sections 9 and 13].

As an application of this theorem, the following can be proved.

- Corollary 29 ([5, 5.8]) –

Let G be a connected Q-algebraic group. Then the volume of $G(Q) \setminus G(A)^1$ by an invariant measure on $G(A)^1$ is finite.

► Every Siegel set has a finite volume if $Z_G = \{e\}$ ([3, Lemma 12.5]).

- Corollary 30 ([21, Theorem 4.2]) —

Let $G \subset GL_n$ be a connected Q-algebraic group. Then $G_Z = G(Q) \cap GL_n(Z)$ is finitely generated and is presented by a finite number of defining relations.

§9 Arithmetical Minimum Functions

9.1 Setting and Preparation

• Let *G* be a connected reductive **Q**-algebraic group, $T \subset G$ a maximal **Q**-split torus, and $T \subset T_{\text{max}} \subset G$ a maximal **Q**-torus.

• We assume the relative root system $\Phi_{\mathbf{Q}} = \Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}(G, T)$ is non-empty, and fix a fundamental system $\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset \Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}$. $\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}$ forms a basis of the real vector space $X_{\mathbf{R}} = X^*(T/Z_G) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$.

• Let $W_G = W(G, T) = W(\Phi_Q)$ be the Weyl group. Then W_G is generated by the reflections $\{s_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta_Q}$ of $X_{\mathbf{R}}$, and acts on both $X^*(T)$ and $X^*(T/Z_G)$ (see 5.1).

• We fix a simple root $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}$, and let $Q = P_{\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{\alpha\}}$ be the standard maximal parabolic **Q**-subgroup. Let Q = MU be the Levi decomposition of Q. Let Z_G and Z_M be the maximal central **Q**-split tori of G and M, respectively. We have

$$Z_G \subset Z_M \subset T$$

and

$$Z_G = \left(\bigcap_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}} \operatorname{Ker} \beta\right)^{\circ}, \qquad Z_M = \left(\bigcap_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{\alpha\}} \operatorname{Ker} \beta\right)^{\circ}.$$

• From the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow Z_M/Z_G \longrightarrow T/Z_G \longrightarrow T/Z_M \longrightarrow 1,$$

we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X^*(T/Z_M) \longrightarrow X^*(T/Z_G) \longrightarrow X^*(Z_M/Z_G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $X^*(Z_M/Z_G) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ is a one-dimensional vector space with basis $\alpha|_{Z_M}$, and $X^*(Z_M/Z_G)$ is a free **Z**-module of rank 1. From Proposition 10, $X^*(M/Z_M)_{\mathbf{Q}} = 0$. Therefore

$$X^*(M/Z_G)_{\mathbf{Q}} \subset X^*(Z_M/Z_G)_{\mathbf{Q}} = X^*(Z_M/Z_G),$$

and $X^*(M/Z_G)_{\mathbf{0}}$ is also a free **Z**-module of rank 1.

• Choose a **Z**-basis $\widehat{\alpha} \in X^*(M/Z_G)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that $\widehat{\alpha}|_{Z_M} \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}(\alpha|_{Z_M})$.

Example In the case $G = GL_n$, $Q = Q_r = Q_{n,r}$, we have

$$M = M_r = \left\{ \operatorname{diag}(a, d) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in \operatorname{GL}_r, \ d \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-r} \right\}, \qquad Z_G = Z_n.$$

Thus, for $(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, let

$$\chi_{k,\ell}: M_r \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m: \chi_{k,\ell}(\operatorname{diag}(a,d)) = (\det a)^k (\det d)^\ell.$$

Then $X^*(M_r)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \{\chi_{k,\ell} \mid (k,\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$. Since

$$\chi_{k,\ell}\Big|_{Z_n} = 0 \iff rk + (n-r)\ell = 0,$$

letting gcd(r, n - r) = r', we have

$$X^*(M_r/Z_n)_{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{Z}\chi_{(n-r)/r',-r/r'} = \mathbf{Z}\chi_{-(n-r)/r',r/r'}.$$

Since $\alpha = \alpha_r = \epsilon_r - \epsilon_{r+1}$, we have

$$\left(\chi_{(n-r)/r',-r/r'}\right)\Big|_{Z_M} = \frac{r(n-r)}{r'}\left(\alpha_r\Big|_{Z_M}\right) \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}\left(\alpha_r\Big|_{Z_M}\right).$$

Thus we can take $\widehat{\alpha} = \chi_{(n-r)/r', -r/r'}$.

▶ When $\widehat{\alpha}$ is regarded as a rational character of *M*, it becomes trivial on the commutator subgroup *D*(*M*) of *M*. The identity connected component $(T \cap D(M))^\circ$ of $T \cap D(M)$ is a maximal **Q**-split torus of *D*(*M*), and $\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{\alpha\}$ is a base of the relative root system $\Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}(D(M), (T \cap D(M))^\circ)$. From this, the restriction $\widehat{\alpha}|_T$ of $\widehat{\alpha}$ to *T* satisfies

$$(\widehat{\alpha}\big|_{T'}\beta^{\vee})=0\quad (^{\forall}\beta\in\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}-\{\alpha\}),\qquad (\widehat{\alpha}\big|_{T'}\alpha^{\vee})>0.$$

Here, β^{\vee} is the coroot corresponding to β . As noted in **3.5**, β^{\vee} is regarded as an element of $X_*(T)_F \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{R}$, and in fact, β^{\vee} is contained in $X_*((T \cap D(M))^\circ)_F$ if $\beta \neq \alpha$. This is the reason for $(\widehat{\alpha}|_T, \beta^{\vee}) = 0$. In particular, $\widehat{\alpha}|_T$ is located in the boundary of the Weyl chamber

$$C := \{ v \in X_{\mathbf{R}} \mid (v, \beta^{\vee}) > 0 \quad ({}^{\forall}\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}) \}.$$

Proposition 31 -

Let W_{α} be the subgroup of W_G generated by $\{s_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in \Delta_Q - \{\alpha\}}$. Then

$$W_{\alpha} = \left\{ w \in W_G \mid w \widehat{\alpha} \big|_T = \widehat{\alpha} \big|_T \right\}$$

holds.

Proof. Let W' denote the group on the right-hand side. By Theorem 16(1), $\Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,T) = [\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{\alpha\}]$, so $\Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,T)$ is an extended root system with base $\Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{\alpha\}$. Thus $W_{\alpha} = W(\Phi_{\mathbf{Q}}(M,T))$, and a representative n_w of $w = [n_w] \in W_{\alpha}$ can be taken from $M(\mathbf{Q})$. Since $\widehat{\alpha}$ is a rational character of M, we have

$$w\widehat{\alpha}\big|_{T}(t) = \widehat{\alpha}(n_{w}^{-1}tn_{w}) = \widehat{\alpha}(n_{w})^{-1}\widehat{\alpha}(t)\widehat{\alpha}(n_{w}) = \widehat{\alpha}\big|_{T}(t) \qquad ({}^{\forall}t \in T, {}^{\forall}w \in W_{\alpha}).$$

Therefore $W_{\alpha} \subset W'$, and

$$\{s_{\beta}\}_{\beta\in\Delta_{\mathbf{O}}-\{\alpha\}}\subset W'\cap\{s_{\beta}\}_{\beta\in\Delta_{\mathbf{O}}}.$$

By the previous remark and the general theory of Coxeter groups ([17, 1.12 Theorem (a)]), W' is generated by $W' \cap \{s_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}}$. Since $\widehat{\alpha}|_{Z_{M}} \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}\alpha|_{Z_{M}}$, we have $s_{\alpha} \notin W'$. Thus

$$\{s_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{\alpha\}} = W' \cap \{s_{\beta}\}_{\beta \in \Delta_{\mathbf{Q}}}$$

must hold, so $W_{\alpha} = W'$.

▶ From the Bruhat decomposition, we have

$$G(\mathbf{Q}) = \bigsqcup_{[w] \in W_{\alpha} \setminus W_{G}/W_{\alpha}} Q(\mathbf{Q}) n_{w} Q(\mathbf{Q}).$$

Fix a maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G(\mathbf{A})$ which admits an Iwasawa decomposition. Since $G(\mathbf{A}) = Q(\mathbf{A})K$, we can write $g \in G(\mathbf{A})$ as

$$g = umh$$
 $(u \in U(\mathbf{A}), m \in M(\mathbf{A}), h \in K).$

Then define

$$z_Q(g) := Z_G(\mathbf{A})M(\mathbf{A})^1 m \in Z_G(\mathbf{A})M(\mathbf{A})^1 \setminus M(\mathbf{A}).$$

– Proposition 32 –

The map
$$z_Q : G(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow Z_G(\mathbf{A})M(\mathbf{A})^1 \setminus M(\mathbf{A})$$
 is well-defined, and

$$z_Q(g'g) = z_Q(g) \qquad ({}^{\forall}g' \in Z_G(A)Q(A)^1, {}^{\forall}g \in G(A))$$

 $z_Q(g'g) = z_Q(g)$ holds. Thus z_Q induces a map

$$z_Q : Z_G(A)Q(A)^1 \setminus G(A) \longrightarrow Z_G(A)M(A)^1 \setminus M(A).$$

Proof. Let g = u'm'h' ($u' \in U(\mathbf{A}), m' \in M(\mathbf{A}), h' \in K$) be another decomposition of *g*. Since

$$h'h^{-1} \in Q(\mathbf{A}) \cap K \subset Q(\mathbf{A})^1 = U(\mathbf{A})M(\mathbf{A})^1,$$

we can write

$$h' = u_1 m_1 h$$
 $(u_1 \in U(\mathbf{A}), m_1 \in M(\mathbf{A})^1).$

Thus

 $umh = u'm'u_1m_1h$, so $m = m_2m'$, $(m_2 = m'm_1(m')^{-1} \in M(\mathbf{A})^1)$.

Therefore $Z_G(\mathbf{A})M(\mathbf{A})^1m = Z_G(\mathbf{A})M(\mathbf{A})^1m'$. The latter half is trivial.

- Proposition 33

The natural map

$$Q(\mathbf{A})^1 \setminus G(\mathbf{A})^1 \longrightarrow Z_G(\mathbf{A})Q(\mathbf{A})^1 \setminus G(\mathbf{A})$$

is bijective.

Proof. Since $G(\mathbf{A}) = Z_G(\mathbf{R})^+ G(\mathbf{A})^1$, surjectivity is clear. For $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$, it suffices to show that if $g \in Z_G(\mathbf{A})Q(\mathbf{A})^1$, then $g \in Q(\mathbf{A})^1$. Let

$$g = zh$$
, $(z \in Z_G(\mathbf{A}), h \in Q(\mathbf{A})^1)$.

Then

$$z = gh^{-1} \in G(\mathbf{A})^1.$$

Since $X^*(G) \subset X^*(Z_G)$ has finite index, $Z_G(\mathbf{A})^1 = Z_G(\mathbf{A}) \cap G(\mathbf{A})^1$. Thus $z \in Z_G(\mathbf{A})^1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow X^*(Z_M/Z_G) \longrightarrow X^*(Z_M) \longrightarrow X^*(Z_G) \longrightarrow 0$$

and elementary divisor theory, we have $Z_G(\mathbf{A})^1 \subset Z_M(\mathbf{A})^1$. Thus $Z_G(\mathbf{A})^1 \subset M(\mathbf{A})^1 \subset Q(\mathbf{A})^1$, so $g = zh \in Q(\mathbf{A})^1$.

9.2 Height Functions

 $\widehat{\alpha}$ defines a continuous homomorphism

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{A}} : Z_G(\mathbf{A}) M(\mathbf{A})^1 \setminus M(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A}).$$

The composition of z_Q and $\widehat{\alpha}_A$ gives the map

$$\widehat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{A}} \circ z_{Q} : G(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow Z_{G}(\mathbf{A}) M(\mathbf{A})^{1} \setminus M(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_{m}(\mathbf{A}).$$

Composing this with the idele norm, define

$$H_Q : G(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0} : H_Q(g) = |\widehat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{A}} \circ z_Q(g)|_{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}.$$

Letting $\mathcal{Y} = Q(\mathbf{A})^1 \setminus G(\mathbf{A})^1 = Z_G(\mathbf{A})Q(\mathbf{A})^1 \setminus G(\mathbf{A})$, H_Q defines a continuous function on \mathcal{Y} :

 $H_{\mathcal{Q}}: \mathcal{Y} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}.$

By definition, for any $u \in U(\mathbf{A}), m \in M(\mathbf{A}), g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$,

$$H_Q(umg) = [\widehat{\alpha}_{\mathbf{A}}(m)]_{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} H_Q(g)$$

holds. Then, from the Iwasawa decomposition $G(\mathbf{A}) = Q(\mathbf{A})K$,

$$\sup_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \frac{H_Q(yg)}{H_Q(y)} = \sup_{h \in K} H_Q(hg) = \max_{h \in K} H_Q(hg)$$

holds. We denote this by

$$\|g\| := \max_{h \in K} H_Q(hg)$$

and call this the norm of *g*. The following is easily verified.

 $\begin{aligned} - \text{Proposition 34} \\ \hline \text{The norm } \|\cdot\| &: \ G(\mathbf{A})^1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0} \text{ is continuous and satisfies the following:} \\ (1) & \|g_1g_2\| \leq \|g_1\| \cdot \|g_2\| \quad (^{\forall}g_1, g_2 \in G(\mathbf{A})^1) \\ (2) & \|h_1gh_2\| = \|g\| \quad (^{\forall}h_1, h_2 \in K, \ ^{\forall}g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1) \\ (3) & \|h\| = 1 \quad (^{\forall}h \in K) \end{aligned}$

Let $X = Q(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{Q})$. Since $G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap Q(\mathbf{A})^1 = Q(\mathbf{Q})$, there is a natural injection

 $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}.$

For each $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$, we have

$$\chi_g \subset \mathcal{Y}$$
.

For $\lambda > 0$, let

$$B_{\lambda} := \{ y \in \mathcal{Y} \mid H_O(y) \le \lambda \}.$$

This is a closed subset of \mathcal{Y} .

Proposition 35 -

For any $\lambda > 0$ and $g \in G(A)^1$, $Xg \cap B_{\lambda}$ is a finite set.

Proof. Fix λ and g. For $y \in B_{\lambda}$,

$$H_Q(yg^{-1}) \le H_Q(y) ||g^{-1}|| \le \lambda ||g^{-1}||.$$

Let $\mu = \lambda ||g^{-1}||$. Then $B_{\lambda}g^{-1} \subset B_{\mu}$. It suffices to show that $X \cap B_{\mu}$ is a finite set. Since Q is a parabolic subgroup, $Q \setminus G$ can be embedded into a projective space. By using the existence of a **strongly Q-rational representation**([4, Section 12]), we can construct an embedding $\varphi : Q \setminus G \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$ defined over \mathbf{Q} satisfying the following ([28, Section 3]):

• There exists a constant μ' , depending on μ , such that

 $\varphi(\mathcal{X} \cap B_{\mu}) \subset \{ v \in \mathbf{P}^{N}(\mathbf{Q}) \mid H_{\mathbf{P}^{N}}(v) \leq \mu' \},\$

where $H_{\mathbf{P}^N}$ denotes the Weil height on \mathbf{P}^N .

By Northcott's theorem ([1, Theorem 2.4.9]), the right-hand side is a finite set. Therefore, $X \cap B_{\mu}$ is also a finite set.

▶ In [4, Section 12], *G* is assumed to be semisimple. When *G* is reductive, it is easy to extend a strongly **Q**-rational representation of D(G) to *G*. See [3, 14.4].

Let $G_m(A)_{>1} := \{a \in G_m(A) \mid |a|_A > 1\}.$

- Proposition 36 ———

Let $\sigma = [n_{\sigma}]$ and $\tau = [n_{\tau}] \in W_G$ be elements such that $\sigma^{-1}W_{\alpha} \neq \tau^{-1}W_{\alpha}$. Then there exists a Q-cocharacter $\xi = \xi_{\sigma,\tau} \in X_*(T)_Q$ of T such that

$$H_Q(n_{\sigma}\xi(a)n_{\sigma}^{-1}) > H_Q(n_{\tau}\xi(a)n_{\tau}^{-1}) \qquad ({}^{\forall}a \in \mathcal{G}_m(\mathcal{A})_{>1}).$$

Proof. By Proposition 31, $\sigma^{-1}\widehat{\alpha}|_T \neq \tau^{-1}\widehat{\alpha}|_T$. Thus, by the nondegenerate pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X^*(T)_{\mathbf{Q}} \times X_*(T)_{\mathbf{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z},$$

there exists $\xi \in X_*(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ such that

$$\ell := \langle \sigma^{-1} \widehat{\alpha} \big|_T - \tau^{-1} \widehat{\alpha} \big|_T , \xi \rangle < 0.$$

Then we can write

$$\widehat{\alpha}(n_{\sigma}\xi(x)n_{\sigma}^{-1})\cdot\widehat{\alpha}(n_{\tau}\xi(x)n_{\tau}^{-1})^{-1}=x^{\ell}\qquad (^{\forall}x\in\mathbf{G}_{m}).$$

Therefore, if $a \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})_{>1}$, then

$$H_Q(n_{\sigma}\xi(a)n_{\sigma}^{-1})^{-1} \cdot H_Q(n_{\tau}\xi(a)n_{\tau}^{-1}) = |a|_{\mathbf{A}}^{\ell} < 1$$

holds.

9.3 Arithmetical Minimum Functions

By Proposition 35, for $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$, the following minimum exists:

$$m_Q(g) := \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} H_Q(xg).$$

We call m_Q the **arithmetical minimum function** defined by H_Q . Let

 $\pi : G(\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X} = Q(\mathbf{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbf{Q})$

be the natural map, and let $\overline{e} = \pi(e) \in X$. Clearly,

$$m_Q(g) \le H_Q(\overline{e}g) = H_Q(g).$$

← Proposition 37 The function m_Q : $G(A)^1 \longrightarrow R_{>0}$ is continuous.

Proof. Let *O* be a compact neighborhood of $g_0 \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$. Since H_Q and the norm are continuous, there exist

$$\lambda = \max_{g \in O} H_Q(g), \qquad c = \max_{g \in O} ||g^{-1}||.$$

Letting $\mu = c\lambda$, as in the proof of Proposition 35, we have

$$\overline{e} \in \mathcal{X} \cap B_{\lambda}g^{-1} \subset \mathcal{X} \cap B_{\mu} \qquad (^{\forall}g \in O).$$

Since $X \cap B_{\mu}$ is a finite set, let $X \cap B_{\mu} = \{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\}$. Then

$$m_Q(g) = \min_{1 \le i \le \ell} H_Q(x_i g) \qquad ({}^\forall g \in O).$$

Thus m_Q is continuous on O.

► By definition,

$$m_Q(\gamma gh) = m_Q(g)$$
 $(\gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q}), g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1, h \in K),$

so

$$m_Q : G(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{A})^1 / K \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}.$$

§10 Fundamental Domains for Arithmetic Quotients

The setting is the same as in Section 9.

10.1 Minimal Points

For $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$, define

$$X_{O}(g) := \{ x \in \mathcal{X} \mid m_{O}(g) = H_{O}(xg) \}.$$

This is a finite set by Proposition 35. Clearly,

$$X_Q(\gamma gh) = X_Q(g)\gamma^{-1} \qquad (\gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q}), g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1, h \in K)$$

holds. An element of $X_Q(g)$ is called a **minimal point** of *g*

Proposition 38 —

For each $g_0 \in G(A)^1$, there exists a neighborhood O of g_0 such that $X_Q(g) \subset X_Q(g_0)$ holds for all $g \in O$.

Proof. For g_0 , let

$$c = \min_{x \in \mathcal{X} - X_Q(g_0)} H_Q(xg_0).$$

Then $m_Q(g_0) < c$. Choose a constant δ such that $1 < \delta < c/m_Q(g_0)$, and let

$$O_e := \left\{ u \in G(\mathbf{A})^1 \mid ||u^{-1}|| < \frac{c}{\delta m_Q(g_0)} \text{ and } \frac{m_Q(g_0 u)}{m_Q(g_0)} < \delta \right\}.$$

By the continuity of m_Q , O_e is a neighborhood of the identity element *e*. We will show that $X_Q(g_0u) \subset X_Q(g_0)$ for any $u \in O_e$. By the definition of the norm, for any $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $u \in O_e$, we have

$$\frac{H_Q(yuu^{-1})}{H_Q(yu)} \le ||u^{-1}||.$$

Let $y = xg_0$ with $x \in X_Q(g_0u)$. Then

$$\frac{H_Q(xg_0)}{\|u^{-1}\|} \le H_Q(xg_0u) = m_Q(g_0u).$$

If $x \notin X_Q(g_0)$, then $c \le H_Q(xg_0)$, so

$$\delta m_Q(g_0) \le \delta m_Q(g_0) \frac{H_Q(xg_0)}{c} < \frac{H_Q(xg_0)}{\|u^{-1}\|} \le m_Q(g_0u) < \delta m_Q(g_0),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $x \in X_Q(g_0)$.

► A neighborhood *O* with the property of Proposition 38 will be called a **stable neighborhood** of g_0 .

10.2 Ryshkov Domains

For m_O , define the closed subset of $G(\mathbf{A})^1$ as follows:

$$R = R_Q := \{g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1 \mid m_Q(g) = H_Q(g)\} = \{g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1 \mid \overline{e} \in X_Q(g)\},\$$

which is called the **Ryshkov domain** defined by m_0 .

Proposition 39 The following hold: (1) R = Q(Q)RK. (2) $G(A)^1 = G(Q)R$. (3) For $g \in G(A)^1$, $X_Q(g) = {\pi(\gamma) | \gamma \in G(Q), \gamma g \in R}$. (4) For $\gamma \in G(Q)$, $\gamma R \subset R$ if and only if $\gamma \in Q(Q)$.

Proof. (1) is clear. Let $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$ and $x = \pi(\gamma) \in X$. From

$$x \in X_Q(g) \iff H_Q(xg) = m_Q(g) = m_Q(\gamma g) \iff \gamma g \in R,$$

both (2) and (3) follow. Suppose $\gamma R \subset R$. Then for any $g \in R$, $\gamma g \in R$, so by (3), $x = \pi(\gamma) \in X_Q(g)$. Thus

$$x\in \bigcap_{g\in R}X_Q(g).$$

Since $\gamma Q(\mathbf{Q})R = \gamma R \subset R$, the same argument implies

$$\pi(\gamma Q(\mathbf{Q})) \subset \bigcap_{g \in R} X_Q(g).$$

If $\gamma \notin Q(\mathbf{Q})$, then $\pi(\gamma Q(\mathbf{Q})) \subset X$ is an infinite set by the Bruhat decomposition.

For each $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$, denote the number of elements in $X_Q(g)$ by $n_Q(g)$.

– Proposition 40 –

Let $g_0 \in R$ be such that $n_Q(g_0) \ge 2$, and let $x_0 \in X_Q(g_0)$ be arbitrary. Then any neighborhood O of g_0 contains an element $g \in O$ such that $X_Q(g) \subset X_Q(g_0)$ and $x_0 \notin X_Q(g)$.

Proof. We may assume that *O* is a stable neighborhood of g_0 . Since $n_Q(g_0) \ge 2$, we can take $\overline{e} \neq y \in X_Q(g_0)$. This *y* can be written as

$$y = \pi(n_{\sigma}\gamma), \qquad (\gamma \in Q(\mathbf{Q}), \ \sigma = [n_{\sigma}] \in W_G - W_{\alpha}).$$

By Proposition 36, there exists a cocharacter $\xi = \xi_{\sigma,e} \in X_*(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$H_Q(n_{\sigma}\xi(a)n_{\sigma}^{-1}) > H_Q(\xi(a)) \qquad (\forall a \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})_{>1}).$$

Take *a* sufficiently close to the identity so that $g_a := \gamma^{-1}\xi(a)\gamma g_0 \in O$. Then

$$H_Q(g_a) = H_Q(\xi(a)\gamma g_0) = H_Q(\xi(a))H_Q(g_0)$$

= $H_Q(\xi(a))m_Q(g_0),$
 $H_Q(yg_a) = H_Q(n_\sigma\xi(a)\gamma g_0) = H_Q(n_\sigma\xi(a)n_\sigma^{-1})H_Q(n_\sigma\gamma g_0)$
= $H_Q(n_\sigma\xi(a)n_\sigma^{-1})m_Q(g_0)$

hold. Therefore

$$\begin{cases} H_Q(g_a) < H_Q(yg_a) & (a \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})_{>1}), \\ H_Q(g_a) > H_Q(yg_a) & (a^{-1} \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})_{>1}). \end{cases}$$

We consider the cases for $x_0 \in X_Q(g_0)$. If $x_0 = \overline{e}$, then taking $a^{-1} \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})_{>1}$, we have

$$m_Q(g_a) \le H_Q(yg_a) < H_Q(g_a),$$

so $x_0 \notin X_Q(g_a)$. If $x_0 \neq \overline{e}$, we can take $y = x_0$. Taking $a \in \mathbf{G}_m(\mathbf{A})_{>1}$, we have

$$m_{\mathcal{O}}(g_a) \le H_{\mathcal{O}}(g_a) < H_{\mathcal{O}}(x_0 g_a),$$

so $x_0 \notin X_Q(g_a)$.

Proof. Take $g_0 \in R$ such that $n_Q(g_0) = \min_{g \in R} n_Q(g)$. If $n_Q(g_0) \ge 2$, then by Proposition 40, there exists $g_1 \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$ such that $n_Q(g_1) < n_Q(g_0)$. By Proposition 39 (2), there exists $\gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q})$ such that $\gamma g_1 \in R$. Since $X_Q(\gamma g_1) = X_Q(g_1)\gamma^{-1}$, we have $n_Q(\gamma g_1) = n_Q(g_1) < n_Q(g_0)$, which contradicts the minimality of $n_Q(g_0)$.

10.3 Interior of *R*

Let R° be the interior of R in $G(\mathbf{A})^1$. Define

$$R_1 := \{g \in R \mid n_Q(g) = 1\} = \{g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1 \mid X_Q(g) = \{\overline{e}\}\}.$$

Clearly $Q(\mathbf{Q})R_1 = R_1$ and

$$G(\mathbf{Q})R_1 = \{g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1 \mid n_Q(g) = 1\}.$$

Proposition 42 -

The following hold:

- (1) $R^{\circ} = R_1$.
- (2) $G(Q)R_1$ is open and dense in $G(A)^1$.
- (3) For $\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q})$, $R_1 \cap \gamma R \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\gamma \in Q(\mathbb{Q})$.
- (4) Let R_1^- be the closure of R_1 in $G(A)^1$. Then $G(A)^1 = G(Q)R_1^-$.

Proof. (1) Let $g \in R_1$ and O be a stable neighborhood of g. Then $O \subset R_1$, so R_1 is open. Thus $R_1 \subset R^\circ$. If $R_1 \neq R^\circ$, there exists $g_0 \in R^\circ$ such that $n_Q(g_0) \ge 2$. By Proposition 40, R° contains $g \in R^\circ$ such that $\overline{e} \notin X_Q(g)$, which contradicts the definition of R.

(2) Since R_1 is open, $G(\mathbf{Q})R_1$ is also open. We show by contradiction that $G(\mathbf{A})^1 - G(\mathbf{Q})R_1$ has no interior points. Suppose there exists an interior point $g_0 \in G(\mathbf{A})^1 - G(\mathbf{Q})R_1$. Then there exists a neighborhood O of g_0 such that $O \cap G(\mathbf{Q})R_1 = \emptyset$. There exists $\gamma_0 \in G(\mathbf{Q})$ such that $\gamma_0g_0 \in R$. Since $n_Q(g_0) = n_Q(\gamma_0g_0) \ge 2$, by Proposition 40, there exists $g_1 \in \gamma_0O$ such that $n_Q(g_1) < n_Q(g_0)$. There exists $\gamma_1 \in G(\mathbf{Q})$ such that $\gamma_1g_1 \in R$. If $n_Q(g_1) \ge 2$, there exist $g_2 \in \gamma_1\gamma_0O$ and $\gamma_2 \in G(\mathbf{Q})$ such that $n_Q(g_2) < n_Q(g_1)$ and $\gamma_2g_2 \in R$. Repeating this process, we find $g_\ell \in \gamma_{\ell-1} \cdots \gamma_1O$ such that $n_Q(g_\ell) = 1$. Then $(\gamma_{\ell-1} \cdots \gamma_1)^{-1}g_\ell \in O \cap G(\mathbf{Q})R_1$, which is a contradiction.

(3) If there exists $g \in R_1 \cap \gamma R$, then by Proposition 39 (3),

$$\pi(\gamma^{-1}) \in X_Q(g) = \{\overline{e}\},\$$

so $\gamma \in Q(\mathbf{Q})$.

(4) Let $g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1$ be arbitrary, and let O be a stable neighborhood of g. By (2), there exists a sequence $\{g_n\} \subset O \cap G(\mathbf{Q})R^1$ converging to g. Since $n_Q(g_n) = 1$, we have $X_Q(g_n) = \{x_n\}$. Since $x_n \in X_Q(g)$ for all n, there exists a subsequence $\{g_{n_j}\}$ such that $x_{n_j} = x$ for all j. Let $x = \pi(\gamma), \gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q})$. Then $\{g_{n_j}\} \subset \gamma^{-1}R_1$, and $g \in \gamma^{-1}R_1^-$.

▶ The arithmetical minimum function m_Q has the maximum value max m_Q . ([29, Proposition 1]). This is proved by using Borel–Harish-Chandra's Theorem (Theorem 28). By Proposition 42 (4), we have

$$\max m_Q = \max_{g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1} m_Q(g) = \max_{g \in R_1^-} m_Q(g).$$

If $g_0 \in R_1^-$ attains max m_Q , then g_0 must be in the boundary of R_1^- . This is proved as follows. The height function H_Q gives an isomorphism from $Z_G(\mathbf{A})Z_M(\mathbf{A})^1 \setminus Z_M(\mathbf{A})$ onto $\mathbf{R}_{>0}$. Let $g_0 \in R_1$ and $z \in Z_M(\mathbf{A})$. If z is sufficiently close to the identity element, then $zg_0 \in R_1$ since R_1 is open, and we have

$$m_Q(zg_0) = H_Q(zg_0) = H_Q(z)H_Q(g_0) = H_Q(z)m_Q(g_0).$$

Since $H_Q(z)$ can vary in a neighborhood of 1, $m_Q(g_0)$ cannot be a maximum.

10.4 Fundamental Domains

Since $Q(\mathbf{Q})R_1^- = R_1^-$, $Q(\mathbf{Q})$ acts freely and properly discontinuously on R_1^- . Let Ω be an open fundamental domain for $Q(\mathbf{Q})$ in R_1^- . Denote the interior and closure of Ω in $G(\mathbf{A})^1$ by Ω° and Ω^- , respectively.

- Proposition 43 $\Omega^{\circ} = \Omega \cap R_1 \text{ and } \Omega^- = (\Omega^{\circ})^-.$

Proof. $\Omega^{\circ} \subset R_1$. Indeed, if there exists $g_0 \in \Omega^{\circ} \cap (R_1^- - R_1)$, then $n_Q(g_0) \ge 2$. Let $O \subset \Omega^{\circ}$ be a neighborhood of g_0 . Then by Proposition 40, there exists $g \in O \subset R_1^-$ such that $\overline{e} \notin X_Q(g)$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\Omega^{\circ} \subset \Omega \cap R_1$. Since Ω is open in the relative topology of R_1^- , there exists an open set U in $G(\mathbf{A})^1$ such that $\Omega = R_1^- \cap U$. Therefore $\Omega \cap R_1 = R_1 \cap U$ is open in $G(\mathbf{A})^1$, so $\Omega \cap R_1 \subset \Omega^{\circ}$. For the latter half, it suffices to show that $\Omega \subset (\Omega^{\circ})^-$. Let $g \in \Omega$, and let O be any neighborhood of g in $G(\mathbf{A})^1$. Since $g \in R_1^-$, we have $O \cap R_1 \neq \emptyset$. Thus $g \in (\Omega \cap R_1)^-$.

— Theorem 44 -

 Ω° is an open fundamental domain for G(Q) in $G(A)^{1}$.

Proof. By the choice of Ω , we have $R_1^- = Q(\mathbf{Q})\Omega^-$. By Proposition 42 (4), $G(\mathbf{A})^1 = G(\mathbf{Q})R_1^- = G(\mathbf{Q})\Omega^-$. Let $\gamma \in G(\mathbf{Q})$ be such that $\Omega^\circ \cap \gamma \Omega^- \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 42 (3), $\gamma \in Q(\mathbf{Q})$. Since Ω is an open fundamental domain for the action of $Q(\mathbf{Q})$, we have $\gamma = e$.

10.5 Class Numbers

We prepare a result that will be needed in the next section. We have fixed a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(\mathbf{A})$, which we express as

$$K = K_{\infty} \times K_f, \qquad K_f := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_f} K_p.$$

We define the following notation:

$$G_{\mathbf{A},\infty} := G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) \times K_f, \quad G^1_{\mathbf{A},\infty} := G_{\mathbf{A},\infty} \cap G(\mathbf{A})^1, \quad G_{\mathbf{Z}} := G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap G_{\mathbf{A},\infty}.$$

The number of elements in the double coset space $G(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{A}) / G_{\mathbf{A},\infty}$ is denoted by

 $n(G) := \# (G(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{A}) / G_{\mathbf{A},\infty}).$

We call n(G) the **class number** of *G*.

- Theorem 45 ([5, 5.1]) —

n(G) is finite.

Examples

(1) $n(GL_n) = 1$. In particular, $n(G_m) = 1$, and hence n(T) = 1 if *T* is a **Q**-split torus.

(2) Let *G* be a connected simply connected almost simple **Q**-group. If *G* is **Q**-isotropic, then n(G) = 1. This is a consequence of the strong approximation property of *G* ([21, Theorem 7.12]). In particular, $n(SL_n) = 1$.

(3) The class number can be defined for a general connected **Q**-algebraic group, not necessarily reductive. The class number of a unipotent group equals to 1 ([5, 2.5]). In general, the class number of a connected **Q**-algebraic group is less than or equal to the class number of its Levi subgroup ([5, 2.7]).

► If n(G) = 1, then clearly $G(\mathbf{A}) = G(\mathbf{Q})G_{\mathbf{A},\infty}$. From this, $G(\mathbf{A})^1 = G(\mathbf{Q})G_{\mathbf{A},\infty}^1$.

► Let k be an algebraic number field of finite degree. The class number $n_k(G)$ is defined as

$$n_{\mathbf{k}}(G) := \# \left(G(\mathbf{k}) \setminus G(\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}}) / G_{\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{k}},\infty} \right),$$

where $\mathbf{A}_k = \mathbf{A} \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{k}$ denotes the adele ring of k. The class number $n_k(GL_n)$ coincides with the ideal class number of k ([5, 2.2]).

- Theorem 46 ([5, 7.5])

Let **P** be a parabolic **Q**-subgroup of **G**. Then the number of elements in the double coset space $P(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbf{Q}) / G_{\mathbf{Z}}$ is equal to the class number of a Levi subgroup of **P**.

Example In the case $G = GL_n$, we can take $K_{\infty} = O_n(\mathbf{R})$ and $K_p = GL_n(\mathbf{Z}_p)$. In this case,

$$G_{\mathbf{Z}} = \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{Q}) \cap \left(\operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{R}) \times \prod_{p} \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{Z}_{p})\right) = \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{Z}).$$

Since the class number of T_n is equal to 1, we have

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathrm{B}_n(\mathbf{Q})\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}).$$

In particular, for the standard maximal parabolic subgroup $Q = Q_{n,k}$,

$$\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{Q})\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}).$$

This equality can be shown elementarily without using the result of class number 1. Let $X = Q(\mathbf{Q}) \setminus GL_n(\mathbf{Q})$, and let $\pi : GL_n(\mathbf{Q}) \longrightarrow X$ be the natural map. Then $\pi(GL_n(\mathbf{Z})) = X$. Let $Q_{\mathbf{Z}} = Q(\mathbf{Q}) \cap GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$. Then π induces a bijection $Q_{\mathbf{Z}} \setminus GL_n(\mathbf{Z}) \cong X$.

§11 Fundamental Domains in the Case of Class Number 1

We use the same notation as in Section 10.

11.1 ∞ Components

In this section, we assume n(G) = n(M) = 1. Thus

$$G(\mathbf{Q}) = Q(\mathbf{Q})G_{\mathbf{Z}}, \qquad G(\mathbf{A})^1 = G(\mathbf{Q})G_{\mathbf{A},\infty}^1 = Q(\mathbf{Q})G_{\mathbf{A},\infty}^1$$

hold. From $G(\mathbf{A}) = G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) \times G(\mathbf{A}_{f})$, we regard $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})$ as a subgroup of $G(\mathbf{A})$ and set $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1} := G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) \cap G(\mathbf{A})^{1}$, i.e.,

$$G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1} = \{umh \mid u \in U(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}), m \in M(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}), h \in K_{\infty}, |\widehat{\alpha}(m)|_{\infty} = 1\}.$$

Clearly, $G_{\mathbf{A},\infty}^1 = G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^1 \times K_f$. Also, for $g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^1$, by the class number 1 assumption,

$$m_Q(g_\infty) = \min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} H_Q(xg_\infty) = \min_{\delta \in G_Z} H_Q(\delta g_\infty).$$

► Since $\delta g_{\infty} = (\delta g_{\infty}, \delta) \in G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^1 \times K_f$ as an element of $G(\mathbf{A})^1_{\mathbf{A},\infty'}$ the finite adelic component is contained in K_f . In the decomposition $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) = U(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})M(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})K_{\infty}$ which follows from the Iwasawa decomposition, let $(\delta g_{\infty})_M$ denote the $M(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})$ component of δg_{∞} . Then

$$H_Q(\delta g_\infty) = [\widehat{\alpha}((\delta g_\infty)_M)]_\infty^{-1}.$$

Therefore, $H_Q(\delta g_{\infty})$, and hence $m_Q(g_{\infty})$, are determined only by the infinite place component.

We define the Ryshkov domain at the infinite place by

$$R_{\infty} := \{g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^1 \mid m_Q(g_{\infty}) = H_Q(g_{\infty})\}.$$

By the class number 1 assumption, i.e., $G(\mathbf{A})^1 = Q(\mathbf{Q})G^1_{\mathbf{A},\infty}$, we have

$$R = Q(\mathbf{Q}) \cdot (R_{\infty} \times K_f).$$

Define the subgroup $Q_{\mathbf{Z}}$ of $Q(\mathbf{Q})$ by

$$Q_{\mathbf{Z}} := Q(\mathbf{Q}) \cap G_{\mathbf{A},\infty} = Q(\mathbf{Q}) \cap G_{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

The closed set $R_{\infty} \times K_f$ is $Q_{\mathbf{Z}}$ -invariant, i.e.,

$$Q_{\mathbf{Z}} \cdot (R_{\infty} \times K_f) = R_{\infty} \times K_f.$$

- Proposition 47 -

For $g \in Q(Q)$, $g(R_{\infty} \times K_f) \cap (R_{\infty} \times K_f) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $g \in Q_Z$.

Proof. If there exists $h \in R_{\infty} \times K_f$ such that $gh \in R_{\infty} \times K_f$, then

$$g \in (R_{\infty} \times K_f)h^{-1} \subset G_{\mathbf{A},\infty},$$

so $g \in Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$. The converse is clear.

Let $\{\gamma_j\}$ be a complete set of representatives for $Q(\mathbf{Q})/Q_{\mathbf{Z}}$. Then by Proposition 47,

$$R = \bigsqcup_{j} \gamma_{j}(R_{\infty} \times K_{f}) \qquad \text{(disjoint union)}.$$

Let R_{∞}° be the interior of R_{∞} in $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1}$. Denote the closure of R_{∞}° by R_{∞}^{*} . Since the above decomposition of R is disjoint,

$$R^{\circ} = \bigsqcup_{j} \gamma_{j}(R^{\circ}_{\infty} \times K_{f}), \qquad R^{-}_{1} = \bigsqcup_{j} \gamma_{j}(R^{*}_{\infty} \times K_{f}).$$

Let

$$R_{\infty,1} = \{g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^1 \mid n_Q(g_{\infty}) = 1\}.$$

Then $R^{\circ}_{\infty} = R_{\infty,1}$ by Proposition 42.

- Theorem 48 -

Let Ω_{∞} be an open fundamental domain for the action of Q_Z in R_{∞}^* . Then $\Omega = \Omega_{\infty} \times K_f$ is an open fundamental domain for the action of Q(Q) in R_1^- .

Proof. Let Ω_{∞}^* be the closure of Ω_{∞} in $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^1$. Then $\Omega^- = \Omega_{\infty}^* \times K_f$ and

$$Q(\mathbf{Q})\Omega^{-} = Q(\mathbf{Q})(\Omega_{\infty}^{*} \times K_{f}) = \bigcup_{j} \gamma_{j} Q_{\mathbf{Z}}(\Omega_{\infty}^{*} \times K_{f}) = \bigcup_{j} \gamma_{j} (R_{\infty}^{*} \times K_{f}) = R_{1}^{-}.$$

Suppose

 $\emptyset \neq \Omega \cap g\Omega^- = (\Omega_\infty \times K_f) \cap g(\Omega^*_\infty \times K_f)$

for $g \in Q(\mathbf{Q})$. Then $g = \gamma_j \delta$ for some j and $\delta \in Q_{\mathbf{Z}}$. Since $\delta \Omega_{\infty}^* \subset R_{\infty}$,

 $\emptyset \neq (R_{\infty} \times K_f) \cap \gamma_j(R_{\infty} \times K_f).$

By Proposition 47, $\gamma_i = e$, so $g = \delta$. Furthermore,

$$\emptyset \neq \Omega_{\infty} \cap \delta \Omega_{\infty}^*$$

implies $\delta = e$.

► Let Ω_{∞}° be the interior of Ω_{∞} in $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1}$. By Theorem 44, $\Omega_{\infty}^{\circ} \times K_{f}$ gives an open fundamental domain for $G(\mathbf{Q})$ in $G(\mathbf{A})^{1}$.

► A simple argument shows that Ω_{∞}° is an open fundamental domain for $G_{\mathbf{Z}}$ in $G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1}$.

► As noted below Proposition 42, the maximum of m_Q is attained on the boundary of R_{∞}^* .

▶ The cases where the class number is not equal to 1, as well as the cases where the field of definition is an algebraic number field, were studied by Lee Tim Weng ([30]). Fujimori ([12]) studied the case GL_n defined over an algebraic number field.

11.2 The Case of GL_n

Let $G = GL_n$, fix $k \in \{1, ..., n - 1\}$, and let $Q = Q_k = Q_{n,k}$. Take the maximal compact subgroup *K* of $G(\mathbf{A})$ as

$$K_{\infty} = \mathcal{O}_n(\mathbf{R}), \qquad K_p = \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}_p) \quad ({}^{\forall} p \in \mathcal{P}_f).$$

By definition,

$$G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1} = \{g_{\infty} \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{R}) \mid |\det g|_{\infty} = 1\}.$$

Also,

$$G_{\mathbf{Z}} = G(\mathbf{Q}) \cap G_{\mathbf{A},\infty} = \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}), \qquad Q_{\mathbf{Z}} = Q(\mathbf{Q}) \cap \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z}).$$

Let

 $P_n := \{A \in M_n(\mathbf{R}) \mid {}^tA = A, A \text{ is positive definite}\}, \qquad P_n^1 := P_n \cap SL_n(\mathbf{R}).$

Then the map

$$f : G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}) = \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{P}_{n} : f(g_{\infty}) = {}^{t}g_{\infty}^{-1}g_{\infty}^{-1}$$

gives homeomorphisms

$$G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})/K_{\infty} \cong P_n, \qquad G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^1/K_{\infty} \cong P_n^1.$$

Any $A \in P_n$ has a unique Jacobi decomposition

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} E_k & 0 \\ {}^t u & E_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & w \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_k & u \\ 0 & E_{n-k} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (u \in \mathcal{M}_{k,n-k}(\mathbf{R}), v \in \mathcal{P}_k, w \in \mathcal{P}_{n-k}).$$

We write

$$u = u_A, \qquad v = A^{[k]}, \qquad w = A_{[n-k]}.$$

• Description of H_Q

Let

$$V^{n,k} = \bigwedge^k \mathbf{Q}^n, \qquad V_q^{n,k} = \bigwedge^k \mathbf{Q}_q^n \quad (q \in \mathcal{P}).$$

Let e_1, \ldots, e_n be the standard basis of \mathbf{Q}^n . For a subset $I = \{i_1 < \cdots < i_k\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with *k* elements, let $e_I = e_{i_1} \land \cdots \land e_{i_k}$. Then $\{e_I\}_I$ is a basis of $V^{n,k}$ and $V_q^{n,k}$. Define the local height $H_q : V_q^{n,k} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ by

$$H_{\infty}\left(\sum_{I} a_{I}e_{I}\right) = \left(\sum_{I} |a_{I}|_{\infty}^{2}\right)^{1/2},$$
$$H_{p}\left(\sum_{I} a_{I}e_{I}\right) = \sup_{I} |a_{I}|_{p} \qquad (p \in \mathcal{P}_{f}).$$

Define the function $H_{n,k}$: $GL_n(\mathbf{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ by

$$H_{n,k}(g) = \prod_{q \in \mathcal{P}} H_q(g_q e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_q e_k).$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$H_{n,k}\left(h\begin{pmatrix}a&0\\0&d\end{pmatrix}u\right) = |\det a|_{\mathbf{A}}, \quad (h \in K, \ a \in \operatorname{GL}_k(\mathbf{A}), \ d \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-k}(\mathbf{A}), u \in U(\mathbf{A})),$$

so

$$H_Q(g) = H_{n,k}(g^{-1})^{n/\ell}$$
 $(g \in G(\mathbf{A})^1, \ \ell = \gcd(n,k)).$

Consider the case $g = \gamma g_{\infty}$ ($\gamma \in G_{\mathbb{Z}}, g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty})^{1}$). For each $p \in \mathcal{P}_{f}, g_{p} = \gamma \in GL_{n}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset K_{p}$, so

$$H_p(g_p e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_p e_k) = 1.$$

Thus

$$H_{\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma g_{\infty}) = H_{\infty}(g_{\infty}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge g_{\infty}^{-1}\gamma^{-1}e_k)^{n/\ell}$$

From this formula, it is also clear that if $\gamma \in Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$, then $H_Q(\gamma g_{\infty}) = H_Q(g_{\infty})$. Let $E_{n,k}$ be the $n \times k$ matrix consisting of the first k columns of the identity matrix E_n . By Binet's formula ([1, Proposition 2.8.8]),

$$H_Q(\gamma g_\infty) = \det({}^t(\gamma^{-1}E_{n,k})f(g_\infty)(\gamma^{-1}E_{n,k}))^{n/2\ell}.$$

Let

$$\gamma_{(k)} = \gamma E_{n,k}, \qquad \Gamma_{n,k} := \{\gamma_{(k)} \mid \gamma \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})\}.$$

Then

$$m_{\mathbb{Q}}(g_{\infty}) = \min_{\gamma_{(k)} \in \Gamma_{n,k}} \det({}^{t}\gamma_{(k)}f(g_{\infty})\gamma_{(k)})^{n/2\ell}.$$

Since $H_Q(g_\infty) = \det({}^tE_{n,k}f(g_\infty)E_{n,k})^{n/2\ell}$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\infty} &= \{g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1} \mid m_{Q}(g_{\infty}) = H_{Q}(g_{\infty})\} \\ &= \{g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1} \mid \det({}^{t}E_{n,k}f(g_{\infty})E_{n,k}) \leq \det({}^{t}\gamma_{(k)}f(g_{\infty})\gamma_{(k)}) \quad (^{\forall}\gamma_{(k)})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R_{\infty}^{\circ} = R_{\infty,1}$,

$$R_{\infty}^{\circ} = \{g_{\infty} \in G(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1} \mid \det({}^{t}E_{n,k}f(g_{\infty})E_{n,k}) < \det({}^{t}\gamma_{(k)}f(g_{\infty})\gamma_{(k)}) \quad (^{\forall}\gamma_{(k)} \notin Q_{\mathbf{Z}}E_{n,k})\}.$$

• Description of a fundamental domain

 $GL_k(\mathbf{Z})$ acts naturally on $\Gamma_{n,k}$ by multiplication from the right. Let $S_{n,k}$ be a complete set of representatives for $\Gamma_{n,k}/GL_k(\mathbf{Z})$, and assume $E_{n,k} \in S_{n,k}$. For any nonempty subset $S \subset \Gamma_{n,k}$, define the closed subset R(S) of P_n by

$$\mathbf{R}(S) := \{ A \in \mathbf{P}_n \mid \det A^{[k]} \le \det({}^t X A X) \quad ({}^\forall X \in S) \}.$$

In particular, let

$$\mathbf{R}_{n,k} := \{ A \in \mathbf{P}_n \mid \det A^{[k]} \le \det({}^t X A X) \quad ({}^{\forall} X \in \Gamma_{n,k}) \}.$$

Then $R_{n,k} = R(S_{n,k})$. The interior of $R_{n,k}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{R}_{n,k}^{\circ} := \{ A \in \mathbf{P}_n \mid \det A^{[k]} < \det({}^t X A X) \quad ({}^{\forall} X \in S_{n,k} - \{E_{n,k}\}) \}.$$

Then

$$R^{\circ}_{\infty}/K_{\infty} \cong f(R^{\circ}_{\infty}) = R^{\circ}_{n,k} \cap P^{1}_{n}.$$

Define the right action of $G_{\mathbf{Z}}$ on P_n by

$$P_n \times G_{\mathbb{Z}} \longrightarrow P_n : (A, \gamma) \mapsto A[\gamma] := {}^t \gamma A \gamma.$$

Then

$$Q_{\mathbf{Z}} \setminus R_{\infty}^{\circ} / K_{\infty} \cong (\mathbb{R}_{n,k}^{\circ} \cap \mathbb{P}_{n}^{1}) / Q_{\mathbf{Z}}.$$

If $\gamma \in Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is of the form

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \qquad (a \in \operatorname{GL}_k(\mathbf{Z}), d \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-k}(\mathbf{Z}), b \in \operatorname{M}_{k,n-k}(\mathbf{Z})),$$

then for $A \in P_n$,

$$u_{A[\gamma]} = a^{-1}(u_A d + b), \qquad A[\gamma]^{[k]} = {}^t a A^{[k]} a, \qquad A[\gamma]_{[n-k]} = {}^t d A_{[n-k]} d.$$

Let \mathcal{D} be a closed fundamental domain for the action of $GL_k(\mathbf{Z})$ on P_k , and let \mathcal{E} be a closed fundamental domain for the action of $GL_{n-k}(\mathbf{Z})$ on P_{n-k} . Let

$$\mathbf{M}_{k,n-k}(\mathbf{R})_{1/2} := \{(u_{ij}) \in \mathbf{M}_{k,n-k}(\mathbf{R}) \mid |u_{ij}| \le 1/2 \quad (\forall i, j), \quad u_{11} \ge 0\}.$$

Define

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E}) := \left\{ A \in \mathbf{P}_n \mid A^{[k]} \in \mathcal{D}, \ A_{[n-k]} \in \mathcal{E}, \ u_A \in \mathbf{M}_{k,n-k}(\mathbf{R})_{1/2} \right\}.$$

Let $R_{n,k}^*$ be the closure of $R_{n,k}^\circ$ in P_n . Then

 $\mathbf{R}^*_{n,k} \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$

is a closed fundamental domain for $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^*_{n,k}$. Therefore, the pullback $f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^*_{n,k} \cap F(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \cap \mathbb{P}^1_n)$ is a closed fundamental domain for $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in \mathbb{R}^*_{∞} . By Theorem 48,

$$f^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^*_{n,k}\cap \mathrm{F}(\mathcal{D},\mathcal{E})\cap \mathbb{P}^1_n)\times K_f$$

is a closed fundamental domain for $G(\mathbf{Q})$ in $G(\mathbf{A})^1$.

▶ $R_{n,k}^* \cap F(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ is a closed fundamental domain for $P_n/GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Since the interior of $R_{n,k}^*$ coincides with the interior of $R_{n,k}$,

$$\mathbf{R}_{n,k} \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) - \mathbf{R}_{n,k}^* \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \subset \partial \mathbf{R}_{n,k}.$$

We weaken the boundary condition in the definition of a fundamental domain and call a closed subset $\Omega \subset P_n$ an almost fundamental domain (AFD) if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) $P_n = \bigcup_{\gamma \in GL_n(\mathbf{Z})} {}^t \gamma \Omega \gamma.$
- (2) If $B = A[\gamma]$ for $A, B \in \Omega$ and some $\gamma \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma \neq \pm E_n$, then $A \in \partial \Omega$.

Thus, $R_{n,k} \cap F(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ is an AFD for $P_n/GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$. For k = 1, an AFD can be constructed inductively on *n* as follows. For n = 2,

$$\Omega_2 = \mathbf{R}_{2,1} \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{P}_1)$$

is an AFD for $P_2/GL_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Then

$$\Omega_3 = \mathbf{R}_{3,1} \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{P}_1, \Omega_2)$$

gives an AFD for $P_3/GL_3(\mathbf{Z})$. Similarly,

$$\Omega_n = \mathbf{R}_{n,1} \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{P}_1, \Omega_{n-1})$$

gives an AFD for $P_n/GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$. This construction coincides with the Korkine-Zolotareff-Grenier construction ([13, Theorem 1], [25, Theorem 2]). The first Ω_2 coincides with Minkowski's fundamental domain.

▶ Based on the methods described in Sections 9 through 11, Fujimori defined a kind of successive minima derived from a height function and then constructed a fundamental domain for $GL_n(\mathbf{Q})\setminus GL_n(\mathbf{A})$. Fujimori's fundamental domain is contained in a Siegel set ([12, Corollary 3.3]).

• Grenier constructed the **Satake compactification** of $P_n^1/GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ by using Ω_n ([14, Section 4] or [19, Chapter 1, Section 4]). If we put $\Omega_n^1 = \Omega_n \cap \mathbb{P}_n^1$, then this compactification is described as $\Omega_n^1 \cup \Omega_{n-1}^1 \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_1^1$.

Remaining Problems 11.3

Since the number of inequalities defining $R_{nk} = R(S_{nk})$ is infinite, the finiteness of the boundary of $R_{n,k} \cap F(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$ is not trivial. The finiteness of the boundary of Ω_n constructed above was shown in [13]. That is, there exists a finite subset $S^{(n)} \subset \Gamma_{n,1}$ such that

$$R_{n,1} \cap F(P_1, \Omega_{n-1}) = R(S^{(n)}) \cap F(P_1, \Omega_{n-1}).$$

It is not known whether the same holds for general $R_{n,k} \cap F(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E})$. There is no restriction on the choice of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} , but to make the problem concrete, let M_n be Minkowski's fundamental domain for $P_n/GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ and take $\mathcal{D} = M_{k_i}$ $\mathcal{E} = \mathbf{M}_{n-k}$.

Problem ·

- Does there exist a finite subset S₀ ⊂ S_{n,k} such that R_{n,k} ∩ F(M_k, M_{n-k}) = R(S₀) ∩ F(M_k, M_{n-k})?
 Give an exact S₀ for small n if there exists such a finite subset S₀.
- Give a reduction algorithm for $\mathbf{R}_{n,k} \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{M}_k, \mathbf{M}_{n-k})$. Namely, give an algorithm to find $\gamma \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ for a given $A \in P_n$ such that $A[\gamma] \in$ $\mathbf{R}_{n,k} \cap \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{M}_k, \mathbf{M}_{n-k}).$
- ▶ Minkowski's fundamental domain M_n for $P_n/GL_n(Z)$ is defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{M}_n := \left\{ A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{P}_n \mid A[z] \ge a_{jj} \text{ if } z = {}^t(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n \text{ with } \gcd(z_j, \dots, z_n) = 1 \\ \text{and } a_{j,j+1} \ge 0 \text{ for all } j \end{array} \right\}.$$

See [25, Section 4.4.2]. When *n* = 2, one has

$$\mathbf{M}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{P}_2 \ \middle| \ 0 \le 2a_{12} \le a_{11} \le a_{22} \right\}.$$

► Let $M_n(\mathbf{Z})^* := GL_n(\mathbf{Q}) \cap M_n(\mathbf{Z})$. The Hermite-Rankin constant $\gamma_{n,k}$ is defined as follows:

$$\gamma_{n,k} := \max_{A \in \mathcal{P}_n} \left\{ \frac{\min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbf{Z})^*} \det({}^t(\gamma E_{n,k})A(\gamma E_{n,K}))}{(\det A)^{k/n}} \right\} = \max_{g \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbf{Q}_\infty)^1} \min_{\delta \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbf{Z})^*} \det({}^tE_{n,k}{}^t\delta^tg_\infty g_\infty \delta E_{n,k})$$

See [20, Section 2.8] for the Hermite–Rankin constant. For $\delta \in M_n(\mathbf{Z})^*$, $\delta E_{n,k}$ has the elementary divisors e_1, \ldots, e_k , and there are $\gamma \in GL_n(\mathbf{Z})$ and $\gamma' \in GL_k(\mathbf{Z})$ such that

$$\delta E_{n,k} = \gamma \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ \ddots & \\ 0 & e_k \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \gamma' = \gamma E_{n,k} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 \\ \ddots & \\ 0 & e_k \end{pmatrix} \gamma'.$$

Then one has

$$\det({}^{t}E_{n,k}{}^{t}\delta^{t}g_{\infty}g_{\infty}\delta E_{n,k}) = (e_{1}\cdots e_{k})^{2}\det({}^{t}E_{n,k}{}^{t}\gamma^{t}g_{\infty}g_{\infty}\gamma E_{n,k}),$$

and as a consequence

$$\min_{\delta \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbf{Z})^*} \det({}^t E_{n,k} {}^t \delta^t g_{\infty} g_{\infty} \delta E_{n,k}) = \min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})} \det({}^t E_{n,k} {}^t \gamma^t g_{\infty} g_{\infty} \gamma E_{n,k}) = m_Q(g_{\infty}^{-1})^{2\ell/n},$$

where ℓ denotes gcd(*n*, *k*). Therefore, we obtain

$$\gamma_{n,k} = \max_{g_{\infty} \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1}} m_{Q}(g_{\infty})^{2\ell/n} = \max_{[g_{\infty}] \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{Z}) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbf{Q}_{\infty})^{1}/K_{\infty}} m_{Q}(g_{\infty})^{2\ell/n}$$

As remarked in **10.3**, the maximum of m_Q is attained on the boundary of $R_{n,k}$. The following is a table of known values of $\gamma_{n,k}$ and ciritical points ([23]).

Ŷ4,2	<i>γ</i> 6,2	Y 8,2	Y 8,3	Y 8,4
3/2	3 ^{2/3}	3	4	4
D_4	<i>E</i> ₆	E ₈	<i>E</i> ₈	E ₈

The value of $\gamma_{4,2}$ was determined by Rankin. Here positive definite symmetric matrices D_4 , E_6 , E_8 are given as follows:

												(2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1)	
					(2	1	1	1	1	1)		1	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	
(2	1	1	1)		1	2	1	0	1	1		1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	
_ 1	2	1	0		1	1	2	1	1	1	-	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	
$D_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	1	2	11	$E_6 =$	1	0	1	2	0	1	$E_8 =$	1	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	•
(1			2							1		1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	
(···										2)			1		1				1	
					·	-	-	-	-	_/		1	1	1	1	1		1	2)	

These are LLL reduced Gram matrices corresponding to D_4 , E_6 , E_8 root lattices, respectively ([20, Section 14.2]).

► A compactification of $R_{n,k} \cap F(M_k, M_{n-k}) \cap P_n^1$ is another problem to be considered.

Appendix. Numerical Computations of Minimal k tuples

We fix positive integers *n* and *k* with $2 \le k \le n - 1$. Let $\Gamma_n = \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\operatorname{M}_n(\mathbb{Z})^* = \operatorname{M}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $E_{n,k}$ be the $n \times k$ matrix consisting of the first *k* columns of the $n \times n$ identity matrix E_n . We put

$$\Gamma_{n,k} := \{ \gamma E_{n,k} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_n \} \text{ and } M_{n,k}(\mathbf{Z})^* := \{ X E_{n,k} \mid X \in M_n(\mathbf{Z})^* \}.$$

For $X \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $1 \le i \le k$, the *i*-th column of X is denoted by X_i .

A1 k-Perfection

For a positive definite real matrix $A \in P_n$, we put

$$\mathsf{m}_k(A) := \min_{X \in \Gamma_{n,k}} \det A[X] = \min_{X \in \mathsf{M}_{n,k}(\mathbf{Z})^*} \det A[X]$$

and

$$S_k(A) := \{ X \in M_{n,k}(\mathbf{Z})^* \mid \det A[X] = \mathbf{m}_k(A) \}.$$

An element of $S_k(A)$ is called a **minimal** *k***-tuple** of *A*. It is clear that $S_k(A[\gamma]) = \gamma^{-1}S_k(A)$ holds for any $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ and $S_k(A)$ is invariant by right multiplications of elements in Γ_k . By Proposition 35(or Propositons A1 and A2 in the next paragraph), the cardinality of $S_k(A)/\Gamma_k$ is finite. We define *k*-perfection. For $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_k) \in M_{n,k}(\mathbf{R})$ of rank *k*, let $\Phi_X = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k)$ denote the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization of *X* with respect to the standard inner product of \mathbf{R}^n . Namely (Y_1, \ldots, Y_k) satisfies that

- the subspace spanned by Y_1, \ldots, Y_i equals the subspace spanned by X_1, \ldots, X_i for each $i = 1, \ldots, k$, and
- ${}^tY_i \cdot Y_j = \delta_{ij}$ for $1 \le i, j \le k$.

For $A \in P_n$, fix $g \in GL_n(\mathbf{R})$ such that $A = {}^t gg$, and fix a complete system $\{X^{(j)}\}_j$ of representatives of $S_k(A)/\Gamma_k$. Then A is said to be k-perfect if $\{\Phi_{gX^{(j)}} \cdot {}^t \Phi_{gX^{(j)}}\}_j$ spanns the whole space of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. It is easy to see that this definition does not depend on the choice of both g and $\{X^{(j)}\}_j$. In particular, if A is k-perfect, then the cardinality $\sharp S_k(A)/\Gamma_k$ is greater than or equal to n(n + 1)/2. The k-perfect rank of A is defined to be the dimension of the real linear space spanned by $\{\Phi_{gX^{(j)}} \cdot {}^t \Phi_{gX^{(j)}}\}_j$.

A 2 A Finite Set Containing a System of Representatives

We fix an $A \in P_n$ and consider the mapping $q_A : X \mapsto A[X]$ from $\Gamma_{n,k}$ to P_k . Since $A[X\gamma] = A[X][\gamma] = {}^t\gamma A[X]\gamma$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$, q_A is regarded as a mapping from $\Gamma_{n,k}/\Gamma_k$ to P_k/Γ_k . Let M_k denote Minkowski's fundamental domain for P_k/Γ_k . If $B = (b_{ij}) \in M_k$, then its entries satisfy the following inequalities:

- (a) $b_{11} \leq b_{22} \leq \cdots \leq b_{kk}$,
- (b) $b_{11}b_{22}\cdots b_{kk} \leq C_k \det B$,
- (c) $0 \le 2b_{1j} \le b_{11}$ for $j = 2, \dots, k$.

where C_k is a positive constant, (see [A7, Lectures XI and XIII] for example). The best possible value of C_k is known for $k \le 5$ as follows:

$$C_2 = \frac{4}{3}$$
, $C_3 = 2$, $C_4 = 4$, $C_5 = 8$

(see [A2, Supplement to Chapter 2, v.5]). For $k \ge 6$, one can choose

$$C_k = \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^k \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)^{\frac{(k-3)(k-4)}{2}} \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}+1\right)\right)^2$$

by Remak's estimate ([A5], see also [A8]). We fix a constant $\lambda \ge m_k(A)$, and put

$$S_k(A, \lambda) := \{ X \in \Gamma_{n,k} \mid q_A(X) \in \mathbf{M}_k \text{ and } \det q_A(X) \le \lambda \}$$

and

$$T_k(A,\lambda) := \left\{ X \in \Gamma_{n,k} \mid A[X_i] \le \left(\frac{\lambda C_k}{\mathsf{m}_1(A)^{i-1}}\right)^{1/(k-i+1)} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \right\}.$$

Proposition A1 $S_k(A, \lambda)$ is a subset of $T_k(A, \lambda)$ and $T_k(A, \lambda)$ is a finite subset of $\Gamma_{n,k}$.

Proof. For $X = (X_1, ..., X_k) \in \Gamma_{n,k}$, the *i*-th diagonal entry of $q_A(X)$ equals $A[X_i]$ for i = 1, ..., k. If $X \in S_k(A, \lambda)$, then by (b)

$$A[X_1]A[X_2]\cdots A[X_k] \le C_k \det q_A(X) \le \lambda C_k.$$

By $m_1(A) \le A[X_1]$ and (a), we obtain

$$m_1(A)^{i-1}A[X_i]^{k-i+1} \le A[X_1]A[X_2]\cdots A[X_k],$$

and hence

$$A[X_i] \le \left(\frac{\lambda C_k}{\mathsf{m}_1(A)^{i-1}}\right)^{1/(k-i+1)}$$

for i = 1, ..., k. Therefore, $S_k(A, \lambda)$ is a subset of $T_k(A, \lambda)$. The finiteness of the cardinality of $T_k(A, \lambda)$ is trivial.

- Proposition A2

 $S_k(A, \lambda)$ contains a complete system of representatives of $S_k(A)/\Gamma_k$.

Proof. Let *X* be an arbitrary element in $S_k(A)$. Then det $q_A(X) = \mathsf{m}_k(A) \le \lambda$. Since M_k is a fundamental domain for P_k/Γ_k , there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$ such that $q_A(X)[\gamma] \in \mathsf{M}_k$. Then $X\gamma \in S_k(A, \lambda)$, i.e., $S_k(A, \lambda)$ contains a representative of the class $X\Gamma_k$.

When $\lambda = m_k(A)$, we can slightly improve the estimate of $A[X_i]$. Let $\{\lambda_i(A)\}_i$ denote the successive minima of the gauge function $x \mapsto A[x]^{1/2}$ on \mathbb{R}^n defined by A.

Proposition A3 If $X = (X_1, ..., X_k) \in S_k(A, \mathbf{m}_k(A))$, then $A[X_i]^{k-i+1} \leq \{\lambda_i(A) \cdots \lambda_k(A)\}^2 C_k$ holds for each i = 1, ..., k.

Proof. By Hadamard's inequality, we have

 $\mathsf{m}_k(A) \le \det A[X] \le A[X_1]A[X_2] \cdots A[X_k]$

for all $X \in M_{n,k}(\mathbf{Z})^*$. This implies

$$\mathsf{m}_{k}(A) \leq \{\lambda_{1}(A)\lambda_{2}(A)\cdots\lambda_{k}(A)\}^{2} \leq A[X_{1}]A[X_{2}]\cdots A[X_{k}]$$

for $X \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{Z})^*$. If $q_A(X) \in M_k$, then

$$\{\lambda_1(A)\cdots\lambda_{i-1}(A)\}^2 \le A[X_1]\cdots A[X_{i-1}]$$

holds for all i = 2, ..., k. Therefore, if $X \in S_k(A, \mathbf{m}_k(A))$, then

$$A[X_i]^{k-i+1} \leq \frac{\mathsf{m}_k(A)C_k}{\{\lambda_1(A)\cdots\lambda_{i-1}(A)\}^2} \leq \{\lambda_i(A)\cdots\lambda_k(A)\}^2C_k.$$

A 3 Algorithm to Computing $S_k(A)/\Gamma_k$

By Propositions A1 and A2, the following algorithm computes $S_k(A)/\Gamma_k$.

Input: $A \in P_n$.

Output: A complete system of representatives of $S_k(A)/\Gamma_k$.

Step 1 For each i = 1, ..., k, compute the set

$$T_{\lambda,i} := \left\{ 0 \neq Y_i \in \mathbf{Z}^n \mid A[Y_i] \le \left(\frac{\lambda C_k}{\mathsf{m}_1(A)^{i-1}}\right)^{1/(k-i+1)} \right\}$$

- **Step 2** Compose possible $Y = (Y_1, ..., Y_k) \in T_{\lambda,1} \times \cdots \times T_{\lambda,k}$, and select all $Y^{(j)} \in T_{\lambda,1} \times \cdots \times T_{\lambda,k}$ such that the rank of $q_A(Y^{(j)})$ equals k.
- **Step 3** Select $Y^{(j')} \in \{Y^{(j)}\}$ such that det $q_A(Y^{(j')}) = \min_j \det q_A(Y^{(j)})$. Such $Y^{(j')}$ s are automatically contained in $S_k(A)$.

Step 4 Check Γ_k equivalence among $Y^{(j')}$ s.

There are several choices of λ for A. One is $\lambda = \det A[E_{n,k}]$. Another is

$$\lambda = \gamma_n^k (\det A)^{k/n} \quad \text{or} \quad \left(\frac{4}{\pi} \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{n}{2}\right)^{2/n} (\det A)^{1/n}\right)^k$$

(see [A3, Theorems 2.6.8 and 2.7.4]). Here γ_n denotes Hermite's constant. If the diagonal elements of $A = (a_{ij})$ satisfy $a_{11} \le a_{22} \le \cdots \le a_{nn}$, then one has $\lambda_i(A)^2 \le a_{ii}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. In this case, by Proposition A3, we can replace $T_{\lambda,i}$ with

$$T_i := \left\{ 0 \neq Y_i \in \mathbf{Z}^n \mid A[Y_i] \le (a_{ii} \cdots a_{kk} C_k)^{1/(k-i+1)} \right\} \,.$$

Since det $A[E_{n,k}] \leq a_{11}a_{22}\cdots a_{kk}$ by Hadamard's inequality, T_i is not necessarily better than $T_{i,\lambda}$ of $\lambda = \det A[E_{n,k}]$. To reduce the size of the set $\{Y^{(j)}\}$ of Step 2, we can replace $T_{\lambda,j}$ with its half subset $T_{\lambda,j} \mod \{\pm E_k\}$ since $S_k(A)$ is Γ_k invariant. If we want to compute $S_k(A, \lambda)$, we cannot use such reduction, because that $S_k(A, \lambda)$ is not invariant by $\{\operatorname{diag}(\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_k) : \epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\}\}$. In this case, we can impose the condition (c) to select $Y^{(j)}$. On Step 4, we note that two elements $X, X' \in \Gamma_{n,k}$ are Γ_k -equivalent if and only if $X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_k = \pm X'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X'_k$.

A 4 Examples

We give some numerical examples. These examples were computed by using Pari/GP in Step 1 and Mathematica in Steps 2, 3 and 4. In the following, we use the standard labeling of the irreducible root lattices, i.e., A_n , D_n , E_6 , E_7 and E_8 . The Watson lattices of dimension n ($3 \le n \le 7$) are denoted by W_n ([A1, Section 5]). Equivalent classes of n dimensional perfect lattices are classified in $n \le 8$. We use Martinet's labeling of perfect lattices in dimension ≤ 7 ([A3, Section 14.1] and [A4]). The similar isomorphism class (i.e., $\mathbf{R}^{\times} \cdot \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{Z})$ orbit in P_n) of a Gram matrix of one of these lattices is expressed by the same label as

the lattice in question. For an orbit $[A] = A[\mathbf{R}^{\times} \cdot GL_n(\mathbf{Z})]$, we denote by $[A]^*$ the dual of [A], i.e., $[A]^* = [A^{-1}]$. Although we do not display an explicit form of a matrix A representing a given label, the values det A and $\mathbf{m}_k(A)$ depend only on an equivalent class $A[GL_n(\mathbf{Z})]$, and the values $\mathrm{hr}_k(A) = \mathbf{m}_k(A)/(\det A)^{k/n}$, $\sharp S_k(A)/\Gamma_k$ and k-perfect rank depend only on a similar equivalent class [A]. For irreducible root lattices A_n, D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8 $(n \ge 3)$ and Watson lattices W_n , Coulangeon computed $\sharp S_2(A)/\Gamma_2$, and proved that

- (d) all of these lattices are 2-perfect except only A_3 , and moreover
- (e) irreducible root lattices of dimension *n* are *k*-eutactic if $k \le n$,

([A1, Theorem 5.1.1 and Proposition 5.2.1]). Thus Table 1 follows from [A1] and Tables 2, 3, 5, 7 contain a part of Coulangeon's computation.

 $\bullet (n,k) = (4,2)$

[A]	det A	$m_2(A)$	$hr_2(A)$	$\sharp S_2(A)/\Gamma_2$	2-perfect rank
$P_4^1 = D_4$	4	3	1.5	16	10
$P_4^2 = A_4$	5	3	1.3416	10	10
A_4^*	125	15	1.3416	10	10
W_4	32	8	1.4142	15	10
W_4^*	128	16	1.4142	15	10

Table 1

Gram matrices of D_4 , A_4 and W_4 are given as follows:

	(2	1	1	1)	1	(2	1	1	1)		(3	-1	-1	-1)
<i>D</i> ₄ =	1	2	1	0	<i>A</i> ₄ =	1	2	1	1	TA7	-1	3	-1	1
$D_4 =$	1	1	2	1 '	$A_4 =$	1	1	2	1 '	$vv_4 =$	-1	-1	3	-1
	(1	0	1	2)	(1	1	1	2)		(-1)	1	-1	$\begin{pmatrix} -1\\ 1\\ -1\\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$.

Only in these cases, we show representatives of minimal 2-tuples. Representatives of $S_2(D_4)/\Gamma_2$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' (1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Representatives of $S_2(A_4)/\Gamma_2$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}'$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Representatives of $S_2(W_4)/\Gamma_2$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 &$$

There are 2 equivalent classes P_4^1 , P_4^2 of 4 dimensional perfect lattices. We note that W_4 (and hence W_4^*) is not 2-eutacitc. This is immediately checked by using the data $S_2(W_4)/\Gamma_2$.

•
$$(n,k) = (5,2)$$

[A]	det A	$m_2(A)$	$hr_2(A)$	$\sharp S_2(A)/\Gamma_2$	2-perfect rank
$P_{5}^{1} = D_{5}$	4	3	1.7230	40	15
$P_5^2 = W_5^*$	162	12	1.5681	15	10
$P_5^3 = A_5$	6	3	1.4650	20	15
A_5^*	1296	24	1.3651	15	15
D_5^*	256	16	1.7411	50	15
W ₅	48	8	1.7005	45	15
			Table 2		

There are 3 equivalent classes P_5^1, P_5^2, P_5^3 of 5 dimensional perfect lattices. By (d) and (e), both A_5 and D_5 are 2-extreme. By duality ([A1, Proposition 4.6]) and (e), A_5^* and D_5^* are 2-eutactic, and hence, by Table 2, both A_5^* and D_5^* are 2-extreme .

$$\bullet (n,k) = (6,2)$$

[A]	det A	$m_2(A)$	$hr_2(A)$	$\sharp S_2(A)/\Gamma_2$	2-perfect rank
$P_6^1 = E_6$	3	3	2.0800	120	21
$P_6^2 = E_6^*$	243	12	1.9229	45	21
$P_6^3 = D_6$	4	3	1.8898	80	21
P_6^4	324	12	1.7471	30	21
P_{6}^{5}	343	12	1.7142	28	21
P_6^{δ}	351	12	1.7011	27	19
$P_6^7 = A_6$	7	3	1.5682	35	21
A_6^*	16807	35	1.3663	21	21
D_6^*	16	4	1.5874	15	6
W ₆	64	8	2	120	21
W_6^*	64	8	2	120	21
0			Table?		

Table3

• (n, k) = (6, 3)

[A]	det A	$m_3(A)$	$hr_3(A)$	$\sharp S_3(A)/\Gamma_3$	3-perfect rank						
$P_6^1 = E_6$	3	4	2.3094	270	21						
$P_6^2 = E_6^*$	243	36	2.3094	270	21						
$P_6^3 = D_6$	4	4	2	140	21						
P_6^4	324	32	1.77778	9	9						
P_6^5	343	32	1.7278	14	13						
P_6^{δ}	351	32	1.7080	8	7						
$P_6^7 = A_6$	7	4	1.5118	35	21						
W_6	64	16	2	60	16						
	Table 4										

There are 7 equivalent classes P_6^1, \ldots, P_6^7 of 6 dimensional perfect lattices. By (d) and (e), A_6, D_6, E_6 are 2-extreme. By duality and (e), A_6^*, D_6^* and E_6^* are 2-eutactic, and hence, Table 3 shows both A_6^* and E_6^* are 2-extreme, but not D_6^* . It is known that hr₂(E_6) = $3^{2/3}$ attains the maximum of the function hr₂ on P₆ ([A6]). By (e) and Table4, A_6, D_6, E_6 (and hence A_6^*, D_6^*, E_6^*) are 3-extreme.

 $\bullet (n,k) = (7,2)$

[A]	det A	$m_2(A)$	$hr_2(A)$	$\#S_2(A)/\Gamma_2$	2-perfect rank
$P_7^1 = E_7 = W_7^*$	2	3	2.4610	336	28
$P_7^2 = E_7^* = W_7$	64	8	2.4380	378	28
P_7^3	486	12	2.0491	72	28
$P_7^4 = D_7$	4	3	2.0188	140	28
· · ·	512	12	2.0188	84	28
P_7^6	9216	27	1.9890	50	26
P_7^7	540	12	1.9883	69	28
$\begin{array}{c} P_7^5 \\ P_7^6 \\ P_7^7 \\ P_7^7 \\ P_7^8 \\ P_7^8 \\ P_7^8 \\ P_7^9 \end{array}$	576	12	1.9520	58	28
	356720	75	1.9439	34	25
P_{7}^{10}	588	12	1.9405	61	28
P_{7}^{11}	10080	27	1.9387	48	28
P_{7}^{12}	10240	27	1.9300	30	20
P_{7}^{13}	76880	48	1.9288	35	25
$\begin{array}{c} P_{7}^{10} \\ P_{7}^{11} \\ P_{7}^{12} \\ P_{7}^{12} \\ P_{7}^{13} \\ P_{7}^{14} \\ P_{7}^{14} \end{array}$	10336	27	1.9248	42	28
P_{7}^{15}	77618	48	1.9235	35	27
P_{7}^{16}	10368	27	1.9231	46	28
P_{7}^{17}	10528	27	1.9147	37	28
P_{7}^{18}	78880	48	1.9147	37	28
P_{7}^{19}	10584	27	1.9118	42	27
P_{7}^{20}	10658	27	1.9080	39	27
P_{7}^{21}	10752	27	1.9033	40	28
P_{7}^{22}	630	12	1.9026	49	28
P_{7}^{23}	10780	27	1.9018	38	28
P_{7}^{24}	10808	27	1.9004	39	28
P_{7}^{25}	11008	27	1.8905	38	28
P_{7}^{26}	648	12	1.8874	52	28
P_{7}^{27}	648	12	1.8874	48	28
P_{7}^{28}	648	12	1.8874	51	28
P_{7}^{29}	684	12	1.8585	47	28
$\begin{array}{c} P_{7}^{15} \\ P_{7}^{16} \\ P_{7}^{17} \\ P_{7}^{17} \\ P_{7}^{18} \\ P_{7}^{19} \\ P_{7}^{20} \\ P_{7}^{20} \\ P_{7}^{21} \\ P_{7}^{22} \\ P_{7}^{22} \\ P_{7}^{22} \\ P_{7}^{23} \\ P_{7}^{24} \\ P_{7}^{25} \\ P_{7}^{27} \\ P_{7}^{27} \\ P_{7}^{28} \\ P_{7}^{29} \\ P_{7}^{29} \\ P_{7}^{30} \\ P_{7}^{31} \\ \end{array}$	686	12	1.8569	42	28
$\frac{P_{7}^{31}}{P_{7}^{32}}$	720	12	1.8314	43	28
P_7^{32}	756	12	1.8061	45	28
$P_7^{33} = A_7$	8	3	1.6561	56	28
$P_7^{33} = A_7$ A_7^*	262144	48	1.3585	28	28
D_7^*	4096	16	1.4859	21	7
		1	Table 5		

There are 33 equivalent classes P_7^1, \ldots, P_7^{33} of 7 dimensional perfect lattices. By (d) and (e), A_7, D_7, E_7 are 2-extreme. By duality and (e), A_7^*, D_7^* and E_7^* are 2-eutactic, and hence, Table 5 shows A_7^* and E_7^* are 2-extreme, but not D_7^* .

$$\bullet (n,k) = (7,3)$$

[A]	det A	$m_3(A)$	$hr_3(A)$	$\#S_3(A)/\Gamma_3$	3-perfect rank
$P_7^1 = E_7 = W_7^*$	2	4	2.9719	1260	28
$P_7^2 = E_7^* = W_7$	64	16	2.6918	315	28
P_7^3	486	32	2.2580	27	19
$P_{7}^{4} = D_{7}$	4	4	2.2081	315	28
P_{7}^{5}	512	32	2.2081	104	28
$\begin{array}{c} P_7^5 \\ P_7^6 \\ P_7^6 \end{array}$	9216	108	2.1594	8	8
P_{7}^{7}	540	32	2.1583	58	28
P_{7}^{8}	576	32	2.0994	36	28
P_{7}^{9}	356720	500	2.0864	8	8
$\begin{array}{c} & P_{7} \\ \end{array}$	588	32	2.0810	52	28
P_{7}^{11}	10080	108	2.0780	27	25
P_{7}^{12}	10240	120	2.2934	135	27
p ¹³	76880	256	2.0621	5	5
P_{7}^{14}	10336	108	2.0558	14	14
P_{7}^{15}	77618	256	2.0537	7	7
P_{7}^{16}	10368	108	2.0531	18	16
P_{7}^{17}	10528	108	2.0396	9	9
P_{7}^{18}	78880	256	2.0395	9	9
P_{7}^{19}	10584	108	2.0350	27	20
P_{7}^{20}	10658	108	2.0289	18	18
P_{7}^{21}	10752	108	2.0213	17	15
P_{7}^{22}	630	32	2.0203	22	20
P_{7}^{23}	10780	108	2.0191	14	14
P_{7}^{24}	10808	108	2.0168	15	15
P_{7}^{25}	11008	108	2.0010	10	10
P_{7}^{26}	648	32	1.9961	33	25
P_{7}^{27}	648	32	1.9961	17	12
P_{7}^{28}	648	32	1.9961	32	28
P_{7}^{29}	684	32	1.9504	25	20
$\begin{array}{c} & P_{1}^{1} \\ & P_{1}^{14} \\ & P_{7}^{15} \\ & P_{7}^{15} \\ & P_{7}^{16} \\ & P_{7}^{17} \\ & P_{7}^{18} \\ & P_{7}^{19} \\ & P_{7}^{19} \\ & P_{7}^{20} \\ & P_{7}^{21} \\ & P_{7}^{22} \\ & P_{7}^{23} \\ $	686	32	1.9479	21	20
P_{7}^{31}	720	32	1.9080	21	17
P_{7}^{32}	756	32	1.8685	28	20
$P_7^{33} = A_7$	8	4	1.6406	70	28
A_7^*	262144	320	1.5237	56	28
D_7^*	4096	64	1.8114	35	7
			Table 6		

By (e), duality and Table 6, A_7 , D_7 , E_7 , A_7^* , E_7^* are 3-extreme.

• (n,k) = (8,2), (8,3) and (8,4)

[A]	det A	$m_2(A)$	$\sharp S_2(A)/\Gamma_2$	$m_3(A)$	$\sharp S_3(A)/\Gamma_3$	$m_4(A)$	$\sharp S_4(A)/\Gamma_4$				
E_8	1	3	1120	4	7560	4	3150				
	Table 7										

 $\sharp S_4(E_8)/\Gamma_4 = 3150$ was computed as follows. If we put $C_4 = 4$ and $\lambda = \det E_8[E_{8,4}] = 4$, then one has $T_{\lambda,1} = T_{\lambda,2} = T_{\lambda,3} = T_{\lambda,4}$ and $T_{\lambda,1}$ consists of 240 minimal vectors of E_8 . We fix a set M of 120 representatives of $T_{\lambda,1}/\{\pm 1\}$. Elements of M are ordered as $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_{120}$. Then, as an initial set of Step 2, one can take

$$T := \{ (Y_{i_1}, Y_{i_2}, Y_{i_3}, Y_{i_4}) \in \mathcal{M}_{8,4}(\mathbb{Z}) \mid 1 \le i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < i_4 \le 120 \}.$$

The cardinality of *T* equals 8214570. Since $m_4(E_8) = 4$ is known, we select $Y^{(j)} \in T$ with det $E_8[Y^{(j)}] = 4$. The cardinality of $\{Y^{(j)}\}$ equals 982800. Finally, we make a set $\{Y_1^{(j)} \land Y_2^{(j)} \land Y_3^{(j)} \land Y_4^{(j)}\}$ modulo $\{\pm 1\}$, and count elements of this set.

References

- [A1] R. Coulangeon, Réseaux k-extrêmes, Proc. London Math. Soc. 73 (1996), 555-574.
- [A2] P. M. Gruber and C. G. Lekkerkerker, Geometry of Numbers, 2nd edition, North-Holland, 1987.
- [A3] J. Martinet, Perfect Lattices in Euclidean Spaces, Springer-Verlag 2003.
- [A4] J. Martinet, Perfect lattices in dimensions 2 to 7, A database in J. Martinet's homepage.
- [A5] R. Remak, Uber die Minkowskische Reduktion der definiten quadratischen Formen, Compositio Math. 5 (1938), 368-391.
- [A6] K. Sawatani, T. Watanabe and K. Okuda, *A note on the Hermite–Rankin constant*, J. Th. Nombres Bordeaux 22 (2010) 209-217.
- [A7] C. L. Siegel, Lectures on the Geometry of Numbers, Notes by B. Friedman, Rewritten by Komaravolu Chandrasekharan with the Assistance of Rudolf Suter, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [A8] B. L. van der Waerden, Die Reduktionstheorie der positiven quadratischen Formen, Acta Math. 96 (1956) 265-309.

Index

Q-form, 32 F-algebraic group, 9 F-anisotropic, 19 F-cocharacter, 24 F-homomorphism, 11 F-isogenous, 12 F-isogeny, 12 F-isomorphic, 11 F-isomorphism, 11 F-isotropic, 19 F-rank, 19 F-rational character, 20 F-split group, 19 F-split torus, 19 F-subgroup, 10 F-torus, 19 k-perfect, 74 k-perfect rank, 74 p-adic field, 37 q-topology, 38 absolute rank, 19 absolute root system, 30 adele group, 43 adele ring, 43 adjoint group, 32 affine algebraic group, 9 algebraic set, 7 almost simple, 32 arithmetic quotient, 50 arithmetical minimum function, 58 Borel subgroup, 15 Cartan integers, 27 central maximal F-split torus, 23 class number, 64 closed subgroup, 10 complex Lie group, 37 connected algebraic group, 10 coroot, 29 dual root system, 29 extended root system, 26 field of definition, 8 finite adele group, 43 free, 49 fundamental domain, 49 fundamental set, 49 fundamental system, 28

general linear group, 10 Grassmann variety, 16

height function, 55

Hermite-Rankin constant, 72

idele, 43 idele norm, 43 identity component, 10 invariant measure, 39 irreducible algebraic group, 10 irreducible root system, 29

Levi decomposition, 17 Lie group, 37 local field, 38 local section, 45 locally compact group, 37

maximal *F*-split torus, 19 maximal compact subgroup, 39 maximal torus, 19 minimal *k*-tuple, 74 minimal parabolic *F*-subgroup, 35 minimal point, 59 Minkowski's fundamental domain, 72 modular character, 39

open fundamental domain, 49

parabolic subgroup, 15 place, 38 polynomial function, 8 polynomial map, 8 positive root, 28 projective general linear group, 13 projective variety, 12 properly discontinuous, 49

quasi-projective variety, 12

radical, 16 rational character, 20 rational character group, 20 rational function, 9 rational map, 9 reduced root system, 27 reducible root system, 28 reductive algebraic group, 17 reflection, 26 relative root system, 30 root, 30 root lattice, 29 root system, 26 Ryshkov domain, 60

Satake compactification, 72 semisimple algebraic group, 17 Siegel property, 49 Siegel set, 51 simple root, 28 simply connected group, 32 solvable algebraic group, 15 special homomorphism, 31 special linear group, 10 stable neighborhood, 59 standard maximal parabolic *F*-subgroup, 35 standard parabolic *F*-subgroup, 35 strongly **Q**-rational representation, 56

Tannaka duality, 38 torus, 19 totally disconnected, 38

unimodular group, 39 unipotent algebraic group, 15 unipotent radical, 16 unit adele group, 47

weight lattice, 29 Weyl chamber, 27 Weyl group, 27

Zariski topology, 9