

# Compatibility between Jacobi structures and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on Jacobi algebroids\*

Naoki Kimura and Tomoya Nakamura

## Abstract

We define compatibility between Jacobi structures and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on Jacobi algebroids. This notion is a generalization of the compatibility between Poisson structures and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on manifolds, which was defined by Boucetta [?]. We show that the compatibility with a cometric is “preserved” by the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure. Furthermore, we prove that for a contact pseudo-metric structure on a manifold, satisfying the compatibility condition is equivalent to being a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure.

**Keywords:** Poisson manifold; Jacobi manifold; Lie algebroid; Jacobi algebroid; contact manifold.

## 1 Introduction

Jacobi manifolds were introduced by Lichnerowicz and Kirillov independently as a generalization of Poisson manifolds. A Jacobi manifold is also a generalization of a contact manifold. The Poissonization of a Jacobi structure on a manifold  $M$  is an operation which gives a Poisson structure on the manifold  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . The obtained Poisson structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  is also called the Poissonization of a given Jacobi structure on  $M$ . It is known that the Poissonization gives a one-to-one correspondence between Jacobi structures

---

\*MSC: 53D17; 53D15; 53D10

on a manifold  $M$  and homogeneous Poisson structures on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, for a contact manifold, the Poissonization is an equivalent operation to the symplectization of a given contact manifold. The Poissonization plays a central role in the study of Jacobi manifolds since Poisson manifolds are less complicated in various aspects than Jacobi manifolds. Notice that the Poissonization extends to a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid, which is a generalization of a Jacobi structure on a manifold.

In this paper, we call a non-degenerate (resp. positive definite) fiber metric  $g$  on a vector bundle  $A$  a *pseudo-Riemannian* (resp. *Riemannian*) *metric* on  $A$ . Boucetta [?] defined compatibility between Poisson structures and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on manifolds, i.e., pseudo-Riemannian metrics on the cotangent bundle, by using an affine connection on the cotangent bundle. He showed that if a non-degenerate Poisson structure has a compatible cometric, the corresponding symplectic form is a Kähler form. Due to this result, a Poisson structure with a compatible cometric is considered as a generalization of a Kähler structure. The compatibility between Poisson structures and cometrics have been extensively studied. For instance, the case for the Lie-Poisson structure on the dual space of a Lie algebra is studied in [?] [?].

In this paper, we define compatibility between a Jacobi structure  $\pi$  and a pseudo-Riemannian cometric  $g^*$  on a Jacobi algebroid  $(A, \phi_0)$ . This notion is a generalization of the compatibility between a Poisson structure and a pseudo-Riemannian cometric on a manifold.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $(A, \phi_0)$  be a Jacobi algebroid over  $M$ ,  $\pi$  a 2-section on  $(A, \phi_0)$  and  $g^*$  a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $A^*$ . The pair  $(\pi, g^*)$  is said to be *compatible* on  $(A, \phi_0)$  if

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\alpha}^{\pi, \phi_0} \pi)(\beta, \gamma) = & -\frac{1}{2}((X_0 \otimes \pi)(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) + (X_0 \otimes \pi)(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \\ & + g^*(\alpha, \beta)\pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \gamma) \\ & - g^*(\alpha, \gamma)\pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \beta)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $D^{\pi, \phi_0}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $g^*$  on the skew algebroid  $A_{\pi, \phi_0}^*$  induced by  $\pi$  and  $X_0 := -\pi^{\sharp}\phi_0$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ .

Compatibility between a Jacobi structure  $(\Lambda, E)$  and a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$  on a manifold  $M$  was already defined in [?]. However that is different from the definition in this paper. In their definition, the compatibility of

$(\Lambda, E, g)$  is defined by using the cotangent bundle  $T^*M$  with a skew algebroid structure associated with a Jacobi structure  $(\Lambda, E)$  and pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$ . Meanwhile, applying our definition to the case on a manifold, the compatibility of  $((\Lambda, E), g^*)$ , where  $g^*$  is a pseudo-Riemannian cometric on  $M$ , is described by using the Whitney sum  $T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R} := T^*M \oplus (M \times \mathbb{R})$  of the cotangent bundle and the trivial line bundle with the standard Lie and Jacobi algebroid structure.

In [?], the authors generalized compatibility between a Jacobi structure  $(\Lambda, E)$  and a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$  on a manifold  $M$  to that on an arbitrary Lie algebroid  $(A, \rho_A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$ . Compare their definition with Definition ??.

**DEFINITION 1.2** ([?]). Let  $A = (A, \rho_A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A)$  be a skew algebroid over  $M$ ,  $\Lambda$  a 2-section on  $A$ ,  $E$  a section on  $A$  and  $g$  a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $A$ . Set  $g^*(\alpha, \beta) := \langle g^{b-1}(\alpha), \beta \rangle$  for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma(A^*)$ . The triple  $(\Lambda, E, g)$  is said to be *compatible* on  $A$  if

$$\begin{aligned} (D_\alpha \Lambda)(\beta, \gamma) &= \frac{1}{2}((E \otimes \Lambda)(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) + (E \otimes \Lambda)(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \\ &\quad + g^*(\alpha, \beta)\Lambda((g^*)^{b-1}(E), \gamma) \\ &\quad - g^*(\alpha, \gamma)\Lambda((g^*)^{b-1}(E), \beta)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $D$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $g^*$  on the skew algebroid  $(A^*, \rho_{(\Lambda, E)}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{(\Lambda, E)}^g)$ . Here  $\rho_{(\Lambda, E)}(\alpha) := \rho_A(\Lambda^\sharp \alpha + \langle \alpha, E \rangle)$  and  $[\alpha, \beta]_{(\Lambda, E)}^g := [\alpha, \beta]_\Lambda + \langle \alpha, E \rangle(\mathcal{L}_*^A \beta - \beta) - \langle \beta, E \rangle(\mathcal{L}_*^A \alpha - \alpha) - \Lambda(\alpha, \beta)(g(E, E)g^b E - g^b \Lambda^\sharp g^b E)$  for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma(A^*)$ .

In [?], the authors proved that a locally conformal symplectic structure equipped with a compatible Riemannian metric becomes a locally conformal Kähler structure. Furthermore, they also proved that a contact structure  $\eta$  in  $\Omega^1(M)$  equipped with a compatible Riemannian metric becomes a 1/2-Kenmotsu structure. However, the 1-form  $\eta$  of a 1/2-Kenmotsu structure is a closed form while a contact structure  $\eta$  satisfies  $d\eta \neq 0$ . Hence it implies that a contact structure does not have compatible Riemannian metrics in the sense of [?] [?].

We propose another definition of compatibility between Jacobi structures and pseudo-Riemannian metrics. In terms of the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure, it is more natural to consider our definition than theirs. In fact, we show that the compatibility with a cometric is “preserved” under the

Poissonization of a Jacobi structure. Furthermore, we prove that for a contact pseudo-metric structure on a manifold, satisfying the compatibility condition is equivalent to being a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure. Therefore, a Jacobi structure with a compatible cometric is considered as a generalization of a Sasakian structure.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the definitions of several notions such as Lie algebroids, Poisson structures, Jacobi algebroids and Jacobi structures. In addition, we explain the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure. In Section 3, we recall the compatibility between Poisson structures and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics defined by Boucetta [?]. After that, as a generalization of that notion, we define compatibility between Jacobi structures and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on Jacobi algebroids. We show that the compatibility with a cometric is “preserved” under the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure. At the end, we state that a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure is regarded as a special case of a Jacobi structure with a compatible cometric.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the definitions and properties of Lie algebroids, Poisson structures, Jacobi algebroids and Jacobi structures. See [?] for details on Jacobi algebroids and Jacobi structures.

### 2.1 Lie algebroids and Poisson structures

A *skew algebroid* over a manifold  $M$  is a vector bundle  $A \rightarrow M$  equipped with a skew symmetric  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$ , called the *bracket*, and a bundle map  $\rho_A : A \rightarrow TM$  over  $M$ , called the *anchor*, satisfying the following condition: for any  $X, Y$  in  $\Gamma(A)$  and  $f$  in  $C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$[X, fY]_A = f[X, Y]_A + (\rho_A(X)f)Y,$$

where we denote the map  $\Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(TM) = \mathfrak{X}(M)$  induced by the anchor, the same symbol  $\rho_A$ . A *Lie algebroid* over a manifold  $M$  is a skew algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  such that the bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity, i.e.,  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$  is a Lie bracket on  $\Gamma(A)$ . For any Lie algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  over  $M$ , it follows that for any  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ ,

$$\rho_A([X, Y]_A) = [\rho_A(X), \rho_A(Y)],$$

where the bracket on the right hand side is the usual Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

EXAMPLE 2.1. For any manifold  $M$ , the tangent bundle  $(TM, [\cdot, \cdot], \text{id}_{TM})$  is a Lie algebroid over  $M$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is the usual Lie bracket on the vector fields  $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$ .

Let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  be a skew algebroid over  $M$ . The *Schouten bracket* on  $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$  is defined similarly to the Schouten bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on the multivector fields  $\mathfrak{X}^*(M)$ . That is, the Schouten bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A : \Gamma(\Lambda^k A) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^l A) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+l-1} A)$  is defined as the unique extension of the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$  on  $\Gamma(A)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} [f, g]_A &= 0; \\ [X, f]_A &= \rho_A(X)f; \\ [X, Y]_A &\text{ is the bracket on } \Gamma(A); \\ [D_1, D_2 \wedge D_3]_A &= [D_1, D_2]_A \wedge D_3 + (-1)^{(a_1+1)a_2} D_2 \wedge [D_1, D_3]_A; \\ [D_1, D_2]_A &= -(-1)^{(a_1-1)(a_2-1)} [D_2, D_1]_A \end{aligned}$$

for any  $f, g$  in  $C^\infty(M)$ ,  $X, Y$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ ,  $D_i$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^{a_i} A)$ . The *differential* of the skew algebroid  $A$  is an operator  $d_A : \Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1} A^*)$  defined by for any  $\omega$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*)$  and  $X_0, \dots, X_k$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (d_A \omega)(X_0, \dots, X_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \rho_A(X_i) (\omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_A, X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

If  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  is a Lie algebroid,  $d_A^2 = 0$  holds. For any  $X$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ , the *Lie derivative*  $\mathcal{L}_X^A : \Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*)$  is defined by the *Cartan formula*  $\mathcal{L}_X^A := d_A \iota_X + \iota_X d_A$  and  $\mathcal{L}_X^A$  are extended on  $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$  in the same way as the usual Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_X$  respectively. Then it follows that  $\mathcal{L}_X^A D = [X, D]_A$  for any  $D$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$ . We call a  $d_A$ -closed 2-cosection  $\omega$ , i.e.,  $d_A \omega = 0$ , a *presymplectic structure* on  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ . A presymplectic structure  $\omega$  is called a *symplectic structure* if  $\omega$  is non-degenerate.

REMARK 2.2. In the definition of the Schouten bracket, some authors use a condition

$$[D_1, D_2]_A = (-1)^{a_1 a_2} [D_2, D_1]_A \tag{2}$$

for any  $D_i$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^{a_i} A)$  instead of the condition  $[D_1, D_2]_A = -(-1)^{(a_1-1)(a_2-1)} [D_2, D_1]_A$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let  $A$  be a vector bundle over a manifold  $M$  and set  $A \oplus \mathbb{R} := A \oplus (M \times \mathbb{R})$ . Then the sections  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k(A \oplus \mathbb{R}))$  and  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k(A \oplus \mathbb{R})^*)$  can be identified with  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1} A)$  and  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1} A^*)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (P, Q)((\alpha_1, f_1), \dots, (\alpha_k, f_k)) \\ = P(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) + \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} f_i Q(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \dots, \alpha_k), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha, \beta)((X_1, f_1), \dots, (X_k, f_k)) \\ = \alpha(X_1, \dots, X_k) + \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} f_i \beta(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for any  $(P, Q)$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1} A)$ ,  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1} A^*)$ ,  $(\alpha_i, f_i)$  in  $\Gamma(A^*) \times C^\infty(M)$  and  $(X_i, f_i)$  in  $\Gamma(A) \times C^\infty(M)$ . Moreover under the identifications, the exterior products are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (P_1, Q_1) \wedge (P_2, Q_2) &= (P_1 \wedge P_2, Q_1 \wedge P_2 + (-1)^{a_1} P_1 \wedge Q_2), \\ (\alpha_1, \beta_1) \wedge (\alpha_2, \beta_2) &= (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \beta_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + (-1)^{a_1} \alpha_1 \wedge \beta_2) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(P_i, Q_i)$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^{a_i} A) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^{a_i-1} A)$  and  $(\alpha_i, \beta_i)$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^{a_i} A^*) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^{a_i-1} A^*)$ . Now, assume that  $A$  is a skew (resp. Lie) algebroid over  $M$ . Then  $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}, \rho_A \circ \text{pr}_1)$  is also a skew (resp. Lie) algebroid over  $M$ , where the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}$  is defined by

$$[(X, f), (Y, g)]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}} := ([X, Y]_A, \rho_A(X)g - \rho_A(Y)f) \quad (5)$$

and the map  $\text{pr}_1 : A \oplus \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A$  is the canonical projection to the first factor. In this case, the differential  $d_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}$  of the skew (resp. Lie) algebroid  $A \oplus \mathbb{R}$  and the Schouten bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}}(\alpha, \beta) &= (d_A \alpha, -d_A \beta), \\ [(P_1, Q_1), (P_2, Q_2)]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}} &= ([P_1, P_2]_A, (-1)^{k+1} [P_1, Q_2]_A - [Q_1, P_2]_A) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1} A^*)$  and  $(P_i, Q_i)$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A) \times \Gamma(\Lambda^{k-1} A)$ .

A *Poisson structure* on a skew (resp. Lie) algebroid  $A$  over a manifold  $M$  is a 2-section  $\pi$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A)$  satisfying  $[\pi, \pi]_A = 0$ . For any 2-section  $\pi$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A)$ , we define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\pi$  on  $\Gamma(A^*)$  by for any  $\xi, \eta$  in  $\Gamma(A^*)$ ,

$$[\xi, \eta]_\pi := \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \xi}^A \eta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \eta}^A \xi - d_A \langle \pi^\sharp \xi, \eta \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where a bundle map  $\pi^\sharp : A^* \rightarrow A$  over  $M$  is defined by  $\langle \pi^\sharp \xi, \eta \rangle := \pi(\xi, \eta)$ . Then a triple  $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \rho_\pi)$ , where  $\rho_\pi := \rho_A \circ \pi^\sharp$ , is a skew algebroid. We denote  $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_\pi, \rho_\pi)$  by  $A_\pi^*$  and the differential of  $A_\pi^*$  by  $d_\pi$ . Then  $d_\pi D = [\pi, D]_A$  holds for any  $D$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$ . Moreover it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi]_A(\xi, \eta, \cdot) = [\pi^\sharp \xi, \pi^\sharp \eta]_A - \pi^\sharp [\xi, \eta]_\pi. \quad (7)$$

In the case that  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  is a Lie algebroid, a skew algebroid  $A_\pi^*$  is a Lie algebroid if and only if  $\pi$  is Poisson.

It is well known that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between symplectic structures and non-degenerate Poisson structures on a skew algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ . In fact, for a non-degenerate Poisson structure  $\pi$ , a 2-cosection  $\omega_\pi$  characterized by  $\omega_\pi^\flat = -(\pi^\sharp)^{-1}$  is symplectic, where for any 2-cosection  $\Omega$ , a bundle map  $\Omega^\flat : A \rightarrow A^*$  over  $M$  is defined by  $\langle \Omega^\flat X, Y \rangle := \Omega(X, Y)$  for any  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ .

## 2.2 Jacobi algebroids and Jacobi structures

A pair  $(A, \phi_0)$  is a *Jacobi algebroid* over a manifold  $M$  if  $A = (A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  is a Lie algebroid over  $M$  and  $\phi_0$  in  $\Gamma(A^*)$  is  $d_A$ -closed, that is,  $d_A \phi_0 = 0$ .

EXAMPLE 2.4. For any Lie algebroid  $A$  over  $M$ , we set  $\phi_0 := 0$ . Then  $(A, \phi_0)$  is a Jacobi algebroid. We call  $\phi_0$  the *trivial Jacobi algebroid structure* on  $A$ . Therefore any Lie algebroid is a Jacobi algebroid.

EXAMPLE 2.5. For a Lie algebroid  $A \oplus \mathbb{R}$  in Example ??, We set  $\phi_0 := (0, 1)$  in  $\Gamma(A^* \oplus \mathbb{R}) = \Gamma(A^*) \times C^\infty(M)$ . Then  $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, \phi_0)$  is a Jacobi algebroid.

For a Jacobi algebroid  $(A, \phi_0)$ , there is the  $\phi_0$ -Schouten bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A, \phi_0}$  on  $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$  given by

$$[D_1, D_2]_{A, \phi_0} := [D_1, D_2]_A + (a_1 - 1)D_1 \wedge \iota_{\phi_0} D_2$$

$$- (-1)^{a_1+1}(a_2 - 1)\iota_{\phi_0}D_1 \wedge D_2 \quad (8)$$

for any  $D_i$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^{a_i}A)$ , where  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$  is the Schouten bracket of the Lie algebroid  $A$ . The  $\phi_0$ -differential  $d_{A,\phi_0}$  and the  $\phi_0$ -Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_X^{A,\phi_0}$  are defined by

$$d_{A,\phi_0}\omega := d_A\omega + \phi_0 \wedge \omega, \quad \mathcal{L}_X^{A,\phi_0} := \iota_X \circ d_{A,\phi_0} + d_{A,\phi_0} \circ \iota_X$$

for any  $\omega$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^*A^*)$  and  $X$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ .

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_{A,\phi_0}\omega)(X_0, \dots, X_k) \\ &= \sum_i (-1)^{i+1} \rho_{A,\phi_0}(X_i)\omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j]_A, X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\omega$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^k A^*)$  and  $X_i$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ , and that

$$\mathcal{L}_X^{A,\phi_0}\omega = \mathcal{L}_X^A\omega + \langle \phi_0, X \rangle \omega$$

for any  $\omega$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^*A^*)$  and  $X$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ . Here  $\rho_{A,\phi_0}(X)f := \rho_A(X)f + \langle \phi_0, X \rangle f$  for any  $X$  in  $\Gamma(A)$  and  $f$  in  $C^\infty(M)$ . We call a  $d_{A,\phi_0}$ -closed 2-cosection  $\omega$ , i.e.,  $d_{A,\phi_0}\omega = 0$ , a  $\phi_0$ -presymplectic structure on  $(A, \phi_0)$ . A  $\phi_0$ -presymplectic structure  $\omega$  is called a  $\phi_0$ -symplectic structure if  $\omega$  is non-degenerate.

REMARK 2.6. In the case using the condition (??) in the definition of the Schouten bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_A$ , the  $\phi_0$ -Schouten bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A,\phi_0}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [D_1, D_2]_{A,\phi_0} &:= [D_1, D_2]_A + (-1)^{a_1+1}(a_1 - 1)D_1 \wedge \iota_{\phi_0}D_2 \\ & \quad - (a_2 - 1)\iota_{\phi_0}D_1 \wedge D_2 \end{aligned}$$

instead of (??).

EXAMPLE 2.7. We consider a Jacobi algebroid  $(A, \phi_0)$  over  $M$ , where  $A := TM \oplus \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi_0 := (0, 1)$  in  $\Omega^1(M) \times C^\infty(M)$ . Then any  $\omega$  in  $\Omega^2(M) \times \Omega^1(M)$  can be written as  $\omega = (\alpha, \beta)$  ( $\alpha \in \Omega^2(M), \beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ ). Since

$$d_{A,\phi_0}\omega = d_{TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0,1)}(\alpha, \beta) = (d\alpha, \alpha - d\beta),$$

$\omega$  is  $(0, 1)$ -presymplectic on  $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$  if and only if  $\omega = (d\beta, \beta)$  ( $\beta \in \Omega^1(M)$ ). Moreover setting  $\dim M = 2n + 1$ , we see that a  $(0, 1)$ -presymplectic structure  $\omega$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $\beta \wedge (d\beta)^n \neq 0$ , that is,  $\beta$  is a contact structure on  $M$ . Therefore a  $(0, 1)$ -symplectic structure on  $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$  is just a contact structure on  $M$ .



A *Jacobi structure* on a Jacobi algebroid  $(A, \phi_0)$  is a 2-section  $\pi$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A)$  satisfying the condition

$$[\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0} = 0. \quad (9)$$

For any 2-section  $\pi$  on  $(A, \phi_0)$ , we define a skew-symmetric bilinear bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi, \phi_0}$  on  $\Gamma(A^*)$  by for any  $\xi, \eta$  in  $\Gamma(A^*)$ ,

$$[\xi, \eta]_{\pi, \phi_0} := \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \xi}^{A, \phi_0} \eta - \mathcal{L}_{\pi^\sharp \eta}^{A, \phi_0} \xi - d_{A, \phi_0} \langle \pi^\sharp \xi, \eta \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Then a triple  $(A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi, \phi_0}, \rho_\pi)$ , where  $\rho_\pi := \rho_A \circ \pi^\sharp$ , is a skew algebroid. Moreover it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}[\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0}(\xi, \eta, \cdot) = [\pi^\sharp \xi, \pi^\sharp \eta]_A - \pi^\sharp [\xi, \eta]_{\pi, \phi_0}. \quad (11)$$

Then  $A_{\pi, \phi_0}^* := (A^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\pi, \phi_0}, \rho_\pi)$  is a Lie algebroid over  $M$  if and only if  $\pi$  is Jacobi. Furthermore, in the case that  $\pi$  is Jacobi, a pair  $(A_{\pi, \phi_0}^*, X_0)$  is a Jacobi algebroid over  $M$ , where  $X_0 := -\pi^\sharp \phi_0$  in  $\Gamma(A)$ . We call it *the Jacobi algebroid induced by a Jacobi structure  $\pi$  on  $(A, \phi_0)$* .

**EXAMPLE 2.8** (Poisson structures). For any Lie algebroid  $A$  equipped with the trivial Jacobi algebroid structure  $0$ , it follows that  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A, 0} = [\cdot, \cdot]_A$ . Hence Jacobi structures on  $(A, 0)$  are just Poisson structures on  $A$ . In this case, the Lie algebroid  $A_{\pi, 0}^*$  induced by a Jacobi structure  $\pi$  on  $(A, 0)$  coincides with the Lie algebroid  $A_\pi^*$  induced by a Poisson structure  $\pi$  on  $A$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.9.** Let  $A$  be a Lie algebroid over  $M$ ,  $\Lambda$  a 2-section on  $A$  and  $E$  a section on  $A$  satisfying

$$[\Lambda, \Lambda]_A = 2E \wedge \Lambda, \quad [E, \Lambda]_A = 0.$$

Then a pair  $(\Lambda, E)$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 A) \oplus \Gamma(A) \cong \Gamma(\Lambda^2(A \oplus \mathbb{R}))$  is a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid  $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$ , i.e., it satisfies  $[(\Lambda, E), (\Lambda, E)]_{A \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1)} = 0$ . When  $(\Lambda, E)$  is a Jacobi structure on  $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$ , we call it a *Jacobi structure on  $M$*  and a triple  $(M, \Lambda, E)$  a *Jacobi manifold*. If  $\pi$  is a Poisson structure on  $A$ , Then  $(\pi, 0)$  is a Jacobi structure on  $(A \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$ .

It is well known that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between  $\phi_0$ -symplectic structures on  $(A, \phi_0)$  and non-degenerate Jacobi structures on  $(A, \phi_0)$ . In fact, for a non-degenerate Jacobi structure  $\pi$  on  $(A, \phi_0)$ , a 2-cosection  $\omega_\pi$  characterized by  $\omega_\pi^\flat = -(\pi^\sharp)^{-1}$  is  $\phi_0$ -symplectic on  $(A, \phi_0)$ . In

particular, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between contact structures on  $M$  and non-degenerate Jacobi structures on  $M$ . If  $\eta$  is contact on  $M$ , then  $(\Lambda, E)$  is Jacobi on  $M$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\alpha, \beta) &:= (d\eta)((\eta^\flat)^{-1}(\alpha), (\eta^\flat)^{-1}(\beta)) \quad (\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^1(M)), \\ E &:= \xi.\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\eta^\flat : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M)$  is a linear isomorphism given by

$$\eta^\flat(X) := \iota_X d\eta + \langle \eta, X \rangle \eta \quad (X \in \mathfrak{X}(M))$$

and  $\xi$  in  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  is the Reeb vector field of  $\eta$ .

Let  $(A, \phi_0)$  be a Jacobi algebroid over  $M$ . We set  $\tilde{A} := A \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\tilde{A}$  is a vector bundle over  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . The sections  $\Gamma(\tilde{A})$  can be identified with the set of time-dependent sections of  $A$ . Here a time-dependent section on  $A$  means a section on  $A$  with a parameter  $t$ , where  $t$  is a coordinate of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Under this identification, we can define two Lie algebroid structures  $([\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\hat{\phi}_0}, \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$  and  $([\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0}, \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$  on  $\tilde{A}$ , where for any  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  in  $\Gamma(\tilde{A})$ ,

$$[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_A^{\hat{\phi}_0} := e^{-t} \left( [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_A + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} - \tilde{Y} \right) - \langle \phi_0, \tilde{Y} \rangle \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial t} - \tilde{X} \right) \right), \quad (12)$$

$$\hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}(\tilde{X}) := e^{-t} \left( \rho_A(\tilde{X}) + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right), \quad (13)$$

$$[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0} := [\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_A + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \frac{\partial \tilde{Y}}{\partial t} - \langle \phi_0, \tilde{Y} \rangle \frac{\partial \tilde{X}}{\partial t}, \quad (14)$$

$$\bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0}(\tilde{X}) := \rho_A(\tilde{X}) + \langle \phi_0, \tilde{X} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}. \quad (15)$$

Conversely, for a Lie algebroid  $A$  over  $M$  and a section  $\phi_0$  on  $A$ , if the triple  $(\tilde{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\hat{\phi}_0}, \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$  (resp.  $(\tilde{A}, [\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0}, \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ ) defined by (??) and (??) (resp. (??) and (??)) is a Lie algebroid over  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ , then  $(A, \phi_0)$  is a Jacobi algebroid over  $M$ , i.e.,  $d_A \phi_0 = 0$ . A vector bundle  $\tilde{A}$  equipped with the Lie algebroid structure  $([\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\hat{\phi}_0}, \hat{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$  (resp.  $([\cdot, \cdot]_A^{\bar{\phi}_0}, \bar{\rho}_A^{\phi_0})$ ) is denoted by  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\wedge}$  (resp.  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{-}$ ). Let  $\hat{d}_A^{\phi_0}$  (resp.  $\bar{d}_A^{\phi_0}$ ) and  $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}^A{}^{\phi_0}$  (resp.  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}^A{}^{\phi_0}$ ) be the differential of  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\wedge}$  (resp.  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{-}$ ) and the Lie derivative on  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{\wedge}$  (resp.  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^{-}$ ), respectively. Then for any  $\tilde{f}$  in

$C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})$  and  $\tilde{\phi}$  in  $\Gamma(\tilde{A})$ , the following formulas hold [?]:

$$\hat{d}_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{f} = e^{-t} \left( d_A \tilde{f} + \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} \phi_0 \right), \quad \hat{d}_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{\phi} = e^{-t} \left( d_{A, \phi_0} \tilde{\phi} + \phi_0 \wedge \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial t} \right); \quad (16)$$

$$\bar{d}_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{f} = d_A \tilde{f} + \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} \phi_0, \quad \bar{d}_A^{\phi_0} \tilde{\phi} = d_A \tilde{\phi} + \phi_0 \wedge \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial t}. \quad (17)$$

Let  $(A, \phi_0)$  be a Jacobi algebroid over  $M$ ,  $\pi$  a 2-section on  $A$  and set  $\tilde{\pi} := e^{-t} \pi$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 \tilde{A})$ . Then the following holds:

$$[\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\pi}]_A^{\phi_0} = e^{-2t} [\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0}. \quad (18)$$

Therefore a 2-section  $\pi$  on  $A$  is a Jacobi structure on a Jacobi algebroid  $(A, \phi_0)$  over  $M$  if and only if  $\tilde{\pi}$  in  $\Gamma(\Lambda^2 \tilde{A})$  is a Poisson structure on a Lie algebroid  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^-$  over  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . The Poisson structure  $\tilde{\pi}$  on  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^-$  is called *the Poissonization* of  $\pi$ .

In the case of  $(A, \phi_0) = (TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$ , the Lie algebroid  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^-$  is isomorphic to the standard Lie algebroid  $T(M \times \mathbb{R})$  over  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ . Then the Poissonization  $\widetilde{(\Lambda, E)}$  of a Jacobi structure  $(\Lambda, E)$  on  $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$  corresponds to a Poisson structure  $\Pi := e^{-t} (\Lambda + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \wedge E)$  on  $T(M \times \mathbb{R})$ . This is just the Poissonization of a Jacobi structure on  $M$ .

### 3 Compatibility between Jacobi structures and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on Jacobi algebroids

#### 3.1 Compatibility between 2-sections and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on Lie algebroids

We call a non-degenerate (resp. positive definite) fiber metric  $g$  on a vector bundle  $A$  a *pseudo-Riemannian* (resp. *Riemannian*) *metric* on  $A$ . An *affine connection* on a skew algebroid  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  over  $M$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear map  $\nabla : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \rightarrow \Gamma(A)$  satisfying for any  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  and  $X, Y \in \Gamma(A)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{fX} Y &= f \nabla_X Y, \\ \nabla_X fY &= f \nabla_X Y + (\rho_A(X)f)Y. \end{aligned}$$

For any pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $A$ , there exists a unique affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  which is torsion-free and compatible with  $g$ , i.e., it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X &= [X, Y]_A, \text{ (torsion-free)} \\ \rho_A(X)(g(Y, Z)) &= g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z) \text{ (the compatibility with } g) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X, Y$  and  $Z \in \Gamma(A)$ . The unique affine connection  $\nabla$  on  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  is called the *Levi-Civita connection* of  $g$ . As in the case of the usual Levi-Civita connection on a Riemannian manifold, the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of  $g$  on  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  is characterized by the Koszul formula:

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) &= \rho_A(X)(g(Y, Z)) + \rho_A(Y)(g(X, Z)) - \rho_A(Z)(g(X, Y)) \\ &\quad - g([Y, Z]_A, X) - g([X, Z]_A, Y) + g([X, Y]_A, Z). \end{aligned}$$

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$  be a skew algebroid over  $M$ ,  $\pi$  a 2-section on  $A$  and  $g^*$  a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $A^*$  ( $g^*$  is called a *pseudo-Riemannian cometric* on  $A$ ). The pair  $(\pi, g^*)$  is said to be compatible on  $A$  if

$$D^\pi \pi = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(\pi^\sharp \alpha)(\pi(\beta, \gamma)) = \pi(D_\alpha^\pi \beta, \gamma) + \pi(\beta, D_\alpha^\pi \gamma)$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma(A^*)$ , where  $D^\pi$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $g^*$  on the skew algebroid  $A_\pi^*$ .

**Proposition 3.2.**  $(A, [\cdot, \cdot]_A, \rho_A)$ ,  $\pi$  and  $g^*$  are same in Definition ???. If the pair  $(\pi, g^*)$  is compatible, then  $[\pi, \pi]_A = 0$ .

This proposition implies that a 2-section  $\pi$  on a skew algebroid  $A$  with a compatible cometric is always a Poisson structure on  $A$ .

Definition ??? is a natural extension of the following definition of the compatibility between a Poisson structure on a manifold and a cometric in [?].

**DEFINITION 3.3** ([?]). Let  $(M, \pi)$  be a Poisson manifold and  $g^*$  a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $T^*M$ . The pair  $(\pi, g^*)$  is said to be compatible on  $M$  if

$$D^\pi \pi = 0,$$

where  $D^\pi$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $g^*$  on the Lie algebroid  $(T^*M)_\pi$ .

REMARK 3.4. If  $(\pi, g^*)$  is compatible on  $M$  and  $\pi$  is non-degenerate, then the corresponding symplectic form  $\omega$  to  $\pi$  is a Kähler form. Hence a Poisson structure with a compatible cometric is considered as a generalization of a Kähler structure.

### 3.2 Compatibility between 2-sections and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on Jacobi algebroids

In this subsection, we shall define compatibility between 2-sections and pseudo-Riemannian cometrics on Jacobi algebroids and investigate their properties. Although Aït Amrane and Zegloui [?][?] defined compatibility of Jacobi structures and pseudo-Riemannian metrics on manifolds, their definition is different from the following one.

DEFINITION 3.5. Let  $(A, \phi_0)$  be a Jacobi algebroid over  $M$ ,  $\pi$  a 2-section on  $(A, \phi_0)$  and  $g^*$  a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $A^*$ . The pair  $(\pi, g^*)$  is said to be *compatible* on  $(A, \phi_0)$  if

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{\alpha}^{\pi, \phi_0} \pi)(\beta, \gamma) = & -\frac{1}{2}((X_0 \otimes \pi)(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) + (X_0 \otimes \pi)(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) \\ & + g^*(\alpha, \beta)\pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \gamma) \\ & - g^*(\alpha, \gamma)\pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \beta)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $D^{\pi, \phi_0}$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $g^*$  on the skew algebroid  $A_{\pi, \phi_0}^*$  induced by  $\pi$ .

REMARK 3.6. If  $\phi_0 = 0$ , the above definition is equivalent to the compatibility of  $(\pi, g^*)$  on a Lie algebroid  $A$  (See Definition ??).

The following proposition is the analogy of Proposition ??; that is, a 2-section  $\pi$  on a Jacobi algebroid  $(A, \phi_0)$  with a compatible cometric on  $(A, \phi_0)$  is always a Jacobi structure on  $(A, \phi_0)$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $(A, \phi_0)$  be a Jacobi algebroid over  $M$ ,  $\pi$  a 2-section on  $A$  and  $g^*$  a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $A^*$ . If a pair  $(\pi, g^*)$  is compatible on  $(A, \phi_0)$ , then  $[\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0} = 0$ .

Proof. By the definition (??) of  $\phi_0$ -Schouten bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{A, \phi_0}$  on  $\Gamma(\Lambda^* A)$ , we have

$$[\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0} = [\pi, \pi]_A + \pi \wedge \iota_{\phi_0} \pi + \iota_{\phi_0} \pi \wedge \pi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= d_\pi \pi + 2\pi^\sharp \phi_0 \wedge \pi \\
&= d_\pi \pi - 2X_0 \wedge \pi.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

By the fact that for any  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $\Gamma(A^*)$ ,

$$[\alpha, \beta]_{\pi, \phi_0} = [\alpha, \beta]_\pi + \langle X_0, \alpha \rangle \beta - \langle X_0, \beta \rangle \alpha - \pi(\alpha, \beta) \phi_0$$

and the property that  $D^{\pi, \phi_0}$  is torsion-free, we obtain for any  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma(A^*)$ ,

$$(d_\pi \pi)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{\text{Cycl}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} (D_\alpha^{\pi, \phi_0} \pi)(\beta, \gamma) + 3(X_0 \wedge \pi)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

where  $\sum_{\text{Cycl}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}$  means the sum of the cyclic permutations of  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$ . Therefore by (??), we compute for any  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma(A^*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
[\pi, \pi]_{A, \phi_0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= (d_\pi \pi - 2X_0 \wedge \pi)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
&= \sum_{\text{Cycl}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} (D_\alpha^{\pi, \phi_0} \pi)(\beta, \gamma) + (X_0 \wedge \pi)(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
&= \sum_{\text{Cycl}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \left( (D_\alpha^{\pi, \phi_0} \pi)(\beta, \gamma) + \frac{1}{2}((X_0 \otimes \pi)(\beta, \gamma, \alpha) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (X_0 \otimes \pi)(\gamma, \alpha, \beta) + g^*(\alpha, \beta) \pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \gamma) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - g^*(\alpha, \gamma) \pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \beta)) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\pi, g^*)$  is compatible on  $(A, \phi_0)$ , the consequence holds.  $\square$

The compatibility with a cometric is “preserved” by the Poissonization. To be precise, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $(A, \phi_0)$  be a Jacobi algebroid over  $M$ ,  $\pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 A)$  a Jacobi structure on  $(A, \phi_0)$  and  $g^*$  a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $A^*$ . For the Poissonization  $\tilde{\pi} := e^{-t} \pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2 \tilde{A})$  of  $\pi$  and a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}^* := e^{-t} g^*$  on  $\tilde{A}^*$ , a pair  $(\pi, g^*)$  is compatible on  $(A, \phi_0)$  if and only if  $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{g}^*)$  is compatible on  $\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^-$ .

Proof. It is easy to confirm that the Levi-Civita connection  $\tilde{D}$  of  $\tilde{g}^*$  on  $(\tilde{A}_{\phi_0}^-)^* = (\tilde{A}^*, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\tilde{\pi}}^{\phi_0}, \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{\pi}}^{\phi_0})$ , where

$$[\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}]_{\tilde{\pi}}^{\phi_0} := \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\alpha}}^{\phi_0} \tilde{\beta} - \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\beta}}^{\phi_0} \tilde{\alpha} - d_A^{\phi_0} \langle \tilde{\pi}^\sharp \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \rangle \quad (\forall \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in \Gamma(\tilde{A}^*)), \quad (20)$$

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{\pi}}^{\phi_0} := \tilde{\rho}_A^{\phi_0} \circ \tilde{\pi}^\sharp, \quad (21)$$

can be written explicitly as follows: for any  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}$  in  $\Gamma(\tilde{A}^*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}} \tilde{\beta} = e^{-t} \left( D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\pi, \phi_0} \tilde{\beta} + \langle X_0, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\beta}}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\beta} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \langle X_0, \tilde{\beta} \rangle \tilde{\alpha} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} g^*(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})(g^*)^{\flat-1}(X_0) \right). \quad (22) \end{aligned}$$

For any  $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}$  in  $\Gamma(\tilde{A}^*)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{D}\tilde{\pi})(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) &= (\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\pi})(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) \\ &= \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{\pi}}^{\phi_0}(\tilde{\alpha})(\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})) - \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) - \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

Here by using (??) and (??), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{\pi}}^{\phi_0}(\tilde{\alpha})(\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})) &= e^{-2t} \left( \rho_{\tilde{\pi}}(\tilde{\alpha})(\pi(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})) - \langle X_0, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \pi(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \langle X_0, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \pi \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\beta}}{\partial t}, \tilde{\gamma} \right) + \langle X_0, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \pi \left( \tilde{\beta}, \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t} \right) \right), \\ \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) &= e^{-2t} \left( \pi(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\pi, \phi_0} \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) + \langle X_0, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \pi \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{\beta}}{\partial t}, \tilde{\gamma} \right) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \langle X_0, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \pi(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) + \frac{1}{2} \langle X_0, \tilde{\beta} \rangle \pi(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} g^*(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) \pi((g^*)^{\flat-1}(X_0), \tilde{\gamma}) \right), \\ \tilde{\pi}(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{D}_{\tilde{\alpha}}\tilde{\gamma}) &= e^{-2t} \left( \pi(\tilde{\beta}, D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\pi, \phi_0} \tilde{\gamma}) + \langle X_0, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \pi \left( \tilde{\beta}, \frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \langle X_0, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle \pi(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) + \frac{1}{2} \langle X_0, \tilde{\gamma} \rangle \pi(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\alpha}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}g^*(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma})\pi(\tilde{\beta}, (g^*)^{b-1}(X_0)) \Big).$$

It thus follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{D}\tilde{\pi})(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) &= e^{-2t} \left( \rho_\pi(\tilde{\alpha})(\pi(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma})) - \pi(D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\pi, \phi_0}\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) - \pi(\tilde{\beta}, D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\pi, \phi_0}\tilde{\gamma}) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\langle X_0, \tilde{\beta} \rangle \pi(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma}) + \frac{1}{2}g^*(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})\pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \tilde{\gamma}) \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}\langle X_0, \tilde{\gamma} \rangle \pi(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\alpha}) + \frac{1}{2}g^*(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma})\pi(\tilde{\beta}, (g^*)^{b-1}(X_0)) \right) \\ &= e^{-2t} \left( (D_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\pi, \phi_0}\pi)(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}) + \frac{1}{2} \left( (X_0 \otimes \pi)(\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\alpha}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (X_0 \otimes \pi)(\tilde{\gamma}, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) + g^*(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})\pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \tilde{\gamma}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - g^*(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\gamma})\pi((g^*)^{b-1}(X_0), \tilde{\beta}) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By regarding  $\Gamma(\tilde{A}^*)$  as the set of curves in  $\Gamma(A^*)$ , it follows that the compatibility of  $(\pi, g^*)$  is equivalent to the compatibility of  $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{g}^*)$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Contact pseudo-metric structures and Sasakian pseudo-metric structures

In this subsection, we prove that for a contact pseudo-metric structure on a manifold, satisfying the compatibility condition is equivalent to being a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure. This means that a Jacobi structure with a compatible cometric is considered as a generalization of a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure. Before that, we recall the definitions of almost contact manifolds and Sasakian pseudo-metric manifolds in short. See [?] for details.

An *almost contact structure* on a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold  $M$  is a triple  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  of a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field  $\phi$  on  $M$ , a vector field  $\xi$  on  $M$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  on  $M$  satisfying

$$\phi^2 = -\text{id} + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \langle \eta, \xi \rangle = 1.$$

For an almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  on  $M^{2n+1}$ , define an almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  by

$$J \left( X + f \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \phi X + f\xi - \langle \eta, X \rangle \frac{d}{dt}$$



for any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M \times \mathbb{R})$  tangent to  $M$  and  $f \in C^\infty(M \times \mathbb{R})$ , where  $t$  is the standard coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}$ . An almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  on  $M$  is called *normal* if this almost complex structure  $J$  on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$  is integrable.

A quadruple  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  of an almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  on  $M^{2n+1}$  and a pseudo-Riemannian metric  $g$  with signature  $(p, q)$  on  $M$  is called an *almost contact pseudo-metric structure* on  $M$  if

$$g(\phi X_1, \phi X_2) = g(X_1, X_2) - \varepsilon \eta(X_1) \eta(X_2)$$

for any  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where  $\varepsilon := (-1)^q$ . Furthermore, if  $\eta$  is a contact form and it satisfies for any  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

$$g(\phi X_1, X_2) = (d\eta)(X_1, X_2),$$

then  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called a *contact pseudo-metric structure* on  $M$ . In particular, a contact pseudo-metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called a *contact metric structure* if  $g$  is a Riemannian metric.

A normal contact pseudo-metric structure is called a *Sasakian pseudo-metric structure*. In particular, we call a normal contact metric structure a *Sasakian structure* simply. It is known that an almost contact pseudo-metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $M$  is a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure if and only if

$$(\nabla_{X_1} \phi) X_2 = -\frac{1}{2} g(X_1, X_2) \xi + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \langle \eta, X_2 \rangle X_1$$

for any  $X_1, X_2 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Moreover, for a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on  $M$ ,

$$\nabla_X \xi = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \phi X, \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0$$

hold for any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

**REMARK 3.9.** In contact geometry, a wedge product  $(\alpha \wedge \beta)(X_1, \dots, X_{k+l})$  for any  $\alpha$  in  $\Omega^k(M)$ ,  $\beta$  in  $\Omega^l(M)$  and  $X_i$  in  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k+l$  is often defined as  $\sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+l}} \text{sgn } \sigma \alpha(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)}) \beta(X_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k+l)})$  multiplied by  $\frac{1}{(k+l)!}$ . However, in this paper, we adopt that multiplied by  $\frac{1}{k!l!}$ , which is often used in the context of Lie algebroid theory. These differences cause the various formulas to change slightly. See [?] for the differences.

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $(M, \phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a contact pseudo-metric manifold and  $(\Lambda, E)$  the Jacobi structure given by the contact form  $\varepsilon \eta$  on  $M$ . Let  $G$  be a pseudo-Riemannian metric on  $TM \oplus \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$G((X_1, f), (X_2, h)) := g(X_1, X_2) + \varepsilon fh$$

and  $G^*$  the dual metric of  $G$  on  $T^*M \oplus \mathbb{R}$  with respect to  $(\Lambda, E)$ . Then the pair  $((\Lambda, E), G^*)$  is compatible on  $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$  if and only if  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure on  $M$ .

Proof. From a direct calculation, the condition that  $((\Lambda, E), G^*)$  is compatible on  $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$  is described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon g \left( (\nabla_{X_1} \phi) X_2 + \frac{1}{2} g(X_1, X_2) \xi - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \langle \eta, X_2 \rangle X_1, X_3 \right) \\ + h_2 g \left( \nabla_{X_1} \xi - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \phi X_1, X_3 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon h_3 (\mathcal{L}_\xi g)(X_1, X_2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  and any  $h_2, h_3 \in C^\infty(M)$ .

The condition above is actually equivalent to that  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure on  $M$ .  $\square$

Because of Theorem ??, a Jacobi structure with a compatible cometric is considered as a generalization of a Sasakian pseudo-metric structure.

From Theorem ??, the condition that  $((\Lambda, E), G^*)$  is compatible on  $(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}, (0, 1))$  is equivalent to that the pair  $(e^{-t}\pi, e^{-t}G^*)$  is compatible on the Lie algebroid  $T(M \times \mathbb{R})$ , where  $\pi \in \Gamma(\Lambda^2(TM \oplus \mathbb{R}))$  is the Jacobi structure on  $TM \oplus \mathbb{R}$  corresponding to  $(\Lambda, E)$ . The following well known fact is recovered from this observation and Theorem ?? immediately.

**Corollary 3.11.** A quadruple  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is a Sasakian structure on  $M$  if and only if  $(d(e^t\eta), J, e^tG)$  is a Kähler structure on  $M \times \mathbb{R}$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The first author is supported by a Waseda University Grant for Special Research Projects (Project number: 2022C-434). The second author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23K12977.

## References

- [1] Y. Aït Amrane and A. Zeglaoui: *Compatibility of Riemannian structures and Jacobi structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **133** (2018) 71–80.
- [2] Y. Aït Amrane and A. Zeglaoui: *Compatibility of a Jacobi structure and a Riemannian structure on a Lie algebroid*. arXiv:1911.04980.

- [3] D. E. Blair: Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds. Second edition. Progress in Mathematics, 203. Birkhuser Boston, Ltd., Boston, MA, 2010.
- [4] M. Boucetta: *Compatibilit des structures pseudo-riemanniennes et des structures de Poisson*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. **333** (2001), no. 8, 763–768.
- [5] M. Boucetta: *Poisson manifolds with compatible pseudo-metric and pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebras*, Differential Geom. Appl. **20** (2004), no. 3, 279–291.
- [6] G. Calvaruso, D. Perrone: *Contact pseudo-metric manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **28** (2010) 615–634.
- [7] Z. Chen, M. Ren and F. Zhu: *On pseudo-Riemannian Lie algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 8, 2677–2685.
- [8] D. Iglesias-Ponte and J. C. Marrero: *Generalized Lie bialgebroids and Jacobi structures*, J. Geom. Phys. **40** (2001), 176–200.

Naoki Kimura

Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Fundamental Science and Engineering, Waseda University,  
 3-4-1 Okubo, Shinjuku,  
 Tokyo 169-8555,  
 Japan  
 email: noverevitheuskyk@toki.waseda.jp

Tomoya Nakamura

Academic Support Center, Kogakuin University,  
 2665-1, Nakano-cho, Hachioji  
 Tokyo, 192-0015  
 Japan  
 email: kt13676@ns.kogakuin.ac.jp