

Perspectives on the wild McKay correspondence

Takehiko Yasuda

Osaka University

Plan of the talk

Partly a joint work with Melanie Wood.

1. What is the McKay correspondence?
2. Motivation
3. The wild McKay correspondence conjectures
4. Relation between the weight function and the Artin/Swan conductors
5. The Hilbert scheme of points vs Bhargava's mass formula
6. Known cases
7. Possible applications
8. Future tasks

References

1. T. Yasuda, *The p -cyclic McKay correspondence via motivic integration*. arXiv:1208.0132, to appear in Compositio Mathematica.
2. T. Yasuda, *Toward motivic integration over wild Deligne-Mumford stacks*. arXiv:1302.2982, to appear in the proceedings of "Higher Dimensional Algebraic Geometry - in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata's sixtieth birthday".
3. M.M. Wood and T. Yasuda, *Mass formulas for local Galois representations and quotient singularities I: A comparison of counting functions*. arXiv:1309.2879.

What is the McKay correspondence?

A typical form of the McKay correspondence

For a finite subgroup $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, the same invariant arises in two totally different ways:

Singularities a resolution of singularities of \mathbb{C}^n/G

Algebra the representation theory of G and the given representation $G \hookrightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C})$

For example,

Theorem (Batyrev)

Suppose

- ▶ G is small ($\#$ pseudo-reflections), and
- ▶ \exists a crepant resolution $Y \rightarrow X := \mathbb{C}^n/G$ (i.e. $K_{Y/X} = 0$).

Define

$e(Y) :=$ the topological Euler characteristic of Y .

Then

$$e(Y) = \#\{\text{conj. classes in } G\} = \#\{\text{irred. rep. of } G\}.$$

Motivation

Today's problem

What happens in positive characteristic? In particular, in the case where the characteristic divides $\#G$ (the wild case).

Motivation

- ▶ To understand singularities in positive characteristic.
- ▶ wild quotient singularities = typical “bad” singularities, and a touchstone (試金石) in the study of singularities in positive characteristic.
- ▶ [de Jong]: for any variety X over $k = \bar{k}$, \exists a set-theoretic modification $Y \rightarrow X$ (i.e. an alteration with $K(Y)/K(X)$ purely inseparable) with Y having only quotient singularities.

The wild McKay correspondence conjectures

For a perfect field k , let $G \subset GL_n(k)$ be a finite subgroup. Roughly speaking, the wild McKay correspondence conjecture is an equality between:

Singularities a stringy invariant of \mathbb{A}_k^n/G .

Arithmetics a weighted count of continuous homomorphisms $G_{k((t))} \rightarrow G$ with $G_{k((t))}$ the absolute Galois group of $k((t))$. Equivalently a weighted count of étale G -extensions of $k((t))$.

One precise version:

Conjecture 1 (Wood-Y)

- ▶ $k = \mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ $G \subset GL_n(k)$: a small finite subgroup
- ▶ $Y \xrightarrow{f} X := \mathbb{A}_k^n / G$: a crepant resolution
- ▶ $0 \in X$: the origin

Then

$$\#(f^{-1}(0)(k)) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\rho \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G_{k((t))}, G)} q^{w(\rho)}.$$

Here w is the weight function associated to the representation $G \hookrightarrow GL_n(k)$, which measures the ramification of ρ .

More generally:

Conjecture 1' (Wood-Y)

- ▶ K is a local field with residue field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ $G \subset GL_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$: a small finite subgroup
- ▶ $Y \xrightarrow{f} X := \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n / G$: a crepant resolution
- ▶ $0 \in X(k)$: the origin

Then

$$\#(f^{-1}(0)(k)) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\rho \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G_K, G)} q^{w(\rho)}.$$

The motivic and more general version:

Conjecture 2 (Y, Wood-Y)

- ▶ K is a complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field k
- ▶ $G \subset GL_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$: a small finite subgroup
- ▶ $Y \xrightarrow{f} X := \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n / G$: a crepant resolution
- ▶ $0 \in X(k)$: the origin

Then

$$M_{st}(X)_0 = \int_{\mathcal{M}_K} \mathbb{L}^w \quad \text{in a modified } K_0(\text{Var}_k).$$

Here

- ▶ \mathcal{M}_K : the conjectural moduli space of G -covers of $\text{Spec } K$ defined over k .
- ▶ $M_{st}(X)_0$: the stringy motif of X at 0.

Remarks

- ▶ If $K = \mathbb{C}((t))$ and $G \subset GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, then \mathcal{M}_K consists of finitely many points corresponding to conjugacy classes in G , and the RHS is of the form

$$\sum_{g \in \text{Conj}(G)} \mathbb{L}^{w(g)}.$$

We recover Batyrev and Denef-Loeser's results.

- ▶ Both sides of the equality might be ∞ (the defining motivic integral diverges). If X has a log resolution, then this happens iff X is not log terminal. Wild quotient singularities are NOT log terminal in general.
- ▶ Conjectures would follow if the theory of motivic integration over wild DM stacks is established.

More remarks

- ▶ The assumption that the action is linear is important.
- ▶ The assumption that G is small can be removed by considering the stringy invariant of a log variety (X, Δ) with Δ a \mathbb{Q} -divisor.
- ▶ The ultimately general form: let (X, Δ) and (X', Δ') be two K -equivalent log DM stacks and $W \subset X$ and $W' \subset X'$ corresponding subsets. Then

$$M_{st}(X, \Delta)_W = M_{st}(X', \Delta')_{W'}.$$

(Y-: the tame case with a base k (not \mathcal{O}_K))

Relation between the weight function and the Artin/Swan conductors

Definition (the weight function (Y, Wood-Y))

$\rho \leftrightarrow L/K$ the corresponding étale G -extension

Two G -actions on $\mathcal{O}_L^{\oplus n}$:

- ▶ the G -action on L induces the diagonal G -action on $\mathcal{O}_L^{\oplus n}$,
- ▶ the induced representation $G \hookrightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O}_K) \hookrightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

Put

$$\Xi := \{x \mid g \cdot_1 x = g \cdot_2 x\} \subset \mathcal{O}_L^{\oplus n}.$$

Define

$$w(\rho) := \text{codim}(k^n)^{\rho(I_K)} - \frac{1}{\#G} \cdot \text{length} \frac{\mathcal{O}_L^{\oplus n}}{\mathcal{O}_L \cdot \Xi}.$$

Here $I_K \subset G_K$ is the inertia subgroup.

To $G_K \xrightarrow{\rho} G \hookrightarrow GL_n(K)$, we associate the Artin conductor

$$a(\rho) = \overbrace{t(\rho)}^{\text{the tame part}} + \overbrace{s(\rho)}^{\text{the wild part (Swan cond.)}} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Proposition (Wood-Y)

If $G \subset GL_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is a permutation representation, then

$$w(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} (t(\rho) - s(\rho)).$$

The Hilbert scheme of points vs Bhargava's mass formula

- ▶ K : a local field with residue field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$
- ▶ $G = S_n$: the n -th symmetric group
- ▶ $S_n \hookrightarrow GL_{2n}(\mathcal{O}_K)$: two copies of the standard representation
- ▶ $X := \mathbb{A}^{2n}/S_n = S^n\mathbb{A}^2$: the n -th symmetric product of the affine plane (over \mathcal{O}_K)
- ▶ $Y := \text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}^2)$: the Hilbert scheme of n points (over \mathcal{O}_K)
- ▶ $Y \xrightarrow{f} X$: the Hilbert-Chow morphism, known to be a crepant resolution (Beauville, Kumar-Thomsen, Brion-Kumar)

Theorem (Wood-Y)

In this setting,

$$\#(f^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} P(n, n-i)q^i = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\rho \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G_K, S_n)} q^{w(\rho)}.$$

Here $P(n, j) := \#\{\text{partitions of } n \text{ into exactly } j \text{ parts}\}$.

Bhargava's mass formula

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} P(n, n-i)q^{-i} = \sum_{\substack{L/K: \text{ etale} \\ [L:K]=n}} \frac{1}{\# \text{Aut}(L/K)} q^{-v_K(\text{disc}(L/K))}$$

Kedlaya

$$\stackrel{=}{=} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\rho \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G_K, S_n)} q^{-a(\rho)}.$$

Known cases

	1	2	3	4
	tame		wild	
	B, D-L, Y, W-Y	W-Y	Y	W-Y
group	any	$\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \subset GL_3$	$\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$	$S_n \subset GL_{2n}$
k or \mathcal{O}_K	k (char $\nmid \#G$)	\mathcal{O}_K (char $k \neq 3$)	k (char p)	any
Conj 1	✓	✓	✓	✓
Conj 1'		✓		✓
Conj 2	✓		✓	

Possible applications

$$\#(f^{-1}(0)(k)) = \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{\rho \in \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(G_{k((t))}, G)} q^{w(\rho)}$$

$$M_{\text{st}}(X)_0 = \int_{\mathcal{M}_K} \mathbb{L}^w$$

- ▶ Can compute the LHS's (singularities) by computing the RHS's (arithmetics), and vice versa.
- ▶ In low (resp. high) dimensions, the LHS's (resp. RHS's) seems likely easier.
- ▶ \exists a log resolution of $X = \mathbb{A}^n/G$
 - \Rightarrow rationality and duality of the LHS's
 - \Leftrightarrow rationality and duality of the RHS's!!! (hidden structures on the set/space of G -extensions of a local field)

Problem

Compute the RHS's using the number theory and check whether these properties holds.

If the properties do not hold, then \nexists a log resolution of X .

Future problems

- ▶ Non-linear actions on possibly singular spaces (work in progress)
- ▶ Global fields
 - ▶ $\text{Hilb}^n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^2/\mathbb{Z}) \overset{??}{\longleftrightarrow} \{L/\mathbb{Q} \mid [L:\mathbb{Q}] = n\}$
 - ▶ curve counting on wild orbifolds
- ▶ Explicit (crepant) resolution of wild quotients in low dimensions
 - \Rightarrow many new mass formulas
- ▶ Explicit computation of $\frac{1}{\#G} \sum q^{w(\rho)}$ and check the rationality or duality.
- ▶ Prove these properties in terms of the number theory.

One more problem

Problem

Does Batyrev's theorem holds also in the wild case? Namely, if

- ▶ K is a complete discrete valuation field with perfect residue field k
- ▶ $G \subset GL_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$: a small finite subgroup
- ▶ $Y \xrightarrow{f} X := \mathbb{A}_{\mathcal{O}_K}^n / G$: a crepant resolution,

then do we have the following equality?

$$e(Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} k) = \#\{\text{conj. classes in } G\}$$

Remark

This holds in a few cases we could compute. However, for now, I do not see any reason that this holds except the tame case.