

# Renormalized volume and GJMS Operators in Higher Codimension via the Singular Yamabe Problem

(Joint work with Sri Rama Chandra Kushtagi)

Stephen E. McKeown

UT Dallas

Osaka Workshop in Conformal and CR Geometry  
February 21, 2025

An asymptotically hyperbolic (AH) space is a compact manifold  $M^{n+1}$  with boundary  $\Sigma^n$  equipped with a metric  $g_+$  on the interior  $\overset{\circ}{M}$  such that

An asymptotically hyperbolic (AH) space is a compact manifold  $M^{n+1}$  with boundary  $\Sigma^n$  equipped with a metric  $g_+$  on the interior  $\overset{\circ}{M}$  such that

- for each defining function  $\varphi$  of  $\Sigma$  in  $M$ ,  $\varphi^2 g_+$  extends to a smooth metric on  $M = \overline{M}$ ;

- An asymptotically hyperbolic (AH) space is a compact manifold  $M^{n+1}$  with boundary  $\Sigma^n$  equipped with a metric  $g_+$  on the interior  $\overset{\circ}{M}$  such that
- for each defining function  $\varphi$  of  $\Sigma$  in  $M$ ,  $\varphi^2 g_+$  extends to a smooth metric on  $M = \overline{M}$ ; and
  - $|d\varphi|_{\varphi^2 g_+} = 1$  along  $\Sigma$ .

An asymptotically hyperbolic (AH) space is a compact manifold  $M^{n+1}$  with boundary  $\Sigma^n$  equipped with a metric  $g_+$  on the interior  $\overset{\circ}{M}$  such that

- for each defining function  $\varphi$  of  $\Sigma$  in  $M$ ,  $\varphi^2 g_+$  extends to a smooth metric on  $M = \overline{M}$ ; and
- $|d\varphi|_{\varphi^2 g_+} = 1$  along  $\Sigma$ .

The *conformal infinity* of  $M$  is the conformal class  $[\varphi^2 g_+ |_{T\Sigma}]$  on  $\Sigma$ .

An asymptotically hyperbolic (AH) space is a compact manifold  $M^{n+1}$  with boundary  $\Sigma^n$  equipped with a metric  $g_+$  on the interior  $\overset{\circ}{M}$  such that

- for each defining function  $\varphi$  of  $\Sigma$  in  $M$ ,  $\varphi^2 g_+$  extends to a smooth metric on  $M = \overline{M}$ ; and
- $|d\varphi|_{\varphi^2 g_+} = 1$  along  $\Sigma$ .

The *conformal infinity* of  $M$  is the conformal class  $[\varphi^2 g_+ |_{T\Sigma}]$  on  $\Sigma$ .

We say  $g_+$  is *Einstein* if  $\text{Ric}(g_+) = -ng_+$ .

## Lemma

Let  $(M, g_+)$  be an AH space with conformal infinity  $(\Sigma^n, [h])$ . Let  $h \in [h]$ .

Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, there is a unique diffeomorphism

$\psi : [0, \varepsilon)_r \times \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  onto a collar neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  such that

$\psi^* g_+ = \frac{dr^2 + g_r}{r^2}$ , where  $g_r$  is a one-parameter family of metrics on  $\Sigma$  and

$g_0 = h$ .

If  $g_+$  is Einstein, then one has the asymptotics

$$g_r = h + r^2 g^{(2)} + r^4 g^{(4)} + (\text{even}) + r^n \log(r) \mathcal{A} + r^n g^{(n)} + \dots$$



If  $g_+$  is Einstein, then one has the asymptotics

$$g_r = h + r^2 g^{(2)} + r^4 g^{(4)} + (\text{even}) + r^n \log(r) \mathcal{A} + r^n g^{(n)} + \dots$$

Here,  $\mathcal{A} = 0$  if  $n$  is odd.

If  $g_+$  is Einstein, then one has the asymptotics

$$g_r = h + r^2 g^{(2)} + r^4 g^{(4)} + (\text{even}) + r^n \log(r) \mathcal{A} + r^n g^{(n)} + \dots$$

Here,  $\mathcal{A} = 0$  if  $n$  is odd. The tracefree part of  $g^{(n)}$  is formally undetermined. The trace is determined, and vanishes if  $n$  is odd.

Among the most important global invariants of an AH Einstein space  $(M^{n+1}, g_+)$  is its *renormalized volume*.

Among the most important global invariants of an AH Einstein space  $(M^{n+1}, g_+)$  is its *renormalized volume*.

Let  $r$  be a geodesic defining function. One has

$$\text{vol}_{g_+}(\{r > \varepsilon\}) = c_0 \varepsilon^{-n} + c_1 \varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1} \varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

Among the most important global invariants of an AH Einstein space  $(M^{n+1}, g_+)$  is its *renormalized volume*.

Let  $r$  be a geodesic defining function. One has

$$\text{vol}_{g_+}(\{r > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

**Theorem (Henningson and Skenderis 1998, Graham 1999)**

For odd  $j$ ,  $c_j = 0$ .

Among the most important global invariants of an AH Einstein space  $(M^{n+1}, g_+)$  is its *renormalized volume*.

Let  $r$  be a geodesic defining function. One has

$$\text{vol}_{g_+}(\{r > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

### Theorem (Henningson and Skenderis 1998, Graham 1999)

For odd  $j$ ,  $c_j = 0$ .

If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a conformal invariant.

Among the most important global invariants of an AH Einstein space  $(M^{n+1}, g_+)$  is its *renormalized volume*.

Let  $r$  be a geodesic defining function. One has

$$\text{vol}_{g_+}(\{r > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

### Theorem (Henningson and Skenderis 1998, Graham 1999)

For odd  $j$ ,  $c_j = 0$ .

If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a conformal invariant.

If  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{E} = 0$  and  $V$  is a conformal invariant.

Among the most important global invariants of an AH Einstein space  $(M^{n+1}, g_+)$  is its *renormalized volume*.

Let  $r$  be a geodesic defining function. One has

$$\text{vol}_{g_+}(\{r > \varepsilon\}) = c_0 \varepsilon^{-n} + c_1 \varepsilon^{1-n} + \dots + c_{n-1} \varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

### Theorem (Henningson and Skenderis 1998, Graham 1999)

For odd  $j$ ,  $c_j = 0$ .

If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a conformal invariant.

If  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{E} = 0$  and  $V$  is a conformal invariant.

$$dV_{g_+} = r^{-(1+n)}(1 + r^2 v_2 + r^4 v_4 + \dots) dV_h dr.$$



Suppose  $g_+^s$  is a one-parameter family of PE metrics on  $X$ , with conformal infinity  $[h_s]$ .

Suppose  $g_+^s$  is a one-parameter family of PE metrics on  $X$ , with conformal infinity  $[h_s]$ . Let  $\dot{h}_s = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} h_s$ .

Suppose  $g_+^s$  is a one-parameter family of PE metrics on  $X$ , with conformal infinity  $[h_s]$ . Let  $\dot{h}_s = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} h_s$ .

**Theorem (Anderson 2004, Graham-Hirachi 2004, Albin 2005)**

*If  $n$  is even, then*

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{E}(g_+^s) \right|_{s=0} = c_n \int_{\Sigma} \langle \dot{h}_s, \mathcal{A} \rangle dV_h.$$

Suppose  $g_+^s$  is a one-parameter family of PE metrics on  $X$ , with conformal infinity  $[h_s]$ . Let  $\dot{h}_s = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} h_s$ .

**Theorem (Anderson 2004, Graham-Hirachi 2004, Albin 2005)**

*If  $n$  is even, then*

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{E}(g_+^s) \right|_{s=0} = c_n \int_{\Sigma} \langle \dot{h}_s, \mathcal{A} \rangle dV_h.$$

*If  $n$  is odd, then*

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{V}(g_+^s) \right|_{s=0} = c_n \int_{\Sigma} \langle \dot{h}_s, g^{(n)} \rangle dV_h.$$

Suppose now  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a *compact* Riemannian manifold with boundary  $\Sigma = \partial M$ .

Suppose now  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a *compact* Riemannian manifold with boundary  $\Sigma = \partial M$ .

There exists unique  $u \geq 0$  so that

①  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .

Suppose now  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a *compact* Riemannian manifold with boundary  $\Sigma = \partial M$ .

There exists unique  $u \geq 0$  so that

- 1  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- 2  $g = u^{-2}\bar{g}$  satisfies  $R_g = -n(n+1)$ .

Suppose now  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a *compact* Riemannian manifold with boundary  $\Sigma = \partial M$ .

There exists unique  $u \geq 0$  so that

- 1  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- 2  $g = u^{-2}\bar{g}$  satisfies  $R_g = -n(n+1)$ .

Note that  $g$  is AH.



Suppose now  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a *compact* Riemannian manifold with boundary  $\Sigma = \partial M$ .

There exists unique  $u \geq 0$  so that

- 1  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- 2  $g = u^{-2}\bar{g}$  satisfies  $R_g = -n(n+1)$ .

Note that  $g$  is AH.

Let  $r(x) = \text{dist}_{\bar{g}}(\cdot, \Sigma)$ .

Suppose now  $(M, \bar{g})$  is a *compact* Riemannian manifold with boundary  $\Sigma = \partial M$ .

There exists unique  $u \geq 0$  so that

- 1  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- 2  $g = u^{-2}\bar{g}$  satisfies  $R_g = -n(n+1)$ .

Note that  $g$  is AH.

Let  $r(x) = \text{dist}_{\bar{g}}(\cdot, \Sigma)$ .

Mazzeo (1991) proved polyhomogeneity:

$$u(x) = r + r^2 u^{(2)} + r^3 u^{(3)} + \dots + r^{n+1} u^{(n+1)} + r^{n+2} \log(r) \mathcal{A} + r^{n+2} u^{(n+2)} + \dots$$

Consider

$$\text{vol}_g(\{r > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

Consider

$$\text{vol}_g(\{r > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

Theorem (Graham 2017; Gover and Waldron, 2017)

*The energy  $\mathcal{E}$  is a conformal invariant.*

Suppose  $\mathcal{F} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ , and  $X = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{F}(s, \cdot) \right|_{s=0} \in \Gamma(\Sigma, N\Sigma)$ . Let  $\mu$  be the inward-pointing unit normal.

Suppose  $\mathcal{F} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$ , and  $X = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{F}(s, \cdot) \right|_{s=0} \in \Gamma(\Sigma, N\Sigma)$ . Let  $\mu$  be the inward-pointing unit normal.

### Theorem

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{E} \right|_{s=0} = c_n \int_{\Sigma} \langle X, \mu \rangle \mathcal{A} dV_h,$$

where  $h = \bar{g}|_{T\Sigma}$ .

Suppose now  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$  is closed,

Suppose now  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$  is closed,  $\Sigma^n \subset M$  is closed and embedded,



Suppose now  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$  is closed,  $\Sigma^n \subset M$  is closed and embedded, and  $1 < k < n + 2$ .

Suppose now  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$  is closed,  $\Sigma^n \subset M$  is closed and embedded, and  $1 < k < n + 2$ .

There exists  $u \geq 0$  so that

①  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .

Suppose now  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$  is closed,  $\Sigma^n \subset M$  is closed and embedded, and  $1 < k < n + 2$ .

There exists  $u \geq 0$  so that

- 1  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- 2  $g = u^{-2}\bar{g}$  satisfies  $R_g = -(n + 2 - k)(n + k - 1)$ .

Suppose now  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$  is closed,  $\Sigma^n \subset M$  is closed and embedded, and  $1 < k < n + 2$ .

There exists  $u \geq 0$  so that

- 1  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- 2  $g = u^{-2}\bar{g}$  satisfies  $R_g = -(n + 2 - k)(n + k - 1)$ .

Let  $t(x) = \text{dist}_{\bar{g}}(x, \Sigma)$ .

Suppose now  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$  is closed,  $\Sigma^n \subset M$  is closed and embedded, and  $1 < k < n + 2$ .

There exists  $u \geq 0$  so that

- 1  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- 2  $g = u^{-2}\bar{g}$  satisfies  $R_g = -(n + 2 - k)(n + k - 1)$ .

Let  $t(x) = \text{dist}_{\bar{g}}(x, \Sigma)$ .

By Mazzeo (1991),  $u$  is polyhomogeneous in  $t$  and  $t^p(\log t)^q$ , with coefficients smooth functions on the sphere normal bundle.

Suppose now  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$  is closed,  $\Sigma^n \subset M$  is closed and embedded, and  $1 < k < n + 2$ .

There exists  $u \geq 0$  so that

- 1  $\Sigma = u^{-1}(\{0\})$ .
- 2  $g = u^{-2}\bar{g}$  satisfies  $R_g = -(n + 2 - k)(n + k - 1)$ .

Let  $t(x) = \text{dist}_{\bar{g}}(x, \Sigma)$ .

By Mazzeo (1991),  $u$  is polyhomogeneous in  $t$  and  $t^p(\log t)^q$ , with coefficients smooth functions on the sphere normal bundle.

Recall, near  $\Sigma$ , we may decompose  $M$

$$\begin{aligned} M &\approx [0, \delta)_t \times S N \Sigma \\ &\approx [0, \delta)_t \times \Sigma \times S^{k-1} \text{ (locally)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $u$  has an expansion

$$u = t + t^2 u^{(2)} + t^3 u^{(3)} + \dots + t^{n+1} u^{(n+1)} + t^{n+1+\delta} u^{(n+1+\delta)} \\ + t^{n+2} \log(t) \mathcal{A} + t^{n+2} u^{(n+2)} + o(t^{n+2}),$$

Then  $u$  has an expansion

$$u = t + t^2 u^{(2)} + t^3 u^{(3)} + \dots + t^{n+1} u^{(n+1)} + t^{n+1+\delta} u^{(n+1+\delta)} \\ + t^{n+2} \log(t) \mathcal{A} + t^{n+2} u^{(n+2)} + o(t^{n+2}),$$

where

①  $0 < \delta < 1;$



Then  $u$  has an expansion

$$u = t + t^2 u^{(2)} + t^3 u^{(3)} + \dots + t^{n+1} u^{(n+1)} + t^{n+1+\delta} u^{(n+1+\delta)} \\ + t^{n+2} \log(t) \mathcal{A} + t^{n+2} u^{(n+2)} + o(t^{n+2}),$$

where

- 1  $0 < \delta < 1$ ;
- 2  $u^{(n+1+\delta)}$  and  $u^{(n+2)}$  are globally determined;

Then  $u$  has an expansion

$$u = t + t^2 u^{(2)} + t^3 u^{(3)} + \dots + t^{n+1} u^{(n+1)} + t^{n+1+\delta} u^{(n+1+\delta)} \\ + t^{n+2} \log(t) \mathcal{A} + t^{n+2} u^{(n+2)} + o(t^{n+2}),$$

where

- 1  $0 < \delta < 1$ ;
- 2  $u^{(n+1+\delta)}$  and  $u^{(n+2)}$  are globally determined;
- 3  $\mathcal{A}$  is locally determined and linear.

Consider the expansion

$$\text{vol}_g(\{t > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \varepsilon \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

Consider the expansion

$$\text{vol}_g(\{t > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \varepsilon \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

**Theorem (Kushtagi-M. 2024)**

*For odd  $j$ ,  $c_j = 0$ .*

Consider the expansion

$$\text{vol}_g(\{t > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

### Theorem (Kushtagi-M. 2024)

For odd  $j$ ,  $c_j = 0$ .

If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a conformal invariant.

Consider the expansion

$$\text{vol}_g(\{t > \varepsilon\}) = c_0\varepsilon^{-n} + c_1\varepsilon^{1-n} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\varepsilon^{-1} + \mathcal{E} \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + V + o(1)$$

### Theorem (Kushtagi-M. 2024)

*For odd  $j$ ,  $c_j = 0$ .*

*If  $n$  is even, then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a conformal invariant.*

*If  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{E} = 0$  and  $V$  is a conformal invariant.*

Basic idea:

Basic idea: Consider  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth.



Basic idea: Consider  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth. Let  $r = |x|$ . Expand

$$f = f_0 + rf_1 + r^2f_2 + \dots,$$

where  $f_j : S^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Basic idea: Consider  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth. Let  $r = |x|$ . Expand

$$f = f_0 + rf_1 + r^2f_2 + \dots,$$

where  $f_j : S^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Then for each  $j$ ,  $f_j$  has the same parity as  $j$ .

Basic idea: Consider  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth. Let  $r = |x|$ . Expand

$$f = f_0 + rf_1 + r^2f_2 + \dots,$$

where  $f_j : S^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Then for each  $j$ ,  $f_j$  has the same parity as  $j$ . In particular, the integral of  $f_j$  over  $S^{k-1}$  vanishes for odd  $k$ .

Basic idea: Consider  $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  smooth. Let  $r = |x|$ . Expand

$$f = f_0 + rf_1 + r^2f_2 + \dots,$$

where  $f_j : S^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Then for each  $j$ ,  $f_j$  has the same parity as  $j$ . In particular, the integral of  $f_j$  over  $S^{k-1}$  vanishes for odd  $k$ .

### Lemma (Kushtagi-M. 2024)

*The function  $\frac{u}{t}$  is smooth up through order  $t^n$ . Moreover, if  $n$  is odd, then  $\mathcal{A} = 0$ .*

Notes:

①  $n = 1$ .

Notes:

①  $n = 1$ .

②  $k = 1$ .

## Notes:

- 1  $n = 1$ .
- 2  $k = 1$ .
- 3  $k \geq n + 2$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{F} : (-\delta, \delta) \times \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  is a variation of  $\Sigma$ , and  $X = \frac{d}{ds}\big|_{s=0} \mathcal{F}(s, \cdot) \in \Gamma(\Sigma, N\Sigma)$ .



Suppose  $\mathcal{F} : (-\delta, \delta) \times \Sigma \hookrightarrow M$  is a variation of  $\Sigma$ , and  $X = \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \mathcal{F}(s, \cdot) \in \Gamma(\Sigma, N\Sigma)$ .

### Theorem (Kushtagi-M., 2024)

If  $n$  is even, then

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{E} \right|_{s=0} = c_{n,k} \int_{\Sigma} \mathcal{A}(X) dV_h.$$

If  $n$  is odd, then

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} V \right|_{s=0} = c_{n,k} \int_{\Sigma} u^{(n+2)}(X) dV_h.$$

From now, let  $k$  be arbitrary. We will let  $u$  be a *formal* solution to the singular Yamabe equation.

From now, let  $k$  be arbitrary. We will let  $u$  be a *formal* solution to the singular Yamabe equation.

We define a set  $\mathcal{E}$  of pairs of integers by whether solutions exist to a certain infinite family of Diophantine equations with  $n, k$  as parameters.

From now, let  $k$  be arbitrary. We will let  $u$  be a *formal* solution to the singular Yamabe equation.

We define a set  $\mathcal{E}$  of pairs of integers by whether solutions exist to a certain infinite family of Diophantine equations with  $n, k$  as parameters.

### Theorem (Kushtagi-M. 2025)

Let  $\Sigma^n$  be embedded in  $(M^{n+k}, \bar{g})$ . For  $0 \leq j \leq \frac{n}{2}$  (if  $n$  is even or  $(n, k) \in \mathcal{E}$ ) or for  $j \geq 0$  (if  $n$  is odd and  $(n, k) \notin \mathcal{E}$ ), there exists a natural, extrinsically defined differential operator  $P_j : C^\infty(\Sigma) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Sigma)$  of order  $2j$ , with the same principal part as  $\Delta_\Sigma^j$ , and under conformal change  $\tilde{g} = e^{2\omega} g$  satisfying

$$\tilde{P}_j = e^{(-n/2-j)\omega} P_j e^{(n/2-j)\omega}.$$

This  $P_j$  is formally self-adjoint.

(Compare GJMS operators, Gover-Waldron, ...).

Idea of proof:

Idea of proof: Consider  $v$  a solution of  $\Delta_g v + s(n-s)v = 0$ , where  $s = \frac{n}{2} + j$ .

Idea of proof: Consider  $v$  a solution of  $\Delta_g v + s(n-s)v = 0$ , where  $s = \frac{n}{2} + j$ .

This has an expansion

$$v = t^{n-s}F + t^s \log(t)G + \dots$$

Idea of proof: Consider  $v$  a solution of  $\Delta_g v + s(n-s)v = 0$ , where  $s = \frac{n}{2} + j$ .

This has an expansion

$$v = t^{n-s}F + t^s \log(t)G + \dots$$

Impose  $F|_{\Sigma} = f$ . Define  $P_j f = G|_{\Sigma}$ .



Idea of proof: Consider  $v$  a solution of  $\Delta_g v + s(n-s)v = 0$ , where  $s = \frac{n}{2} + j$ .

This has an expansion

$$v = t^{n-s}F + t^s \log(t)G + \dots$$

Impose  $F|_{\Sigma} = f$ . Define  $P_j f = G|_{\Sigma}$ . Note that one could now consider other expansions and get higher-rank operators.

Let  $E_s[f] := \Delta_g f + s(n - s)f$ .

Let  $E_s[f] := \Delta_g f + s(n - s)f$ .

Define the *indicial operator*  $I_{s,\sigma}\psi = t^{-\sigma} E_s[t^\sigma \psi]|_{t=0}$ .

Let  $E_s[f] := \Delta_g f + s(n-s)f$ .

Define the *indicial operator*  $l_{s,\sigma}\psi = t^{-\sigma} E_s[t^\sigma \psi]|_{t=0}$ . Then

$$l_{s,\sigma} = \Delta_{S^{k-1}} + (s(n-s) - \sigma(n-\sigma)).$$

Now let  $n$  be even. We follow Fefferman-Graham to define an extrinsic  $Q$ -curvature on  $\Sigma$ .

Now let  $n$  be even. We follow Fefferman-Graham to define an extrinsic  $Q$ -curvature on  $\Sigma$ .

### Proposition (Kushtagi-M. 2025)

The equation

$$\Delta_g U = -n + O(t^{n+1} \log t)$$

has a solution of the form

$$U = \log t + A + Bt^n \log t + O(t^n),$$

with  $A|_{\Sigma} = 0$ ; and  $U$  is unique mod  $O(t^n)$ .

Now let  $n$  be even. We follow Fefferman-Graham to define an extrinsic  $Q$ -curvature on  $\Sigma$ .

### Proposition (Kushtagi-M. 2025)

The equation

$$\Delta_g U = -n + O(t^{n+1} \log t)$$

has a solution of the form

$$U = \log t + A + Bt^n \log t + O(t^n),$$

with  $A|_\Sigma = 0$ ; and  $U$  is unique mod  $O(t^n)$ .

We define  $Q_{n,k} = c_{n,k} B|_\Sigma$ .

## Theorem (Kushtagi-M. 2025)

① Suppose  $\tilde{g} = e^{2\omega} g$ . Then

$$e^{n\omega} \tilde{Q}_{n,k} = Q_{n,k} + P_n \omega.$$



## Theorem (Kushtagi-M. 2025)

① Suppose  $\tilde{g} = e^{2\omega} g$ . Then

$$e^{n\omega} \tilde{Q}_{n,k} = Q_{n,k} + P_n \omega.$$

②

$$\mathcal{E} = a_{n,k} \int_{\Sigma} Q dV_h$$

Happy Birthday, Kengo!