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#### Abstract

We investigate some geometric properties of orbits of the isotropy group action on quaternionic irreducible symmetric spaces of compact type. We show that such orbits, except for a one-point set, satisfy one of some four properties and classify which orbits satisfy which properties in each such symmetric space. In a symmetric space, a connected component of the fixed point set of a geodesic symmetry, except for a one-point set, is called a polar. A polar is a totally geodesic submanifold and an orbit of the isotropy group action. By the classification, we show that an orbit which is a quaternionic submanifold or the image of a totally complex immersion is a polar, and a polar becomes a quaternionic submanifold or the image of a totally complex immersion.


## 1 Introduction

We study some geometric properties of orbits of the isotropy group action on quaternionic irreducible symmetric spaces of compact type with respect to the quaternionic structure. In [8], Enoyoshi and Tsukada show that a polar is the image of a totally complex immersion in the associative Grassmann manifold which is a quaternionic symmetric space. In a symmetric space, a polar is a connected component, except for a one-point set, of the fixed point set of

[^0]a geodesic symmetry and it is known that a polar is a totally geodesic submanifold and an orbit of the isotropy group action [7]. In [12], the author studies orbits of the isotropy group action in the associative Grassmann manifold. In the present paper, we study orbits of the isotropy group action in each quaternionic irreducible symmetric space of compact type.

First, recall the definition of a quaternionic Kähler manifold. Let $M$ be a $4 n$-dimensional $(n \geq 2)$ Riemann manifold and $g$ be the Riemann metric and $\tilde{Q}$ be a 3 -dimensional subbundle of End TM satisfying the following conditions:
(1) For any $x \in M$, there is a local frame field $\{I, J, K\}$ defined in a neighborhood $U$ of $x$ such that for any $p \in U$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{p}^{2}=J_{p}^{2}=K_{p}^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}_{T_{p} M} \\
& I_{p} J_{p}=-J_{p} I_{p}=K_{p}, \quad J_{p} K_{p}=-K_{p} J_{p}=I_{p}, \quad K_{p} I_{p}=-I_{p} K_{p}=J_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) For any $x \in M, I \in \tilde{Q}_{x}$ and $X, Y \in T_{x} M$,

$$
g(I(X), Y)+g(X, I(Y))=0
$$

(3) $\tilde{Q}$ is parallel with respect to the Riemann connection of $g$.

Then, we call $(M, g, \tilde{Q})$ a quaternionic Kähler manifold and $\tilde{Q}$ a quaternionic structure of $M$. $\bigcup_{x \in M}\left\{J \in \tilde{Q}_{x} ; J^{2}=-\operatorname{Id}_{T_{x} M}\right\}$ is denoted by $Q$. Then, $Q$ is an $S^{2}$-bundle over $M$ and called the twistor space of $M$. It is known that $Q$ is a complex manifold and has a holomorphic contact structure [11]. In $\tilde{Q}$, we define an inner product $\langle,\rangle_{\tilde{Q}}$ as follows:

$$
\langle A, B\rangle_{\tilde{Q}}=-\frac{1}{4 n} \operatorname{tr}(A B) \quad\left(A, B \in \tilde{Q}_{x}\right)
$$

Then, $Q=\left\{A \in \tilde{Q} ;\langle A, A\rangle_{\tilde{Q}}=1\right\}$. Also, the Riemann connection of $g$ is metric with respect to $\langle,\rangle_{\tilde{Q}}$.

Next, we recall some submanifolds of a quaternionic Kähler manifold. Let $N$ be a manifold and $f: N \rightarrow M$ be an immersion. We denote by $f^{*} Q$ the pullback bundle of $Q$ by $f$. If there is $I \in \Gamma\left(f^{*} Q\right)$ such that $I\left(d f\left(T_{x} N\right)\right) \subset d f\left(T_{x} N\right)$ for any $x \in N$, we call $f$ an almost complex immersion and $I$ the almost complex structure of $f$. We set $Q^{I}=\left\{J \in f^{*} Q ;\langle J, I\rangle_{\tilde{Q}}=0\right\}=$ $\left\{J \in f^{*} Q ; I J=-J I\right\}$. Then, $Q^{I}$ is an $S^{1}$-bundle over $N$. If $J\left(d f\left(T_{p} N\right)\right) \perp d f\left(T_{p} N\right)$ for any $p \in N$ and $J \in Q_{p}^{I}$, then we call $f$ a totally complex immersion. It is known that if $f$ is totally complex, then the almost complex structure of $f$ is integrable [16]. Totally complex submanifolds are studied well by several authors ([2],[10],[13],[15]).

In an almost Hermitian manifold, $C R$ submanifolds are defined as an analogy of almost complex submanifolds [3]. Let $L$ be an almost Hermitian manifold. We denote the almost complex structure of $L$ by $I$. Let $U$ be a submanifold of $L$. If there is a distribution $H$ on $U$ such that $I(H) \subset H$ and the orthogonal complemental distribution $H^{\perp}$ of $H$ in $T U$ satisfies $I\left(H_{x}^{\perp}\right) \subset\left(T_{x} U\right)^{\perp}$ for any $x \in U$, we call $U$ a $C R$ submanifold of $L$ [3]. $U$ is an almost complex submanifold if $H=T U$ and $U$ is a totally real submanifold if $H^{\perp}=T U$.

We naturally consider an analogy of an almost complex immersion of a quaternionic Kähler manifold. Let $M$ be a quaternionic Kähler manifold, $N$ be a manifold and $f: N \rightarrow M$ be an immersion. If there is a section $I \in \Gamma\left(f^{*} Q\right)$ and a distribution $V, W$ of $N$ such that

$$
V+W=T N, \quad d f(V) \perp d f(W), \quad I(d f(V)) \subset d f(V), \quad I(d f(W)) \subset(T(f(N)))^{\perp}
$$

where $(T(f(N)))^{\perp}$ is the normal bundle of $f(N)$ in $T M$, then we call $f$ a $C R$ immersion and $I$ a $C R$ structure of $f$. We denote the dimension of $V$ by $c_{I}$. If $V=T N$, then $f$ is an almost complex immersion. Moreover, if for any $p \in N$ and $J \in\left(Q_{I}\right)_{p}$ there are subspaces $V_{J}, W_{J} \subset T_{p} N$ such that

$$
V_{J}+W_{J}=T_{p} N, \quad d f\left(V_{J}\right) \perp d f\left(W_{J}\right), \quad J\left(d f\left(V_{J}\right)\right) \subset d f\left(V_{J}\right), \quad J\left(d f\left(W_{J}\right)\right) \subset(T(f(N)))^{\perp}
$$

and $\operatorname{dim} V_{J}$ is independent of the choice of $p \in N$ and $J \in\left(Q_{I}\right)_{p}$, then we call $f$ a totally $C R$ immersion. We denote $\operatorname{dim} V_{J}$ by $c_{I}^{\prime}$. A totally complex immersion is a totally $C R$ immersion.

We recall $Q R$ submanifolds [4]. Let $N \subset M$ be a submanifold and $(T N)^{\perp}$ be the normal bundle of $N$. If there are subbundles $\mu, \nu \subset(T N)^{\perp}$ such that

$$
\mu+\nu=(T N)^{\perp}, \quad \mu \perp \nu, \quad J(\mu) \subset T N, \quad J(\nu) \subset \nu
$$

for any $J \in Q_{x}(x \in N)$, then we call $N$ a $Q R$ submanifold. A typical example of a $Q R$ submanifold is a hypersurface. $Q R$ submanifolds are studied in [4], [5]. We say that a $Q R$ submanifold is a quaternionic submanifold if $\mu=\{0\}$, that is $T N$ is invariant under the quaternionic structure. It is known that a quaternionic submanifold of a quaternionic Kähler manifold is totally geodesic [1]. Moreover, we say that a submanifold $N$ is totally real if $J(X) \in\left(T_{p} N\right)^{\perp}$ for any $p \in N, X \in T_{p} N, J \in Q_{p}$.

We obtain Theorem 1.1 as the main result of the present paper.
Theorem 1.1. Let $M$ be a quaternionic irreducible symmetric space of compact type, $Q$ be the twistor space of $M$ and $G$ be the identity component of the isometry group of $M$. Fix
$o \in M$ and let $K=\{g \in G ; g(o)=o\}$. For each $p \in M$, we set $K_{p}=\{k \in K ; k(p)=p\}$ and denote the identity component of $K_{p}$ by $\left(K_{p}\right)_{0}$. Then, each $K$-orbit $K(p)$, except for a one-point set, satisfies one of the following properties.
(i) Let $f: K /\left(K_{p}\right)_{0} \rightarrow K(p) ; k\left(K_{p}\right)_{0} \mapsto k(p)$. Then, $f$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section $I$ of the induced bundle $f^{*} Q$ of $Q$ by $f$. Moreover, all $K$-invariant sections correspond to each point of the 2-dimensional sphere one-to-one and $c_{I}, c_{I}^{\prime}$ are independent of the choice of $I$. Also, $K(p)$ is a $Q R$ submanifold.
(ii) $f$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section of $f^{*} Q$ and $K$-invariant sections are unique up to the sign.
(iii) For any $x \in K(p)$ and $J \in Q_{x}$, there are subspaces $V, W \subset T_{x} K(p)$ such that

$$
V+W=T_{x} K(p), V \perp W, J(V) \subset V, J(W) \subset\left(T_{x} K(p)\right)^{\perp}
$$

Moreover, $K$ acts on the restricted bundle of $Q$ to $K(p)$ transitively.
(iv) For any $x \in K(p)$ and $J \in Q_{x}$, there are no subspaces of $T_{x} K(p)$ satisfying the property of (iii). $K$ acts on the restricted bundle of $Q$ to $K(p)$ transitively.

In the present paper, we classify which orbits satisfy which properties of Theorem 1.1 in each quaternionic irreducible symmetric space of compact type (Table 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). By this classification, we obtain Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.2. If a $K$-orbit $K(p)$ is a quaternionic submanifold or $f: K /\left(K_{p}\right)_{0} \rightarrow K(p)$ is a totally complex immersion, then $K(p)$ is a polar. Conversely, a polar is a quaternionic submanifold or the image of a totally complex immersion.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we observe some results of quaternionic symmetric spaces classified by Wolf [17]. It is known that the rank of a quaternionic irreducible symmetric space is $1,2,3$, or 4 . Also, we observe some facts of orbits of the isotropy group action on a compact symmetric space. Moreover, we study orbits of the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H} P^{n}(n \geq 2)$. In Section 3, we study orbits of a quaternionic symmetric space $M$ in the case of $\operatorname{rank} M=4$, that is $M=S O(n) / S O(4) \times S O(n-4)(n \geq 8), F_{4} /((S p(1) \times$ $\left.S p(3)) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right), E_{6} /\left((S p(1) \times S U(6)) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right), E_{7} /\left((S p(1) \times \operatorname{Spin}(12)) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right), E_{8} /\left(\left(S p(1) \times E_{7}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. In subsection 3.7, we classify which orbits satisfy which properties of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we consider the case of $\operatorname{rank} M=2$, that is $M=S U(n) / S(U(2) \times U(n-2))(n \geq$ 4) and $G_{2} / S O(4)$. We only consider $M=S U(n) / S(U(2) \times U(n-2))$. In the case of
$M=G_{2} / S O(4)$, we refer to [12]. In Section 5, we consider the case of rank $=3$, that is $M=S O(7) / S O(4) \times S O(3)$.

The author thanks the referee for reading carefully the original version of the manuscript and for the suggestions for improvement.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Quaternionic symmetric spaces

Let $(M, g, \tilde{Q})$ be a quaternionic Kähler manifold. We call $M$ a quaternionic symmetric space if $M$ is a symmetric space and $\tilde{Q}_{p}$ is contained in the linear holonomy group $\mathcal{J}_{p}$ of $(M, g)$ for each $p \in M$. In the present paper, we consider quaternionic irreducible symmetric spaces of compact type. By Wolf [17], all quaternionic irreducible symmetric spaces of compact and noncompact type are constructed from complex simple Lie algebras. We shall review this construction in this section.

Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra which is not of type $A_{1}, A_{2}, B_{2}$. Let $\tau$ be a complex conjugation of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the compact real form of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ corresponding to $\tau$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{h}$. Then, $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Denote the root system of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ by $\Sigma$. Let (, ) be an invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Set $\mathfrak{h}_{0}=i \mathfrak{h}$. For each $\gamma \in \Sigma$, we set $H_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{h}_{0}$ such that $\left(H_{\gamma}, H\right)=\gamma(H)$ for any $H \in \mathfrak{h}_{0}$. Let $A_{\gamma}=\frac{2}{\left(H_{\gamma}, H_{\gamma}\right)} H_{\gamma}$. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$, we set the Cartan integer $a_{\alpha, \beta}=\left(A_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}\right)=\frac{2\left(H_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}\right)}{\left(H_{\alpha}, H_{\alpha}\right)} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Take some linear order on $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$ and let $\beta$ be the highest root of $\Sigma$ and $\Sigma^{+}$be the set of all positive roots. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\Sigma_{n}=\left\{\gamma \in \Sigma ; a_{\beta, \gamma}=n\right\}$. Then, $\Sigma_{2}=\{\beta\}, \Sigma_{-2}=\{-\beta\}$ and $\Sigma=\Sigma_{-2} \cup \Sigma_{-1} \cup \Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}$. Let $\theta=\exp \left(\operatorname{ad} \pi i A_{\beta}\right)$. Then, $\theta$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$. Set $\mathfrak{k}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; \theta(X)=X\}$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; \theta(X)=-X\}$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{m}$.

Let $G$ be the simply connected compact Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, we denote by the same symbol the induced involutive automorphism of $G$ by $\theta$. Let $K=\{g \in$ $G ; \theta(g)=g\}$. Since $G$ is simply connected, $K$ is connected. Let $M=G / K$ and $\pi: G \rightarrow M$ be the natural projection. Denote $o=\pi(e)$. Then, $T_{o} M=\mathfrak{m}$. Let $\langle$,$\rangle be the G$-invariant Riemann metric on $M$ induced by $\left.c()\right|_{,\mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m}}$, where $c$ is a negative constant. Then, $(M,\langle\rangle$, is a simply connected irreducible symmetric space of compact type.

For $\gamma \in \Sigma$, let $X_{\gamma}$ be a root vector of $\gamma$, that is $X_{\gamma}$ satisfies $\left[H, X_{\gamma}\right]=\gamma(H) X_{\gamma}$ for $H \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$.

Let $Z_{\gamma}=X_{\gamma}+\tau\left(X_{\gamma}\right)$ and $W_{\gamma}=i\left(X_{\gamma}-\tau\left(X_{\gamma}\right)\right)$ for $\gamma \in \Sigma^{+}$. Then, $Z_{\gamma}, W_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma}\right)
$$

Moreover, by the definition of $\theta$

$$
\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{h}+\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}\right)+\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma^{+} \cap \Sigma_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma}\right), \quad \mathfrak{m}=\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma}\right) .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{s}=\mathbb{R}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)+\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}$. Then, $\mathfrak{s}$ is a 3-dimensional ideal of $\mathfrak{k}$ and $\operatorname{Ad}(k)(\mathfrak{s}) \subset \mathfrak{s}$ for any $k \in K$ because $K$ is connected. By the restriction of the linear isotropy representation of $\mathfrak{k}$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ to $\mathfrak{s}$, we may consider $\mathfrak{s} \subset \operatorname{Endm}=\operatorname{End} T_{o} M$. Then, $G \times_{K} \mathfrak{s}$ defines a quaternionic structure $\tilde{Q}$ on $M$, where $G \times_{K} \mathfrak{s}=(G \times \mathfrak{s}) / \sim$ and $\left(g_{1}, X_{1}\right) \sim\left(g_{2}, X_{2}\right) \in G \times \mathfrak{s}$ if and only if $\left(g_{1}, X_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}, X_{2}\right)$ satisfy $g_{1}^{-1} g_{2} \in K$ and $X_{1}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(g_{1}^{-1} g_{2}\right) X_{2}$. Let $S(\mathfrak{s})=\{X \in$ $\left.\mathfrak{s} ;\left(\left.(\operatorname{ad} X)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{2}=-\mathrm{Id}\right\}=\left\{a\left(i A_{\beta}\right)+b Z_{\beta}+c W_{\beta} ; a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}=1\right\}$. Then, $G \times_{K} S(\mathfrak{s})$ is the twistor space of $M$ since the action of $K$ on $\mathfrak{s}$ is isometric and $\operatorname{Ad}(K)(S(\mathfrak{s})) \subset S(\mathfrak{s})$. Thus, we construct a quaternionic irreducible symmetric space of compact type. Conversely, any quaternionic irreducible symmetric space of compact type is given by this method. All quaternionic irreducible symmetric spaces of compact type are classified as Table 1.

| $G$ | $K$ | $\operatorname{dim} M$ | $\operatorname{rank} M$ | $G$ | $K$ | $\operatorname{dim} M$ | $\operatorname{rank} M$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S p(n+1)$ | $S p(1) \times \operatorname{Sp(n)}$ | $4 n(n \geq 2)$ | 1 |  | $G_{2}$ | $S O(4)$ | 8 | 2 |
| $S U(n+2)$ | $S(U(2) \times U(n))$ | $4 n(n \geq 2)$ | 2 |  | $F_{4}$ | $(S p(1) \times S p(3)) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 28 | 4 |
| $S O(7)$ | $S O(4) \times S O(3)$ | 12 | 3 |  | $E_{6}$ | $(S p(1) \times S U(6)) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 40 | 4 |
| $S O(n+4)$ | $S O(4) \times S O(n)$ | $4 n(n \geq 4)$ | 4 |  | $E_{7}$ | $(S p(1) \times \operatorname{Spin}(12)) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 64 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $E_{8}$ | $\left(S p(1) \times E_{7}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 112 | 4 |

Table 1: quaternionic irreducible symmetric spaces of compact type

### 2.2 Orbits of the isotropy group action

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{m}$ and $R$ be the restricted root system with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. For $\omega \in R$, we set $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\omega}=\{X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} ;[A, X]=\omega(A) X(A \in \mathfrak{a})\}$. Remark that $\alpha(H) \in i \mathbb{R}$ for any $\alpha \in R$ and $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Take a linear order on $i \mathfrak{a}$ and the set of all positive roots is denoted by $R^{+}$. For each $\omega \in R^{+}$, we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{k}_{\omega} & =\mathfrak{k} \cap\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\omega}+\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\omega}\right)=\left\{S \in \mathfrak{k} ;(\operatorname{ad} A)^{2} S=-\omega(A)^{2} S(A \in \mathfrak{a})\right\}, \\
\mathfrak{m}_{\omega} & =\mathfrak{m} \cap\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\omega}+\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-\omega}\right)=\left\{T \in \mathfrak{m} ;(\operatorname{ad} A)^{2} T=-\omega(A)^{2} T(A \in \mathfrak{a})\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that $\operatorname{ad} A\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\omega}\right) \subset \mathfrak{k}_{\omega}$ and $\operatorname{ad} A\left(\mathfrak{k}_{\omega}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{a}$. Let $\mathfrak{k}_{0}$ be the set of all centralizers of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$. Then,

$$
\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{k}_{0}+\sum_{\omega \in R^{+}} \mathfrak{k}_{\omega}, \quad \mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{a}+\sum_{\omega \in R^{+}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}
$$

Lemma 2.1. [14] For each $\omega \in R^{+}$, there is an orthonormal basis $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{n(\omega)}$ of $\mathfrak{k}_{\omega}$ and $T_{1}, \cdots T_{n(\omega)}$ of $\mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[H, S_{i}\right]=i \alpha(H) T_{i}, \quad\left[H, T_{i}\right]=-i \alpha(H) S_{i}} \\
& \operatorname{Ad}(\exp H) S_{i}=\cos (i \alpha(H)) S_{i}+\sin (i \alpha(H)) T_{i} \\
& \operatorname{Ad}(\exp H) T_{i}=-\sin (i \alpha(H)) S_{i}+\cos (i \alpha(H)) T_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $1 \leq i \leq n(\omega)$, where $n(\omega)$ is the multiplicity of $\omega$.
For each $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, we denote $\pi(\exp (-H) K \exp H)$ by $\mathcal{O}_{H}$. Let $K_{H}=\{k \in K ; \pi(k \exp H)=$ $\pi(\exp H)\}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{H}=\{X \in \mathfrak{k} ; \operatorname{Ad}(\exp H) X \in \mathfrak{k}\}$. Then, the Lie algebra of $K_{H}$ is $\mathfrak{k}_{H}$. Denote the identity component of $K_{H}$ by $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$. Define a $K$-action on $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $K \times \mathcal{O}_{H} \ni$ $(k, \pi(p)) \mapsto \pi(\exp (-H) k(\exp H) p) \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_{H}=K / K_{H}$. For each $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, we set $R_{H}^{+}=\left\{\alpha \in R^{+} ; i \alpha(H) \in \pi \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Then, the following direct sum decompositions are true.

$$
\mathfrak{k}_{H}=\mathfrak{k}_{0}+\sum_{\omega \in R_{H}^{+}} \mathfrak{k}_{\omega}, \quad T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}=\sum_{\omega \in R^{+}, \omega \notin R_{H}^{+}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}, \quad\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}=\mathfrak{a}+\sum_{\omega \in R_{H}^{+}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}
$$

where $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal complement of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ in $\mathfrak{m}=T_{o} M$.
Let $F=\left\{\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{n}\right\}$ be the set of all simple roots of $R^{+}$and $\eta$ be the highest root. Let $\mathcal{F}=F \cup\{\eta\}$. Set

$$
Q=\{H \in \mathfrak{a} ; 0<i \lambda(H)<\pi(\lambda \in \mathcal{F})\}
$$

Then, each $K$-orbit intersects $\pi(\exp \bar{Q})$ at only one point. For any subset $\Delta \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $\Delta \neq\{\eta\}$, we set

$$
Q_{\Delta}=\left\{H \in \bar{Q} ; \begin{array}{ll}
0<i \lambda(H)(\lambda \in \Delta \cap F), & i \eta(H)<\pi(\eta \in \Delta) \\
0=i \mu(H)(\mu \in F-\Delta), & i \eta(H)=\pi(\eta \notin \Delta)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Then, $\bar{Q}=\sqcup_{\Delta \subset \mathcal{F}, \Delta \neq\{\eta\}} Q_{\Delta}$ and $R_{H}^{+}$is independent of the choice of $H \in Q_{\Delta}$ and depends on the choice of $\Delta$.

Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$ be the orthogonal projection. Then, $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{s}$ for any $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. Since $\mathfrak{s} \cong \mathfrak{s p}(1), \operatorname{dim} \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=0,1,3$. If $\operatorname{dim} \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=0$, then $\pi_{s}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)$ is trivial. If
$\operatorname{dim} \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=1$, then $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{u}(1)$. If $\operatorname{dim} \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=3$, then $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\mathfrak{s}$. We say $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ is type I if $\operatorname{dim} \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=0, H$ is type II if $\operatorname{dim} \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=1$, and $H$ is type III if $\operatorname{dim} \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=3$. Remark that $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}$ and $S(\mathfrak{s})$ since $K$ acts on them. Because $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ is connected and $\mathfrak{s}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{k}$, it is ture that $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $S(\mathfrak{s})$ trivially if $H$ is type I, acts on as rotations if $H$ is type II, and acts on transitively if $H$ is type III.

We consider the following immersion:

$$
f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H} ; k\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \mapsto \pi(\exp (-H) k \exp H)
$$

Let $f_{H}^{*} Q$ be the pull-back bundle of $Q$ by $f_{H}$. Set $o^{\prime}=e\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$. Then, $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $\left(f_{H}^{*} Q\right)_{o^{\prime}}$. If $H$ is type I, then $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $\left(f_{H}^{*} Q\right)_{o^{\prime}}$ trivially, so for any $A \in S(\mathfrak{s})$ a section $J: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow f_{H}^{*} Q ; k\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \mapsto d k \circ A \circ d k^{-1}(A \in S(\mathfrak{s}))$ is a $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. Thus, we can construct $K$-invariant sections of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ corresponding to each point of $S(\mathfrak{s}) \cong S^{2}$. If $H$ is type II, then $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $S(\mathfrak{s})$ as rotations, so there is unique $B \in S(\mathfrak{s})$ such that $\pm B$ is fixed by $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$. By the similar way, we can construct the $K$-invariant section $I$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ by $\pm B$. In particular, $K$-invariant sections of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ are unique up to sign. Let $Q_{I}:=\left\{J \in f_{H}^{*} Q ; I J=-J I\right\}$. Then, $Q_{I}$ is given by $S_{B}(\mathfrak{s}):=\{C \in S(\mathfrak{s}): C \perp B\}$. Since $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $S_{B}(\mathfrak{s})$ transitively, $K$ acts on $Q_{I}$ transitively. Let $Q_{H}$ be the restricted bundle of $Q$ to $\mathcal{O}_{H}$. If $H$ is type III, then $K_{H}$ acts on $S(\mathfrak{s})$ transtively, so $K$ acts on $Q_{H}$ transitively. Summarizing these arguments, we obtain Proposition 2.2.

Proposition 2.2. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{o} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H} ; k\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \mapsto \pi(\exp (-H) k \exp H)$.
(i) If $H$ is type I, then there is a $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and all $K$-invariant sections correspond to each point of $S(\mathfrak{s}) \cong S^{2}$ one-to-one.
(ii) If $H$ is type II, then there is a $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and $K$-invariant sections are unique up to sign. Let $I$ be a $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and $Q_{I}:=\left\{J \in f_{H}^{*} Q ; I J=-J I\right\}$. Then, $K$ acts on $Q_{I}$ transitively.
(iii) Let $Q_{H}$ be the restricted bundle of $Q$ to $\mathcal{O}_{H}$. If $H$ is type III, then $K$ acts on $Q_{H}$ transitively.

We say that $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $\pi(K \exp H)$ are type I (resp. II,III) if $H$ is type I (resp. II,III). In the present paper, for each quaternionic irreducible symmetric space of compact type, we study that each orbit of the isotropy group action becomes which of type I, type II, and type III and has what properties these $K$-invariant sections have.

At the end of this section, we consider the quaternionic irreducible symmetric space $M$ of compact type whose rank is 1 , that is the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H} P^{n}(n \geq 2)$. In
$\mathbb{H} P^{n}$, it is known that orbits of the isotropy group action become one of the following: the trivial point, principal orbits, or $\mathbb{H} P^{n-1}$ which is a polar [7]. We see easily that the polar is type III and a quaternionic totally geodesic submanifold. In general, if $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a principal orbit, then $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}=\mathfrak{a}$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\{0\}$, so $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type I. Since rank $\mathbb{H} P^{n}=1$, each principal orbit $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a hypersurface of $\mathbb{H} P^{n}$. Thus, principal orbits are $Q R$ submanifolds. For each $X \in \mathfrak{s}$, set subspaces $V_{X}, W_{X}$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ as follows: $W_{X}=\operatorname{ad} X(\mathfrak{a})$ and $V_{X}$ is the orthogonal complement of $W_{X}$ in $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$. Then, $V_{X}, W_{X}$ satisfy

$$
V_{X} \perp W_{X}, \quad V_{X}+W_{X}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(V_{X}\right) \subset V_{X}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(W_{X}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Thus, $f_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. Summarizing these arguments, we obtain Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.3. In $\mathbb{H} P^{n}(n \geq 2)$, an orbit of the isotropy group action is one of the following.:
(i) the trivial point,
(ii) $\mathbb{H} P^{n-1}$ which is a quaternionic totally geodesic submanifold,
(iii) a principal orbit which is a $Q R$-submanifold.

If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a principal orbit, the immersion $f_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by any $K$-invariant section $I$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and all $K$-invariant sections correspond to each point of $S^{2}$ one-to-one. Moreover, $c_{I}, c_{I}^{\prime}$ are independent of the choice of $I$.

## 3 The case of $\operatorname{rank} M=4$

In this section, we consider the case of $\operatorname{rank} M=4$, that is $G=S O(n)(n \geq 8), F_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{s o}(n, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{f}_{4}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{e}_{6}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{e}_{7}^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{e}_{8}^{\mathbb{C}}$. In subsection 3.1, 3.2, and 3.3, we consider an explicit descripion of the restricted root system and some preparations for this description. In subsection 3.4, we consider $\left.\operatorname{ad} X\right|_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}(X \in \mathfrak{s})$ for studying the quaternionic structure. In subsection 3.5, we study $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfying $\omega(H) \in i \pi \mathbb{Z}$ for some restricted roots $\omega$ and in subsection 3.6, we study orbits of the action of the isotropy group of the isometry group. In subsection 3.7, we summarize properties of each orbit with respect to the quaternionic structure.

## $3.1 \quad H$-orbit

Let (, ) be the Killing form of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\left\{X_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in \Sigma\right\}$ be a Chevalley basis, that is $X_{\alpha}$ satisfies
(i) $\left[X_{\alpha}, X_{-\alpha}\right]=A_{\alpha}$,
(ii) $\quad\left[H, X_{\alpha}\right]=\alpha(H) X_{\alpha}(H \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}})$,
(iii) For any $\alpha, \gamma \in \Sigma,\left[X_{\alpha}, X_{\gamma}\right]=0$ if $\alpha+\gamma \notin \Sigma$ and $\left[X_{\alpha}, X_{\gamma}\right]=N_{\alpha, \gamma} X_{\alpha+\gamma}$ if $\alpha+\gamma \in \Sigma$, where $N_{\alpha, \gamma}= \pm(p+1)$ and $p$ is the greatest positive number such that $\gamma-p \alpha \in \Sigma$.

Take a linear order in $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$ and denote the set of all positive roots by $\Sigma^{+}$and let $\beta$ be the highest root. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we set $\Sigma_{n}$ as section 1 . Set the complex conjugation $\tau$ such that

$$
\tau\left(A_{\alpha}\right)=-A_{\alpha}, \quad \tau\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=-X_{-\alpha} \quad\left(\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}\right)
$$

Let $Z_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha}+\tau\left(X_{\alpha}\right)=X_{\alpha}-X_{-\alpha}$ and $W_{\alpha}=i\left(X_{\alpha}-\tau\left(X_{\alpha}\right)\right)=i\left(X_{\alpha}+X_{-\alpha}\right)$ for each $\alpha \in \Sigma$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}=\{X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} ; \tau(X)=X\}$ is a compact real from and

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma^{+}}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\alpha}+\mathbb{R} W_{\alpha}\right)
$$

By simple computations, we obtain Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.
Lemma 3.1. $N_{\alpha, \beta}=-N_{\beta, \alpha}=-N_{-\alpha,-\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$. Moreover, is $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Sigma$ satisfy $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0$ and $|\beta|=|\gamma|,|\alpha|=\sqrt{k}|\beta|(k \in \mathbb{N})$, then it follows that $N_{\alpha, \beta}=\frac{1}{k} N_{\beta, \gamma}=N_{\gamma, \alpha}$.

Lemma 3.2. For any $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma(\beta \neq-\alpha)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[Z_{\alpha}, Z_{\beta}\right]=N_{\alpha, \beta} Z_{\alpha+\beta}-N_{-\alpha, \beta} Z_{-\alpha+\beta},} \\
& {\left[Z_{\alpha}, W_{\beta}\right]=N_{\alpha, \beta} W_{\alpha+\beta}-N_{-\alpha, \beta} W_{-\alpha+\beta},} \\
& {\left[W_{\alpha}, W_{\beta}\right]=-N_{\alpha, \beta} Z_{\alpha+\beta}-N_{-\alpha, \beta} Z_{-\alpha+\beta},} \\
& {\left[W_{\alpha}, Z_{\beta}\right]=N_{\alpha, \beta} W_{\alpha+\beta}+N_{-\alpha, \beta} W_{-\alpha+\beta} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\theta=\exp \left(\operatorname{ad}\left(\pi i A_{\beta}\right)\right)$ and $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}$ as section 2. Since the rank of $M$ is 4 , there are $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{4} \in \Sigma_{1}$ such that they are longest roots and $\alpha_{i} \pm \alpha_{j} \notin \Sigma(1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4)$ and the subspace $\mathfrak{a}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathbb{R} Z_{\alpha_{i}}$ is a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{m}$. In $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$, the reflection with respect to $H_{\gamma}(\gamma \in \Sigma)$ is denoted by $\tau_{\gamma}$, that is $\tau_{\gamma}(X)=X-\frac{2\left(H_{\gamma}, X\right)}{\left(H_{\gamma}, H_{\gamma}\right)} H_{\gamma}\left(X \in \mathfrak{h}_{0}\right)$. Let $H$ be the subgroup of the Weyl group generated by $\tau_{\alpha_{1}}, \cdots, \tau_{\alpha_{4}}$. Since $\left(H_{\alpha_{i}}, H_{\alpha_{j}}\right)=0(1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4)$, $\tau_{\alpha_{1}}, \cdots, \tau_{\alpha_{4}}$ commute to each other and $H \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{4}$. We consider the action of $H$ on $\Sigma$.

Obviously, the $H$-orbit through $\alpha_{i}$ is $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq 4$. For an $H$-orbit $\Sigma^{\prime}$ such that $\Sigma^{\prime} \cap \Sigma_{1} \neq \phi$ and $\Sigma^{\prime} \neq\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$, set $\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ as follows:

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\sum_{\gamma \in\left(\Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{-1}\right) \cap \Sigma^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma}\right), \quad \mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\sum_{\gamma \in\left(\Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{2}\right) \cap \Sigma^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma}\right) .
$$

Then, $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{a})\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{a})\left(\mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma^{\prime}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$. In the following, we study $H$-orbits intersecting $\Sigma_{1}$.

Denote by $\Sigma_{\beta}$ the $H$-orbit through $\beta$, that is

$$
\Sigma_{\beta}=\left\{\begin{array}{cccc}
\beta, & & \\
\beta-\alpha_{1}, & \beta-\alpha_{2}, & \beta-\alpha_{3}, & \beta-\alpha_{4}, \\
\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right), & \\
\beta-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right), & \\
\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right), \\
\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) & &
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

By the definition of $\beta, \alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{4}$, it is obvious that $\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{4}\right)=-\beta$ since $a_{\beta, \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{4}\right)}=-2$. Thus, any $\gamma \in \Sigma_{\beta}$ satisfies $-\gamma \in \Sigma_{\beta}$ and $\Sigma_{\beta} \cup\left\{ \pm \alpha_{1}, \pm \alpha_{2}, \pm \alpha_{3}, \pm \alpha_{4}\right\}$ is a subsystem of $\Sigma$ which is isomorphic to $D_{4}$. Set

$$
\Sigma_{\beta}^{+}=\left\{\begin{array}{cccc}
\beta-\alpha_{1}, & \beta-\alpha_{2}, & \beta-\alpha_{3}, & \beta-\alpha_{4}, \\
\beta, & \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right), & \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Then, $\Sigma_{\beta}^{+} \cup\left(-\Sigma_{\beta}^{+}\right)=\Sigma_{\beta}$, where for any subset $A \subset \Sigma$ we set $-A=\{-\gamma ; \gamma \in A\}$. We see $\Sigma_{\beta}^{+} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\left\{\beta-\alpha_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq 4\right\}, \Sigma_{\beta}^{+} \cap \Sigma_{2}=\{\beta\}, \Sigma_{\beta}^{+} \cap \Sigma_{0}=\left\{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{i}\right) ; 2 \leq i \leq 4\right\}$. Thus,

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma_{\beta}}=\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{i}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta-\alpha_{i}}\right), \quad \mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma_{\beta}}=\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{4}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{i}\right)}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{i}\right)}\right) .
$$

Let $\gamma \in \Sigma_{1}$ be a longest root and $\gamma \notin \Sigma_{\beta}$. Denote by $\Sigma_{\gamma}$ the $H$-orbit through $\gamma$. Then, we see that $a_{\alpha_{i}, \gamma}=a_{\alpha_{j}, \gamma}=1$ for some $1 \leq i<j \leq 4$ and $a_{\alpha_{k}, \gamma}=a_{\alpha_{l}, \gamma}=0$ for $1 \leq k<l \leq 4$ such that $k, l \neq i, j$. Also, $a_{\alpha_{k}, \beta-\gamma}=a_{\alpha_{l}, \beta-\gamma}=1$ and $a_{\alpha_{i}, \beta-\gamma}=a_{\alpha_{j}, \beta-\gamma}=0$. Hence, $\Sigma_{\gamma}=\left\{\gamma, \gamma-\alpha_{i}, \gamma-\alpha_{j}, \gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)\right\}$. Then, $\Sigma_{-\left(\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)\right)}=-\Sigma_{\gamma}$. Because $\Sigma_{\gamma} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\gamma\}, \Sigma_{\gamma} \cap \Sigma_{-1}=\left\{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)\right\}, \Sigma_{\gamma} \cap \Sigma_{0}=\left\{\gamma-\alpha_{i}, \gamma-\alpha_{j}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma_{\gamma}} & =\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma}\right)+\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}\right), \\
\mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma_{\gamma}} & =\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}\right)+\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We say that an $H$-orbit through such $\gamma \in \Sigma_{1}$ is type $L(i, j)$ or simply type $L$. Let $\delta \in \Sigma_{1}$ be a shortest root and denote by $\Sigma_{\delta}$ the $H$-orbit through $\delta$. It is easily seen that $a_{\alpha_{i}, \delta}=a_{\alpha_{j}, \delta}=1$
for some $1 \leq i<j \leq 4$ and $a_{\alpha_{k}, \delta}=a_{\alpha_{l}, \delta}=0$ for $1 \leq k<l \leq 4$ such that $k, l \neq i, j$. Moreover, $a_{\alpha_{k}, \beta-\delta}=a_{\alpha_{l}, \beta-\delta}=1$ and $a_{\alpha_{i}, \beta-\delta}=a_{\alpha_{j}, \beta-\delta}=0$. Thus, $\Sigma_{\delta}=\left\{\delta, \delta-\alpha_{i}, \delta-\alpha_{j}, \delta-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)\right\}$. We see that $\delta-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)=-\delta$ since $a_{\delta, \delta-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}=-2$ and $\Sigma_{\delta}$ is a subsystem of $\Sigma$ and isomorphic to $A_{1} \cup A_{1}$. Because $\Sigma_{\delta} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\delta\}$ and $\Sigma_{\delta} \cap \Sigma_{0}=\left\{ \pm\left(\delta-\alpha_{i}\right)\right\}$,

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma_{\delta}}=\mathbb{R} Z_{\delta}+\mathbb{R} W_{\delta}, \quad \mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma_{\delta}}=\mathbb{R} Z_{\delta-\alpha_{i}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\delta-\alpha_{i}}
$$

We say that an $H$-orbit through such $\delta \in \Sigma_{1}$ is type $S(i, j)$ or simply type $S$.
Let $\Sigma^{L}(1), \cdots, \Sigma^{L}(n)$ be $H$-orbits of type $L$ such that $\Sigma^{L}(1),-\Sigma^{L}(1), \cdots, \Sigma^{L}(n),-\Sigma^{L}(n)$ are all $H$-orbits of type $L$. Moreover, let $\Sigma^{S}(1), \cdots, \Sigma^{S}(m)$ be all $H$-orbits of type $S$. Then, the following direct sum decomposition follows:

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{a}+\mathbb{R} W_{\alpha_{1}}+\cdots+\mathbb{R} W_{\alpha_{4}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma_{\beta}}+\sum_{a=1}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{L}(a)}+\sum_{b=1}^{m} \mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{S}(b)}
$$

### 3.2 Structure coefficient $N_{\alpha, \beta}$

In the Chevalley basis $\left\{X_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in \Sigma\right\}$, the sign of the structure coefficient $N_{\alpha, \beta}$ depends on an orientation of each $X_{\alpha}$. In the following, we fix orientations of some $X_{\alpha}$ and decide the sign of some structure coefficients. First, we fix an orientation of $X_{\beta}, X_{\alpha_{1}}, X_{\alpha_{2}}, X_{\alpha_{3}}$ and set $w_{i}=\exp \frac{\pi}{2} Z_{\alpha_{i}}(i=1,2,3)$. For each $\gamma=\beta-\left(\epsilon_{1} \alpha_{1}+\epsilon_{2} \alpha_{2}+\epsilon_{3} \alpha_{3}\right) \in \Sigma_{\beta}^{+}\left(\epsilon_{i}=0,1, i=1,2,3\right)$, we set an orientation of $X_{\gamma}$ such that

$$
X_{\beta-\left(\epsilon_{1} \alpha_{1}+\epsilon_{2} \alpha_{2}+\epsilon_{3} \alpha_{3}\right)}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} w_{2}^{\epsilon_{2}} w_{3}^{\epsilon_{3}}\right) X_{\beta}
$$

By the commutativity of $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}$, these orientations are well-defined. For any $\gamma \in \Sigma$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma-\alpha_{i} \in \Sigma \text { and } \gamma+\alpha_{i} \notin \Sigma \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Ad}\left(\exp t Z_{\alpha_{i}}\right) X_{\gamma}=\cos t X_{\gamma}-N_{-\alpha_{i}, \gamma} \sin t X_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}} \\
& \gamma-\alpha_{i} \notin \Sigma \text { and } \gamma+\alpha_{i} \in \Sigma \Longrightarrow \operatorname{Ad}\left(\exp t Z_{\alpha_{i}}\right) X_{\gamma}=\cos t X_{\gamma}+N_{\alpha_{i}, \gamma} \sin t X_{\gamma+\alpha_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $N_{-\alpha_{i}, \gamma}=-1$ if $\gamma-\alpha_{i} \in \Sigma$ and $\gamma+\alpha_{i} \notin \Sigma$, and $N_{\alpha_{i}, \gamma}=-1$ if $\gamma-\alpha_{i} \notin \Sigma$ and $\gamma+\alpha_{i} \in \Sigma$. Next, we fix an orientation of $X_{\alpha_{4}}$ such that $\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right) X_{\beta}=-X_{-\beta}$.

Lemma 3.3. $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta}=N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}=-1$ and $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\alpha_{i}}=N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}=1$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$.

Proof. First,

$$
\begin{aligned}
-N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta} X_{\beta-\alpha_{4}} & =\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{4}\right) X_{\beta}=-\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)^{-1} X_{-\beta}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(X_{\beta}\right) \\
& =\tau\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}\right)^{-1} X_{\beta}\right)=\tau\left(-X_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}\right)=X_{-\beta+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}=X_{\beta-\alpha_{4}},
\end{aligned}
$$

so we obtain $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta}=-1$. Moreover, $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}=1$ since $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta}=N_{-\beta+\alpha_{4},-\alpha_{4}}=$ $-N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}$ by Lemma 3.1. Next, we will show $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\alpha_{1}}=1$. The other cases are proved by the similar way.

$$
\begin{aligned}
-N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\alpha_{1}} X_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)} & =\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} w_{4}\right) X_{\beta}=-\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{2} w_{3}\right)^{-1} X_{-\beta}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{2} w_{3}\right)^{-1} \tau\left(X_{\beta}\right) \\
& =\tau\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{2} w_{3}\right)^{-1} X_{\beta}\right)=\tau\left(X_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}\right)=-X_{-\beta+\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}=-X_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

so $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\alpha_{1}}=1$. Also, $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}=-1$ because $N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\alpha_{1}}=N_{-\alpha_{4},-\beta+\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right)}=$ $N_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right),-\alpha_{4}}=-N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)}$.

By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain Corollary 3.4 immediately.
Corollary 3.4. For any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3, N_{-\alpha_{i}, \beta-\alpha_{4}}=N_{\alpha_{i}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{4}\right)}=N_{-\alpha_{i}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{j}+\alpha_{4}\right)}=$ $N_{\alpha_{4}, \beta-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{4}\right)}=1$, and $N_{\alpha_{4}, \beta-\alpha_{4}}=-1$.

Let $\Sigma^{L}$ be an $H$-orbit of type $L(i, j)(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$ and $\Sigma^{L} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\gamma\}$. Fix an orientation of $X_{\gamma}$ and set an orientation of $X_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}, X_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}, X_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}$ such that

$$
X_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{i}\right) X_{\gamma}, X_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{j}\right) X_{\gamma}, X_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{i} w_{j}\right) X_{\gamma}
$$

Then, we can prove that for any $\epsilon \in \Sigma^{L}$ and $k \in\{i, j\}$ it is true that $N_{\alpha_{k}, \epsilon}=-1$ if $\epsilon+\alpha_{k} \in \Sigma$ and $N_{-\alpha_{k}, \epsilon}=-1$ if $\epsilon-\alpha_{k} \in \Sigma$ by the similar way to the above arguments.

Let $\Sigma^{S}$ be an $H$-orbit of type $S(i, j)(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$ and $\Sigma^{1} \cap \Sigma^{S}=\{\delta\}$. Then, $\delta-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)=-\delta$. Fix an orientation of $X_{\delta}$ and set an orientation of $X_{\delta-\alpha_{i}}$ such that $X_{\delta-\alpha_{i}}=\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{i}\right) X_{\delta}$. Then, we easily see $N_{-\alpha_{i}, \delta}=-1$ and $N_{-\alpha_{j}, \delta-\alpha_{i}}=N_{\delta,-\alpha_{j}}$.

### 3.3 Restricted root system

It is known that the restricted root system of quaternionic irreducible symmetric space of compact type whose rank is 4 is type $D_{4}, B_{4}$ or $F_{4}[9]$. In this subsection, using the Chevalley basis $\left\{X_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in \Sigma\right\}$ and the structure coefficient $N_{\alpha, \beta}$, we describe the restricted root system explicitly. Let $R$ be the restricted root system of ( $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}$ ) with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $A=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_{i} Z_{\alpha_{i}} \in \mathfrak{a}\left(\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$. If the linear form $\omega$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ satisies $\omega(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} \lambda_{i}\left(a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$,
then we often denote $\omega$ by $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} \lambda_{i}$. Conversely, $\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} \lambda_{i}$ often means the linear form $\omega$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $\omega(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} \lambda_{i}$. For any linear form $\omega$ of $\mathfrak{a}$, we denote the extension of $\omega$ as complex linearly to $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}$ by the same symbol. Moreover, for any subset $W \subset \mathfrak{a}^{*}$, $\left\{ \pm i \omega \in\left(\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{*} ; \omega \in W\right\}$ is denoted by $\pm i W$, where for any vector space $V$ the dual space of $V$ is denoted by $V^{*}$.

First, we study $\left.\operatorname{ad}(A)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma_{\beta}}}: \mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma_{\beta}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma_{\beta}}$ and $\left.\operatorname{ad}(A)\right|_{\mathfrak{E}_{\beta}}: \mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma_{\beta}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma_{\beta}}$. We set a basis of $\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma_{\beta}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}}:=Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}:=Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}-Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}-Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}:=Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}-Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}}:=-Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}-Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}} . \\
& T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}:=W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}-W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}}:=W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}-W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}}:=W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}} W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}} W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}:=-W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and $T_{2 \lambda_{i}}:=W_{\alpha_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$. Next, we define a basis of $\mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma_{\beta}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}}:=-Z_{\beta}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}-Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& S_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}:=-Z_{\beta}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}-Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& S_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}:=-Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& S_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}}:=Z_{\beta}+Z_{\beta+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)} . \\
& S_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}:=-W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& S_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}}:=-W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}-W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}-W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& S_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}}:=-W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}-W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& S_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}}:=W_{\beta}+W_{\beta+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}-W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

and $S_{2 \lambda_{i}}=i A_{\alpha_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$. Set

$$
R_{\beta}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}, & \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}, & \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}, & \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4} \\
\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}, & \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}, & \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}, & \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4} \\
2 \lambda_{1}, & 2 \lambda_{2}, & 2 \lambda_{3}, & 2 \lambda_{4}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Lemma 3.5. $\operatorname{ad}(A)\left(T_{\omega}\right)=\omega(A) S_{\omega}$ and $\operatorname{ad}(A)\left(S_{\omega}\right)=-\omega(A) T_{\omega}$ for any $\omega \in R_{\beta}$.
Proof. By results of the structure coefficient in subsection 2.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}\right)= & \lambda_{1} N_{\alpha_{1}, \beta-\alpha_{1}} Z_{\beta}-\lambda_{2} N_{-\alpha_{2}, \beta-\alpha_{1}} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)} \\
& -\lambda_{3} N_{-\alpha_{3}, \beta-\alpha_{1}} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}-\lambda_{4} N_{-\alpha_{4}, \beta-\alpha_{1}} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)} \\
= & \left(-\lambda_{1}\right) Z_{\beta}+\lambda_{2} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\lambda_{3} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\left(-\lambda_{4}\right) Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{2}\right) Z_{\beta}+\lambda_{1} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\lambda_{4} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\left(-\lambda_{3}\right) Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{3}\right) Z_{\beta}+\lambda_{4} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\lambda_{1} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\left(-\lambda_{2}\right) Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{4}\right) Z_{\beta}+\lambda_{3} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\lambda_{2} Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\left(-\lambda_{1}\right) Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta}\right)=\lambda_{1} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+\lambda_{2} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+\lambda_{3} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+\lambda_{4} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right)=-\left(\lambda_{2} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+\lambda_{1} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+\lambda_{4} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+\lambda_{3} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}\right), \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}\right)=-\left(\lambda_{3} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+\lambda_{4} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+\lambda_{1} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+\lambda_{2} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}\right), \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}\right)=\lambda_{4} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+\lambda_{3} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+\lambda_{2} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+\lambda_{1} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{1}\right) W_{\beta}+\lambda_{2} W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\lambda_{3} W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\left(-\lambda_{4}\right) W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{2}\right) W_{\beta}+\lambda_{1} W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\left(-\lambda_{4}\right) W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\lambda_{3} W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{3}\right) W_{\beta}+\left(-\lambda_{4}\right) W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\lambda_{1} W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\lambda_{2} W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{4}\right) W_{\beta}+\left(-\lambda_{3}\right) W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}+\left(-\lambda_{2}\right) W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}+\left(-\lambda_{1}\right) W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta}\right)=\lambda_{1} W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+\lambda_{2} W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+\lambda_{3} W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+\lambda_{4} W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{2}\right) W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+\left(-\lambda_{1}\right) W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+\lambda_{4} W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+\lambda_{3} W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{3}\right) W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+\lambda_{4} W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+\left(-\lambda_{1}\right) W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+\lambda_{2} W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)}\right)=\lambda_{4} W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+\left(-\lambda_{3}\right) W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}+\left(-\lambda_{2}\right) W_{\beta-\alpha_{3}}+\lambda_{1} W_{\beta-\alpha_{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\alpha_{i}}\right)=2 \lambda_{i}\left(i A_{\alpha_{i}}\right), \operatorname{ad} A\left(i A_{\alpha_{i}}\right)=-2 \lambda_{i} W_{\alpha_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$. By these results, we obtain the statement.

Thus, $\pm i R_{\beta} \subset R$ because $\mathbb{C}\left(T_{\omega} \pm i S_{\omega}\right) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mp i \omega}=\{X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} ; \operatorname{ad} A(X)=\mp i \omega(A) X\}$ for each $\omega \in R_{\beta}$. Moreover, we can easily check that $\pm i R_{\beta}$ is a subsystem of type $D_{4}$.

Let $\Sigma^{L}$ be an $H$-orbit of type $L(i, j)(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$ and $\Sigma^{L} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\gamma\}$. Then, $\Sigma^{L}=\left\{\gamma, \gamma-\alpha_{i}, \gamma-\alpha_{j}, \gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)\right\}$. Set a basis of $\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{L}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 1}:=Z_{\gamma}-Z_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}, & T_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 1}:=Z_{\gamma}+Z_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)} \\
T_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 2}:=W_{\gamma}-W_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}, & T_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 2}:=W_{\gamma}+W_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)} .
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, we set a basis of $\mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma^{L}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 1}:=Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}+Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}, & S_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 1}:=Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}-Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}, \\
S_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 2}:=W_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}+W_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}, & S_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 2}:=W_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}-W_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}} .
\end{array}
$$

Set $R_{\Sigma^{L}}=\left\{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j}\right\}$.

Lemma 3.6. $\operatorname{ad} A\left(T_{\omega}^{\gamma, k}\right)=\omega(A) S_{\omega}^{\gamma, k}$ and $\operatorname{ad} A\left(S_{\omega}^{\gamma, k}\right)=-\omega(A) T_{\omega}^{\gamma, k}$ for any $\omega \in R_{\Sigma^{L}}$ and $k=1,2$.

Proof. By the simialr way to the proof of Lemma 3.5, we obtain the followings and the statement is true.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\gamma}\right)=\lambda_{i} Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}+\lambda_{j} Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}, & \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)=-\lambda_{j} Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}-\lambda_{i} Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}, \\
\operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}\right)=-\lambda_{i} Z_{\gamma}+\lambda_{j} Z_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}, & \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}\right)=-\lambda_{j} Z_{\gamma}+\lambda_{i} Z_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}, \\
\operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\gamma}\right)=\lambda_{i} W_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}+\lambda_{j} W_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}, & \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}\right)=-\lambda_{j} W_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}-\lambda_{i} W_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}, \\
\operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\gamma-\alpha_{i}}\right)=-\lambda_{i} W_{\gamma}+\lambda_{j} W_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}, & \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\gamma-\alpha_{j}}\right)=-\lambda_{j} W_{\gamma}+\lambda_{i} W_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)} .
\end{array}
$$

Let $\Sigma^{S}$ be an $H$-orbit of type $S(i, j)(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$ and $\Sigma^{S} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\delta\}$. Then, $\Sigma^{S}=\left\{\delta, \delta-\alpha_{i}\right\}$. Set $c_{\delta}:=N_{-\alpha_{j}, \delta}$. Then, $c_{\delta}= \pm 1$. Set a basis of $\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{S}}$ as follows:

$$
T_{\lambda_{i}+c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}}^{\delta}:=Z_{\delta}, \quad T_{\lambda_{i}-c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}}^{\delta}:=W_{\delta} .
$$

Moreover, we set a basis of $\mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma^{S}}$ as follows:

$$
S_{\lambda_{i}+c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}}^{\delta}:=Z_{\delta-\alpha_{i}}, \quad S_{\lambda_{i}-c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}}^{\delta}:=W_{\delta-\alpha_{i}} .
$$

Set $R_{\Sigma^{S}}=\left\{\lambda_{i} \pm c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}\right\}=\left\{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j}\right\}$. By the similar way to Lemma 3.5, we obtain Lemma 3.7.
Lemma 3.7. $\operatorname{ad} A\left(T_{\omega}^{\delta}\right)=\omega(A) S_{\omega}^{\delta}$ and $\operatorname{ad} A\left(S_{\omega}^{\delta}\right)=-\omega(A) T_{\omega}^{\delta}$ for any $\omega \in R_{\Sigma^{S}}$.
For an $H$-orbit $\Sigma^{L}$ of type $L$, if $\Sigma^{L} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\gamma\}$, then we denote $\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{L}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma^{L}}, R_{\Sigma^{L}}$ by $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}, \mathfrak{k}_{\gamma}, R_{\gamma}$. Similarly, for an $H$-orbit $\Sigma^{S}$ of type $S$, if $\Sigma^{S} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\delta\}$, then we denote $\mathfrak{m}_{\Sigma^{S}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\Sigma^{S}}, R_{\Sigma^{S}}$ by $\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}, \mathfrak{k}_{\delta}, R_{\delta}$. Let $\Sigma^{L}(1), \cdots, \Sigma^{L}(n)$ be $H$-orbits of type $L$ such that $\Sigma^{L}(1),-\Sigma^{L}(1), \cdots, \Sigma^{L}(n)$, $-\Sigma^{L}(n)$ are all $H$-orbits of type $L$. Moreover, let $\Sigma^{S}(1), \cdots, \Sigma^{S}(m)$ be all $H$-orbits of type $S$. Let $\Sigma^{L}(p) \cap \Sigma_{1}=\left\{\gamma_{p}\right\}(1 \leq p \leq n)$ and $\Sigma^{S}(q) \cap \Sigma_{1}=\left\{\delta_{q}\right\}(1 \leq q \leq m)$. For $\omega \in i R$, we set $\mathfrak{m}_{\omega}=\left\{T \in \mathfrak{m} ;(\operatorname{ad} A)^{2} T=-\omega(A)^{2} T(A \in \mathfrak{a})\right\}$. We denote $\mathfrak{a}+\sum_{\omega \in R_{\beta}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$ by $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$. Then, the following direct sum decomposition is true.

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}+\sum_{p=1}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma_{p}}+\sum_{q=1}^{m} \mathfrak{m}_{\delta_{q}}
$$

Moreover, the restricted root system $R$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ is given by

$$
R= \pm i\left(R_{\beta} \cup \bigcup_{p=1}^{n} R_{\gamma_{p}} \cup \bigcup_{q=1}^{m} R_{\delta_{q}}\right) .
$$

### 3.4 The representation of $\mathfrak{s}$ on $\mathfrak{m}$

In this subsection, we study $\left.\operatorname{ad} X\right|_{\mathfrak{m}}: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$ for each $X \in \mathfrak{s}$. Since $i A_{\beta}, Z_{\beta}, W_{\beta}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{s}$, we consider $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right), \operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right), \operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)$. Remark that $\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{2}=\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{2}=$ $\left(\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{2}=-\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

We easily see $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}$ since $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\alpha_{i}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\alpha_{i}}\right)+$ $\sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta-\alpha_{i}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta-\alpha_{i}}\right)$. Denote each element of $R_{\beta}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\omega_{i}^{1}=2 \lambda_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 4), & & \\
\omega_{1}^{2}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}, & \omega_{2}^{2}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}, & \omega_{3}^{2}=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}, & \omega_{4}^{2}=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}, \\
\omega_{1}^{3}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}, & \omega_{2}^{3}=\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}, & \omega_{3}^{3}=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}, & \omega_{4}^{3}=\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4} .
\end{array}
$$

Set $R_{\beta}^{k}=\left\{\omega_{i}^{k} ; 1 \leq i \leq 4\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{k}=\sum_{\omega \in R_{\beta}^{k}} \mathbb{R} T_{\omega}$ for each $1 \leq k \leq 3$. Then, $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=$ $\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{1}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{3}$. By direct computations and using $N_{-\beta, \beta-\alpha_{i}}=N_{\beta,-\alpha_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$, we obtain Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.9, Lemma 3.10.

Lemma 3.8. $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{3}$. Moreover, the representation matrices of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}$ with respect to $Z_{\alpha_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and $T_{\omega_{i}^{1}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2}}$ with respect to $T_{\omega_{i}^{2}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and $T_{\omega_{i}^{3}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & & & \\
& 1 & & \\
& & 1 & \\
& & & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where empty components are 0 .
Lemma 3.9. $\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right) \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2}$ and $\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right) \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{1} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{3}$. Moreover, the representation matrices of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}$ with respect to $Z_{\alpha_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and $T_{\omega_{i}^{2}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}^{1}}$ with respect to $T_{\omega_{i}^{1}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and $T_{\omega_{i}^{3}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are

$$
-\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.10. $\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right) \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{3}$ and $\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right) \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{1} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2}$. Moreover, the representation matrice of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{a}}$ with respect to $Z_{\alpha_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and $T_{\omega_{i}^{3}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{1}}$ with respect to $T_{\omega_{i}^{1}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ and $T_{\omega_{i}^{2}}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ are

$$
-\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{1}{4}\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\Sigma^{L}$ be an $H$-orbit of type $L(i, j)(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$ and $\Sigma^{L} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\gamma\}$. Then, we see that the $H$-orbit through $\beta-\gamma$ is type $L(k, l)(1 \leq k \neq l \leq 4, k, l \neq i, j)$ and $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta-\gamma}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta-\gamma}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}$. For each $\omega \in i R$, we denote $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\omega}=$ $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$. Then, $\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}=\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}+\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j}}=\mathbb{R} T_{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 1}+\mathbb{R} T_{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, 2}$. By direct computations, we obtain Lemma 3.11 immediately.

Lemma 3.11. $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}$ The representation matrix of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}}$ with respect to $T_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ and $T_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.12. $N_{-\beta, \gamma}=-N_{\beta, \gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}$
Proof. Since $\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right) X_{\gamma}=X_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}$ and $\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right) X_{-\beta}=-X_{\beta}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right)\left[X_{-\beta}, X_{\gamma}\right] & =N_{-\beta, \gamma} \operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right) X_{-\beta+\gamma}=-N_{-\beta, \gamma} \tau\left(\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right) X_{\beta-\gamma}\right) \\
& =-N_{-\beta, \gamma} \tau\left(X_{\beta-\gamma-\left(\alpha_{k}+\alpha_{l}\right)}\right)=N_{-\beta, \gamma} X_{-\beta+\gamma+\left(\alpha_{k}+\alpha_{l}\right)} \\
\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right)\left[X_{-\beta}, X_{\gamma}\right] & =\left[\operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right) X_{-\beta}, \operatorname{Ad}\left(w_{1} \cdots w_{4}\right) X_{\gamma}\right]=-\left[X_{\beta}, X_{\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{l}\right)}\right] \\
& =-N_{\beta, \gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{l}\right)} X_{\beta+\gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}=-N_{\beta, \gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)} X_{-\beta+\gamma+\left(\alpha_{k}+\alpha_{l}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $N_{-\beta, \gamma}=-N_{\beta, \gamma-\left(\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}\right)}$.

Remark $N_{-\beta, \gamma}= \pm 1$. By direct computations and Lamme 3.12, we obtain Lemma 3.13, Lemma 3.14.

Lemma 3.13. $\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\beta-\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\beta-\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}}$. Moreover, the representation matrix of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}}$ with respect to $T_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ and $T_{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ and of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}}$ with respect to $T_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ and $T_{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
N_{-\beta, \gamma} & 0 \\
0 & -N_{-\beta, \gamma}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Lemma 3.14. $\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}}$. Moreover, the representation matrix of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}}$ with respect to $T_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ and $T_{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}}^{\gamma, a}(a=$ $1,2)$ and of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\gamma}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}}$ with respect to $T_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ and $T_{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}}^{\gamma, a}(a=1,2)$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & N_{-\beta, \gamma} \\
N_{-\beta, \gamma} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $\Sigma^{S}$ be an $H$-orbit of type $S(i, j)(1 \leq i<j \leq 4)$ and $\Sigma^{S} \cap \Sigma_{1}=\{\delta\}$. Then, we see that the $H$-orbit through $\beta-\delta$ is type $S(k, l)(1 \leq k \neq l \leq 4, k, l, \neq i, j, k<l)$ and $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta-\delta}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\delta}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta-\delta}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}$. Let $c_{\delta}=N_{-\alpha_{j}, \delta}$ and $c_{\beta-\delta}=N_{-\alpha_{l}, \beta-\delta}$. Then, $c_{\delta}$ and $c_{\beta-\delta}$ are $\pm 1$. For each $\omega \in i R$, we set $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\omega}=\mathfrak{m}_{\delta} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$. Then, $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{i} \pm c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}}=\mathbb{R} T_{\lambda_{i} \pm c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}}^{\delta}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}=\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}+\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}$. Since $T_{\lambda_{i}+c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}}^{\delta}=Z_{\delta}$ and $T_{\lambda_{i}-c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}}^{\delta}=W_{\delta}$, we obtain Lemma 3.15 by direct computations.

Lemma 3.15. It is true that $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{i} \mp \lambda_{j}}, \operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{i} \pm c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{k} \pm c_{\beta-\delta} \lambda_{l}}$ and $\operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{i} \pm c_{\delta} \lambda_{j}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\delta}\right)_{\lambda_{k} \mp c_{\beta-\delta} \lambda_{l}}$.

Summarizing the above arguments we obtain Proposition 3.16.
Proposition 3.16. Let $1 \leq i<j \leq 4$ and $1 \leq k<l \leq 4$ such that $k, l \neq i, j$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}, \\
& \operatorname{ad}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}} \\
& \operatorname{ad}\left(W_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.5 Root system $D_{4} \subset B_{4} \subset F_{4}$

For $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and any subset $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{a}^{*}$, set $\Delta_{H}=\{\omega \in \Delta ; \omega(H) \in \pi \mathbb{Z}\}$. We easily check that the following are true.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{r}
-\omega_{1}^{1}+\omega_{1}^{2}+\omega_{4}^{3}=0 \\
-\omega_{1}^{1}+\omega_{2}^{2}+\omega_{3}^{3}=0 \\
-\omega_{1}^{1}+\omega_{3}^{2}+\omega_{2}^{3}=0 \\
-\omega_{1}^{1}+\omega_{4}^{2}+\omega_{1}^{3}=0
\end{array},\left\{\begin{array}{r}
-\omega_{2}^{1}+\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{3}=0 \\
-\omega_{2}^{1}+\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0 \\
\omega_{2}^{1}+\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{3}=0 \\
\omega_{2}^{1}+\omega_{4}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.\right.  \tag{*}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{r}
-\omega_{3}^{1}+\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{3}=0 \\
\omega_{3}^{1}+\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{3}=0 \\
-\omega_{3}^{1}+\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0 \\
\omega_{3}^{1}+\omega_{4}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{3}=0
\end{array},\left\{\begin{array}{r}
-\omega_{4}^{1}+\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{1}^{3}=0 \\
\omega_{4}^{1}+\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{2}^{3}=0 \\
\omega_{4}^{1}+\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{3}^{3}=0 \\
-\omega_{4}^{1}+\omega_{4}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 3.17. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. If $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}=1$, then $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=0$ or $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=$ $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=1$.

Proof. If $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H} \geq 2$, then we obtain $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H} \geq 2$ by $(*)$, but this contradicts to the assumption. (For example, we assume $\omega_{1}^{1} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}$ and $\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{2}^{2} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}$. By $(*),-\omega_{1}^{1}-\omega_{1}^{2}-$ $\omega_{4}^{3}=0,-\omega_{2}^{1}+\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0$ and we obtain $\left.\omega_{2}^{1} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}.\right)$ Thus, $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=0,1$, and $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=1$ if and only if $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=1$, and $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=0$ if and only if $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=0$. Thus, the statement follows.

Lemma 3.18. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. If $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}=2$, then $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=0$ or $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=$ $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=2$.

Proof. $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H} \neq 1$ by $(*)$. (For example, we assume $\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{2}^{1} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}$ and $\omega_{1}^{2} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}$. By $(*),-\omega_{1}^{1}-\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0,-\omega_{2}^{1}+\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0$ and $\left.\omega_{2}^{2} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}.\right)$ Moreover, $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H} \leq 2$ by $(*)$. (For example, we assume $\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{2}^{1} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}$ and $\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}$. By $(*),-\omega_{1}^{1}-$ $\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0,-\omega_{3}^{1}+\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0$ and $\omega_{3}^{1} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}$. This contradicts to the assumption.) Thus, $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=0,2$. We see $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=0$ if and only if $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=0$. Also, $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=2$ if and only if $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=2$. In particular, if $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}=\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=2$, then $\left.\left(\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H},\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H},\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)\right)$ is one of the following:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\left.\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 \\
1
\end{array}, \omega_{2}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{2}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), & \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{2}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{2}^{3}\right\}\right), & \left(\left\{\omega_{3}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{2}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{2}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
\left.\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), & \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), & \left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
\left.\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}, \omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), & \left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}, \omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), & \left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
\left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), & \left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), & \left(\left\{\omega_{3}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 3.19. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. If $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}=3$, then $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=0$.
Proof. By $(*)$, the statement follows. (For example, we assume $\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}$ and $\omega_{1}^{2} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}$. Then, by $(*),-\omega_{1}^{1}-\omega_{1}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0,-\omega_{2}^{1}+\omega_{2}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0,-\omega_{3}^{1}+\omega_{3}^{2}-\omega_{4}^{3}=0$ and $\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2} \in\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}$. Moreover, we see $\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=R_{\beta}^{3}$. Hence, $\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=R_{\beta}^{2}$ and $\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}=R_{\beta}^{1}$. This contradicts to the assumption.)

By similar arguments to the proof of Lemma 3.19, we obtain Lemma 3.20.
Lemma 3.20. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. If $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}=4$, then $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=0$ or $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}=$ $\#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}=4$.

Summarizing the above arguments, we obtain Proposition 3.21 by the homogeneity of $D_{4}$.
Proposition 3.21. For each $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)$ is one of the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (0,0,0),(1,1,1),(2,2,2),(4,4,4), \\
& (1,0,0),(0,1,0),(0,0,1),(2,0,0),(0,2,0),(0,0,2), \\
& (3,0,0),(0,3,0),(0,0,3),(4,0,0),(0,4,0),(0,0,4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfies $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(0,0,0)$, then we say $H$ is type I. If $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfies $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(n, 0,0),(0, n, 0),(0,0, n)(n=1,2,3,4)$, then we say $H$ is type II. If $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfies $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(n, n, n)(n=1,2,4)$, then we say $H$ is type III. Let $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}: \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathfrak{s}$ be the orthogonal projection. Set $\mathfrak{k}_{\beta}^{a}=\left[\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{a}\right](a=1,2,3)$. Then, $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{\beta}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(i A_{\beta}\right), \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{\beta}^{2}\right)=\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}, \pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{\beta}^{3}\right)=\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}$. Moreover, since $\mathfrak{k}_{H}=\mathfrak{k}_{0}+\sum_{\omega \in R_{H}^{+}} \mathfrak{k}_{\omega}$ for each $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}(X)=\{0\}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ which is orthogonal to [a, $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$ ], we see that $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ is type $a(a=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{III})$ if and only if the $K$-orbit through $\pi(\exp H)$ is type $a$.

### 3.6 Orbits of the isotropy group action

We consider properties of each $K$-orbit with respect to the quaternionic structure. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{1}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{3}$. Then, $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{\prime}$. Set $R_{0}=i R \cap\left\{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j} ; 1 \leq i<j \leq 4\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{0}=\sum_{\omega \in R_{0}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$. Moreover, for each $1 \leq i<j \leq 4$, set $R_{i j}=\left\{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j}, \lambda_{k} \pm \lambda_{l}\right\}$, where $1 \leq k<l \leq 4, k, l \neq i, j$. Then, $R_{0} \subset R_{12} \cup R_{13} \cup R_{14}$. We set $\mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\sum_{\omega \in R_{i j}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$. Then, $\mathfrak{m}_{0} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{12}+\mathfrak{m}_{13}+\mathfrak{m}_{14}$.

Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type $I$. Recall the immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$. Then, $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\{0\}$ and each $X \in S(\mathfrak{s})$ defines the $K$-invariant section $J$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. We study each $K$-invariant section $J$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$.

Lemma 3.22. For each $1 \leq i<j \leq 4, \#\left(R_{i j}\right)_{H} \leq 1$.
Proof. We see $a+b, a-b \in \pm R_{\beta}$ for any $a, b \in R_{i j}(a \neq b)$. Since $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\phi$, the statement follows.

Lemma 3.23. For any $X \in \mathfrak{s}$, there are subspaces $V_{o}, W_{o}$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ such that

$$
V_{0} \perp W_{0}, \quad V_{0}+W_{0}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(V_{0}\right) \subset V_{0}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(W_{0}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.16, $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{m}_{1 i}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{1 i}(i=2,3,4)$. By Lemma 3.22, for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$, there is some $\omega_{i} \in\left(R_{1 i}\right)_{H}$ such that

$$
T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{1 i}=\sum_{\omega \in R_{1 i}, \omega \neq \omega_{i}} \mathfrak{m}_{\xi}, \quad\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{1 i}=\mathfrak{m}_{\omega}
$$

or $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{1 i}=\mathfrak{m}_{1 i},\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{1 i}=\{0\}$. In any case, $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right) \subset T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Set $W_{o}=\operatorname{ad} X\left(\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}\right)$ and let $V_{0}$ be the orthogonal complement of $W_{0}$ in $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}$. Then, $V_{0}, W_{0}$ satisfy the statement.

Since $H$ is type I, we see $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{\prime},\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{a}$ and $\operatorname{ad} X(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{\prime}=$ $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}$ by Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.9, Lemma 3.10. Set $W_{\beta}=\operatorname{ad} X(\mathfrak{a})$ and let $V_{\beta}$ be the orthogonal complement of $W_{\beta}$ in $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$. Then, $V_{\beta}, W_{\beta}$ satisfy

$$
V_{\beta} \perp W_{\beta}, \quad V_{\beta}+W_{\beta}=\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}, \quad \operatorname{ad}(X)\left(V_{\beta}\right) \subset V_{\beta}, \quad \operatorname{ad}(X)\left(W_{\beta}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Summarizing these arguments and subsection 1.3, we obtain Proposition 3.24.
Proposition 3.24. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type I and $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ be the immersion. Then, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type I and $f_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by any $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. Moreover, for any $K$-invariant section $I, c_{I}=c_{I}^{\prime}$ and $c_{I}$ is independent of the choice of I. Also, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $Q R$ submanifold.

Let $H \in \mathfrak{s}$ be type II. We can assume $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(a, 0,0)(a=$ $1,2,3,4)$. Then, $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)$ defines the $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. Set $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}=\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta} .\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$ as $U(1)$-action.

Lemma 3.25. Let $X \in \mathbb{R}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) \cup \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$. There are subspaces $V_{0}, W_{0}$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}$ such that

$$
V_{0} \perp W_{0}, \quad V_{0}+W_{0}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(V_{0}\right) \subset V_{0}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(W_{0}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Proof. For each $1 \leq i<j \leq 4$, since $H$ is type II, $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}$ is one of the following:

$$
\{0\}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{j}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{l}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{k}+\lambda_{l}}, \quad \mathfrak{m}_{i j},
$$

where $1 \leq k<l \leq 4, k, l \neq i, j$. Set $W_{0}=\operatorname{ad} X\left(\sum_{j=2}^{4}\left(\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{1 j}\right)\right) \cap T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and let $V_{0}$ be the orthogonal complement of $W_{0}$ in $\sum_{j=2}^{4}\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{1 j}\right)$. Then, $V_{0}, W_{0}$ satisfy the statement.

We remark

$$
T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{3}+\sum_{\omega \in R_{\beta}^{1}-\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}, \quad\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{a}+\sum_{\omega \in\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega} .
$$

Let $V_{A}=\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{3}$ and $W_{A}=\sum_{\omega \in R_{\beta}^{1}-\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$. Since $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{1}, V_{A}$ and $W_{A}$ satisfy

$$
V_{A} \perp W_{A}, \quad V_{A}+W_{A}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(V_{A}\right) \subset V_{A}, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(W_{A}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{s}^{\prime}$. Then, $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{1}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{2}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}^{3}$. Set $W_{X}=\operatorname{ad} X\left(\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}\right)$ and let $V_{X}$ be the orthogonal complement of $W_{X}$ in $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$. Then, $V_{X}, W_{X}$ satisfy

$$
V_{X} \perp W_{X}, \quad V_{X}+W_{X}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{0}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(V_{X}\right) \subset V_{X}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(W_{X}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Summarizing these arguments we obatin Proposition 3.26.

Proposition 3.26. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type II and $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ be the immersion. Then, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type II and $f_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by the $K$-invariant section $I$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$.

Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type III. Then, since $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\mathfrak{s}$ and $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}$ as $S O(3)$-action. Thus, we only consider $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)$. Let $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(4,4,4)$. Then, $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta} \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$. Moreover, for each $1 \leq i<j \leq 4,\left(R_{i j}\right)_{H}=\phi$ or $\left(R_{i j}\right)_{H}=R_{i j}$ since $a \pm b \in \pm R_{\beta}$ for any $a, b \in R_{i j}(a \neq b)$. Hence, $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\{0\}$ or $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\mathfrak{m}_{i j}$. Since $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) \mathfrak{m}_{i j} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{i j}$, we obtain Proposition 3.27 immediately.

Proposition 3.27. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type III and $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(4,4,4)$. Then, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a one-point set or a quaternionic submanifold.

Next, let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfy $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(2,2,2)$. Then, by the proof of Lemma 3.18, $\left(\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H},\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H},\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)$ is one of the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{2}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{2}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{2}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{2}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{3}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{2}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{2}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
& \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
& \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}, \omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right),\left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}, \omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
& \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{3}^{1}, \omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{2}, \omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{3}, \omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left(R_{\beta}^{a}\right)_{H}=\left\{\eta_{1}^{a}, \eta_{2}^{a}\right\}(a=1,2,3)$ and $R_{\beta}^{a}-\left(R_{\beta}^{a}\right)_{H}=\left\{\eta_{3}^{a}, \eta_{4}^{a}\right\}$. Then,

$$
T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\sum_{a=1}^{3}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{1}^{a}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{2}^{a}}\right), \quad\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\sum_{a=1}^{3}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{3}^{a}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{4}^{a}}\right)
$$

By Lemma 3.8, $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{1}^{1}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{2}^{1}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{a} \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$. Moreover, we see that unique $v_{2} \in$ $\left\{T_{\eta_{1}^{2}} \pm T_{\eta_{2}^{2}}\right\}$ satisfies $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) v_{2} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{1}^{3}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{2}^{3}} \subset T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and the other $w_{2} \in\left\{T_{\eta_{1}^{2}} \pm T_{\eta_{2}^{2}}\right\}$ satisfies $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) w_{2} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{3}^{3}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{4}^{3}} \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$. Similarly, unique $v_{3} \in\left\{T_{\eta_{1}^{3}} \pm T_{\eta_{2}^{3}}\right\}$ satisfies ad $\left(i A_{\beta}\right) v_{3} \in$ $\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{1}^{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{2}^{2}} \subset T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and the other $w_{3} \in\left\{T_{\eta_{1}^{3}} \pm T_{\eta_{2}^{3}}\right\}$ satisfies $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) w_{3} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{3}^{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{4}^{2}} \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$. In particular, $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(w_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R} w_{3}$. Thus, $V_{\beta}=\mathbb{R} v_{2}+\mathbb{R} v_{3}$ and $W_{\beta}=\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{1}^{1}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\eta_{2}^{1}}+\mathbb{R} w_{2}+\mathbb{R} w_{3}$ satisfy

$$
V_{\beta} \perp W_{\beta}, \quad V_{\beta}+W_{\beta}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(V_{\beta}\right) \subset V_{\beta}, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(W_{\beta}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Because $\left(\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H},\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H},\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)$ is one of the above, we obtain Lemma 3.28.
Lemma 3.28. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfy $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(2,2,2)$. Then, for each $1 \leq i<j \leq 4, \#\left(R_{i j}^{+}\right)_{H} \neq 2$.

Thus, by some $\omega \in R_{i j}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{lll} 
& T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\{0\} & \text { and } \quad\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\mathfrak{m}_{i j}, \\
\text { or } & T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\mathfrak{m}_{i j} & \text { and } \quad\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\{0\}, \\
\text { or } & T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\mathfrak{m}_{\omega} & \text { and } \quad\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\sum_{\eta \in R_{i j}^{+}, \eta \neq \omega} \mathfrak{m}_{\eta}, \\
\text { or } & T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\sum_{\eta \in R_{i j}^{+}, \eta \neq \omega} \mathfrak{m}_{\eta} & \text { and } \\
& \left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}=\mathfrak{m}_{\omega} .
\end{array}
$$

In any case of the above, there are subspaces $V_{i j}, W_{i j}$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}$ such that

$$
V_{i j} \perp W_{i j}, \quad V_{i j}+W_{i j}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{i j}, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(V_{i j}\right) \subset V_{i j}, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(W_{i j}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Summarizing these arguments we obtain Proposition 3.29.
Proposition 3.29. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type III and $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(2,2,2)$. Then, for any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$, there are subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that

$$
V \perp W, \quad V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \quad J(V) \subset V, \quad J(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type III and $\left(\#\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}, \#\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)=(1,1,1)$. Then, $\left(\left(R_{\beta}^{1}\right)_{H},\left(R_{\beta}^{2}\right)_{H}\right.$, $\left.\left(R_{\beta}^{3}\right)_{H}\right)$ is one of the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
& \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
& \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), \\
& \left(\left\{\omega_{1}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{1}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{2}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{2}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{3}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{3}^{3}\right\}\right), \quad\left(\left\{\omega_{4}^{1}\right\},\left\{\omega_{4}^{2}\right\},\left\{\omega_{4}^{3}\right\}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.8, we see that there are no subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$ such that

$$
V \perp W, \quad V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)(V) \subset V, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta} .
$$

Summarizing results in this subsection, we obtain Theorem 3.30.
Theorem 3.30. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.
(i) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type I, then the immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section $I$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and such $K$-invariant sections correspond to each point of the 2 -dimensional sphere one-to-one. Moreover, $c_{I}=c_{I}^{\prime}$ and $c_{I}$ is independent of the choice of $I$. Also, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $Q R$ submanifold.
(ii) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type II, then the immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by the $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. Such $K$-invariant sections are unique up to the sign.
(iii) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type III, then $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ satisfies one of the following:
(iii-1) $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a one-point set or a quaternionic submanifold.
(iii-2) For any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$, there are subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V \perp W, V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}, J(V) \subset V$ and $J(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$.
(iii-3) For any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$, there are no subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V \perp W, V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}, J(V) \subset V$ and $J(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$.

### 3.7 Classification

In this subsection, we decide what each $K$-orbit become one of (i), (ii), (iii-1), (iii-2), (iii-3) in Theorem 3.30. Since rank $M=4, G$ is one of $G=S O(n)(n \geq 8), F_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$. In this subsection, we shall follow the notations of irreducible root systems in [6], that is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{n}=\left\{ \pm e_{p} ; 1 \leq p \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{ \pm e_{p} \pm e_{q} ; 1 \leq p<q \leq n\right\}, \\
& D_{n}=\left\{ \pm e_{p} \pm e_{q} ; 1 \leq p<q \leq n\right\}, \\
& F_{4}=\left\{ \pm e_{p} ; 1 \leq p \leq 4\right\} \cup\left\{ \pm e_{p} \pm e_{q} ; 1 \leq p<q \leq 4\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{4} a_{p} e_{p} ; a_{p}= \pm 1\right\}, \\
& E_{6}=\left\{ \pm e_{p} \pm e_{q} ; 1 \leq p<q \leq 5\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{8} a_{p} e_{p} ; a_{p}= \pm 1, \prod_{p=1}^{8} a_{p}=1, a_{6}=a_{7}=a_{8}\right\}, \\
& E_{7}=\left\{ \pm e_{p} \pm e_{q} ; 1 \leq p<q \leq 6\right\} \cup\left\{ \pm\left(e_{7}+e_{8}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{8} a_{p} e_{p} ; a_{p}= \pm 1, \prod_{p=1}^{8} a_{p}=1, a_{7}=a_{8}\right\}, \\
& E_{8}=\left\{ \pm e_{p} \pm e_{q} ; 1 \leq p<q \leq 8\right\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{8} a_{p} e_{p} ; a_{p}= \pm 1, \prod_{p=1}^{8} a_{p}=1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take some linear order in each type such that the highest root is $\beta=e_{1}+e_{2}$. Let $\alpha_{1}=$ $e_{1}+e_{3}, \alpha_{2}=e_{1}-e_{3}, \alpha_{3}=e_{2}+e_{4}, \alpha_{4}=e_{2}-e_{4}$. Then, $\alpha_{i} \in \Sigma_{1}$ and $\alpha_{i} \pm \alpha_{j} \notin \Sigma(1 \leq i \neq j \leq 4)$.

In the case of $G=S O(8), \Sigma$ is type $D_{4}$. Then, we see $\Sigma=\Sigma_{\beta}$ and $R= \pm i R_{\beta}$. Thus, $R$ is type $D_{4}$.

In the case of $G=S O(2 n)(n \geq 5)$, then $\Sigma$ is type $D_{n}$. Then, $\Sigma_{1}-\left(\Sigma_{\beta} \cap \Sigma_{1}\right)=$ $\left\{e_{1} \pm e_{m}, e_{2} \pm e_{m} ; 5 \leq m \leq n\right\}$. Thus, $R_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is $\left\{\lambda_{1} \pm \lambda_{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{\lambda_{3} \pm \lambda_{4}\right\}$ for each $H$-orbit $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Hence, $R= \pm i\left(R_{\beta} \cup R_{12}\right)$ and $R$ is type $B_{4}$.

In the case of $G=S O(2 n+1)(n \geq 4)$, then $\Sigma$ is type $B_{n}$. Then, $\Sigma_{1}-\left(\Sigma_{\beta} \cap \Sigma_{1}\right)=$ $\left\{e_{1} \pm e_{m}, e_{2} \pm e_{m} ; 5 \leq m \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Thus, $R_{\Sigma^{\prime}}$ is $\left\{\lambda_{1} \pm \lambda_{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{\lambda_{3} \pm \lambda_{4}\right\}$ for each $H$-orbit $\Sigma^{\prime}$. Thus, $R= \pm i\left(R_{\beta} \cup R_{12}\right)$ and $R$ is type $B_{4}$.

In the case of $G=F_{4}$, then $\Sigma$ is type $F_{4}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{1}-\left(\Sigma_{\beta} \cap \Sigma_{1}\right) & =\left\{e_{1} \pm e_{m}, e_{2} \pm e_{m} ; 5 \leq m \leq n\right\} \cup\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that for any $1 \leq i<j \leq 4$ there is some $H$-orbit $\Sigma^{\prime}$ such that $R_{\Sigma^{\prime}}=\left\{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j}\right\}$. Thus, $R= \pm i\left(R_{\beta} \cup \bigcup_{2 \leq i \leq 4} R_{1 i}\right)$ and $R$ is type $F_{4}$.

In the case of $G=E_{n}(n=6,7,8)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{1}-\left(\Sigma_{\beta} \cap \Sigma_{1}\right) \\
& =\left\{e_{1} \pm e_{m}, e_{2} \pm e_{m} ; 5 \leq m \leq n\right\} \\
& \quad \cup\left(\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)+\delta, \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{4}\right)+\delta, \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}\right)+\delta, \frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right)+\delta ; \delta \in \sum_{k=5}^{8} \mathbb{R} e_{m}\right\} \cap \Sigma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $R= \pm i\left(R_{\beta} \cup \bigcup_{2 \leq i \leq 4} R_{1 i}\right)$. Hence, $R$ is type $F_{4}$.
If $R= \pm i R_{\beta}$, we take some linear order such that $\omega_{1}=2 i \lambda_{2}, \omega_{2}=i\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right), \omega_{3}=$ $2 i \lambda_{3}, \omega_{4}=i \lambda_{4}$ are simple roots. Then, the highest root $\eta$ is $2 i \lambda_{4}$.

If $R= \pm i\left(R_{\beta} \cup R_{12}\right)$, we take some linear order such that $\omega_{1}=2 i \lambda_{1}, \omega_{2}=i\left(-\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right), \omega_{3}=2 i \lambda_{3}, \omega_{4}=i\left(-\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}\right)$ are simple roots. Then, the highest root $\eta$ is $2 i \lambda_{2}$.

If $R= \pm i\left(R_{\beta} \cup \bigcup_{2 \leq i \leq 4} R_{1 i}\right)$, we take some linear order such that $\omega_{1}=i\left(-\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}+\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{4}\right), \omega_{2}=2 i \lambda_{3}, \omega_{3}=i\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right), \omega_{4}=i\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)$ are simple roots. Then, the highest root $\eta$ is $2 i \lambda_{4}$.

Recall arguments of subsection 2.2. Each $K$-orbit intersects $\pi(\exp \bar{Q})$ at only one point and $\bar{Q}=\sqcup_{\Delta \subset \mathcal{F}, \Delta \cap F \neq \phi} Q_{\Delta}$. Moreover, for $H \in Q_{\Delta}$, it is true that $R_{H}^{+}$is independent of the choice of $H$ and only depend on $\Delta$. In Table 2,3,4, we summarize that each $K$-orbit through $\pi(\exp H)\left(H \in Q_{\Delta}\right)$ becomes one of (i),(ii),(iii-1),(iii-2),(iii-3) in Theorem 3.30 in each $G$. In the list, $\Delta$ implies a subset of $\mathcal{F}$. For example $(1,2,3)$ implies $\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$ and $(2, \eta)$ implies $\left\{\omega_{2}, \eta\right\}$. The "type" implies the type of the $K$-orbit through $\pi(\exp H)(H \in$ $\left.Q_{\Delta}\right)$, that is (i),(ii),(iii-1),(iii-2),(iii-3). The "dim" implies the dimension of $K$-orbit through $\pi(\exp H)\left(H \in Q_{\Delta}\right)$. If $H \in Q_{\Delta}$ is type (i), then "c" implies $c_{I}$ of the $C R$ immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and a $K$-invariant section $I$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. If $H \in Q_{\Delta}$ is type (ii), then "c" and " $c$ " implies $c_{I}$ and $c_{I}^{\prime}$ of the totally $C R$ immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and the $C R$ structure $I$ of $f_{H}$. If $H \in Q_{\Delta}$ is type (iii-2), then " $c$ " implies the dimension of $V$ in Theorem 3.30. If the $K$-orbit becomes a principal orbit, a polar, a pole, a quaternionic submanifold or the image of a totally complex immersion, then we specify this in "remark", where a pole is
a polar which is a one-point set [7]. In Table 2 of the case of $G=F_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$, set $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ as $m=1$ if $G=F_{4}, m=2$ if $G=E_{6}, m=4$ if $G=E_{7}, m=8$ if $G=E_{8}$. In Table 3 of the case of $G=S O(n)(n \geq 8)$, set $m=n-3$ if $n$ is odd and $m=n-4$ if $n$ is even.

| $\Delta$ | type | $\operatorname{dim}$ | $c$ | $c^{\prime}$ | remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,2)$ | (i) | $9 m+12$ | $6 m+8$ |  |  |
| $(1,2,3, \eta)$ | (i) | $11 m+12$ | $10 m+8$ |  |  |
| $(1,2,4, \eta)$ | (i) | $11 m+12$ | $10 m+8$ |  |  |
| $(1,2,3,4, \eta)$ | (i) | $12 m+12$ | $12 m+8$ |  | principal orbit |
| $(1)$ | (ii) | $6 m+8$ | $6 m+8$ | 0 | polar, $f_{H}$ is totally complex |
| $(1, \eta)$ | (ii) | $6 m+9$ | $6 m+8$ | 2 |  |
| $(1,2)$ | (ii) | $9 m+11$ | $6 m+8$ | $6 m+6$ |  |
| $(2, \eta)$ | (ii) | $9 m+11$ | $6 m+8$ | $6 m+6$ |  |
| $(1,4)$ | (ii) | $10 m+9$ | $10 m+8$ | $8 m+2$ |  |
| $(1,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | $10 m+10$ | $10 m+8$ | $8 m+4$ |  |
| $(1,2)$ | (ii) | $11 m+10$ | $10 m+8$ | $10 m+4$ |  |
| $(1,2,3)$ | (ii) | $11 m+11$ | $10 m+8$ | $10 m+6$ |  |
| $(1,2,4)$ | (ii) | $11 m+11$ | $10 m+8$ | $10 m+6$ |  |
| $(1,3, \eta)$ | (ii) | $11 m+11$ | $10 m+8$ | $10 m+6$ |  |
| $(2,3, \eta)$ | (ii) | $11 m+11$ | $10 m+8$ | $10 m+6$ |  |
| $(2,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | $11 m+11$ | $10 m+8$ | $10 m+6$ |  |
| $(1,3,4)$ | (ii) | $12 m+10$ | $12 m+8$ | $12 m+4$ |  |
| $(1,2,3,4)$ | (ii) | $12 m+11$ | $12 m+8$ | $12 m+6$ |  |
| $(1,3,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | $12 m+11$ | $12 m+8$ | $12 m+6$ |  |
| $(2,3,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | $12 m+11$ | $12 m+8$ | $12 m+6$ |  |
| $(4)$ | (iii-1) | $8 m$ |  |  |  |
| $(3)$ | (iii-2) | $11 m+6$ | $10 m+2$ |  |  |
| $(3,4)$ | (iii-2) | $12 m+6$ | $12 m+2$ |  |  |
| $(4, \eta)$ | (iii-2) | $12 m+6$ | $8 m+2$ |  |  |
| $(2)$ | (iii-3) | $9 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(2,3)$ | (iii-3) | $11 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(2,4)$ | (iii-3) | $11 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(3, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | $11 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(2,3,4)$ | (iii-3) | $12 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(3,4, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | $12 m+9$ |  |  |  |

Table 2: $K$-orbits in the case of $G=F_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}$

| $\Delta$ | type | $\operatorname{dim}$ | $c$ | $c^{\prime}$ | remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,2,3, \eta)$ | (i) | $3 m+12$ | $2 m+8$ |  |  |
| $(1,2,3,4, \eta)$ | (i) | $4 m+12$ | $4 m+8$ |  | principal orbit |
| $(2)$ | (ii) | $2 m+8$ | $2 m+8$ | 0 | polar, $f_{H}$ is totally complex |
| $(2, \eta)$ | (ii) | $2 m+9$ | $2 m+8$ | 2 |  |
| $(1,2)$ | (ii) | $2 m+9$ | $2 m+8$ | 2 |  |
| $(1,2, \eta)$ | (ii) | $2 m+10$ | $2 m+8$ | 4 |  |
| $(2,3)$ | (ii) | $3 m+10$ | $2 m+8$ | $2 m+4$ |  |
| $(1,2,3)$ | (ii) | $3 m+11$ | $2 m+8$ | $2 m+6$ |  |
| $(1,3, \eta)$ | (ii) | $3 m+11$ | $2 m+8$ | $2 m+6$ |  |
| $(2,3, \eta)$ | (ii) | $3 m+11$ | $2 m+8$ | $2 m+6$ |  |
| $(2,4)$ | (ii) | $4 m+9$ | $4 m+8$ | $4 m+2$ |  |
| $(1,2,4)$ | (ii) | $4 m+10$ | $4 m+8$ | $4 m+4$ |  |
| $(2,3,4)$ | (ii) | $4 m+10$ | $4 m+8$ | $4 m+4$ |  |
| $(2,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | $4 m+10$ | $4 m+8$ | $4 m+4$ |  |
| $(1,2,3,4)$ | (ii) | $4 m+11$ | $4 m+8$ | $4 m+6$ |  |
| $(1,2,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | $4 m+11$ | $4 m+8$ | $4 m+6$ |  |
| $(1,3,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | $4 m+11$ | $4 m+8$ | $4 m+6$ |  |
| $(2,3,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | $4 m+11$ | $4 m+8$ | $4 m+6$ |  |
| $(1)$ | (iii-1) | 0 |  |  |  |
| $(4)$ | (iii-1) | $4 m$ | $4 m$ |  |  |
| $(1, \eta)$ | (iii-2) | $m+6$ | 2 |  |  |
| $(3)$ | (iii-2) | $3 m+6$ | $2 m+2$ |  |  |
| $(1,4)$ | (iii-2) | $4 m+6$ | $4 m+2$ |  |  |
| $(3,4)$ | (iii-2) | $4 m+6$ | $4 m+2$ |  |  |
| $(4, \eta)$ | (iii-2) | $4 m+6$ | $4 m+2$ |  |  |
| $(1,3)$ | (iii-3) | $3 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(3, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | $3 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(1,3,4)$ | (iii-3) | $4 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(1,4, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | $4 m+9$ |  |  |  |
| $(3,4, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | $4 m+9$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: $K$-orbits in the case of $G=S O(n)(n \neq 8)$.

| $\Delta$ | type | dim | $c$ | $c^{\prime}$ | remark | $\Delta$ | type | dim | $c$ | $c^{\prime}$ | remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,2,3,4, \eta)$ | (i) | 12 | 8 |  | principal orbit | (1) | (iii-1) | 0 |  |  | pole |
| $(1,2,3, \eta)$ | (ii) | 11 | 8 | 6 |  | (3) | (iii-1) | 0 |  |  | pole |
| $(1,2,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | 11 | 8 | 6 |  | (4) | (iii-1) | 0 |  |  | pole |
| $(1,3,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | 11 | 8 | 6 |  | $(1,3)$ | (iii-2) | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| $(2,3,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | 11 | 8 | 6 |  | $(1,4)$ | (iii-2) | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| $(1,2,3,4)$ | (ii) | 11 | 8 | 6 |  | $(3,4, \eta)$ | (iii-2) | 9 |  |  |  |
| $(1,2,4)$ | (ii) | 10 | 8 | 4 |  | $(1, \eta)$ | (iii-2) | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| $(1,2,3)$ | (ii) | 10 | 8 | 4 |  | $(3, \eta)$ | (iii-2) | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| $(2,3,4)$ | (ii) | 10 | 8 | 4 |  | $(4, \eta)$ | (iii-2) | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| $(1,2, \eta)$ | (ii) | 10 | 8 | 4 |  | $(1,3,4)$ | (iii-3) | 9 |  |  |  |
| $(2,3, \eta)$ | (ii) | 10 | 8 | 4 |  | $(1,3, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | 9 |  |  |  |
| $(2,4, \eta)$ | (ii) | 10 | 8 | 4 |  | $(1,4, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | 9 |  |  |  |
| $(1,2)$ | (ii) | 9 | 8 | 2 |  | $(3,4, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | 9 |  |  |  |
| $(2,3)$ | (ii) | 9 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(2,4)$ | (ii) | 9 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(2, \eta)$ | (ii) | 9 | 8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) | (ii) | 8 | 8 | 0 | polar, $f_{H}$ is totally complex |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4: $K$-orbits in the case of $G=S O(8)$.

## 4 The case of $\operatorname{rank} M=2$

In this section, we consider the case of $\operatorname{rank} M=2$, that is $M$ is a complex Grassmann manifold $S U(n) / S(U(2) \times U(n-2))(n \geq 4)$ or the associative Grassmann manifold $G_{2} / S O(4)$. In the present paper, we only consider the complex Grassmann manifold. We cite [12] about the associative Grassmann manifold.

Let $E_{i j}$ be the $n \times n$ matrix whose $(i, j)$-component is 1 and the others are 0 . Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C})=\{X \in M(n, \mathbb{C}) ; \operatorname{tr} X=0\}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}=\left\{H=\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i} E_{i i} ; z_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{tr} H=0\right\}$. Set a complex conjugation $\tau$ such that $\tau(X)=-^{t} \bar{X}$. Then, $\mathfrak{g}=\{X \in \mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C}) ; \tau(X)=$ $X\}=\mathfrak{s u}(n)$ and $\mathfrak{h}=\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \mathfrak{g}=\left\{H=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(i x_{j}\right) E_{j j} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} ; x_{j} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. Let $G=S U(n)$. Define a linear form $\epsilon_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that $\epsilon_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j} E_{j j}\right)=z_{i}$. Then, $\Sigma=\left\{ \pm\left(\epsilon_{i}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\epsilon_{j}\right) ; 1 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}$. Set an invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (, ) such that $(X, Y)=\operatorname{tr}(X Y)(X, Y \in \mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C}))$. Then, $H_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=E_{i i}-E_{j j}$ and $A_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=H_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}$ for each $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Take some linear order on $i \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\beta=\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}$ is the highest root. Let $\Sigma^{+}$be the set of all positive roots. We see $\Sigma_{1}=\left\{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{k},-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{k} ; 3 \leq k \leq n\right\}, \Sigma_{0}=$ $\left\{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j} ; 3 \leq i<j \leq n\right\}$. Set a root vector $X_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=E_{i j}$ for each $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Let $Z_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}:=X_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}+\tau\left(X_{\epsilon_{j}-\epsilon_{i}}\right)=E_{i j}-E_{j i}$ and $W_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=i\left(X_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}-\tau\left(X_{\epsilon_{j}-\epsilon_{i}}\right)\right)=i\left(E_{i j}+E_{j i}\right)$ for
$1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Let $\theta=\exp \left(\operatorname{ad}\left(\pi i A_{\beta}\right)\right)$. Then, $\theta$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{m} & =\{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; \theta(X)=-X\}=\sum_{i=3}^{n}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{i}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{i}}+\mathbb{R} Z_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{2}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{2}}\right), \\
\mathfrak{k} & =\{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; \theta(X)=X\}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}+\sum_{3 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{k}=\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) \times \mathfrak{u}(n-2))$. Denote by the same symbol the involution of $G$ induced by $\theta$. Then, $K=\{g \in G ; \theta(g)=g\}=S(U(2) \times U(n-2))$.

Set $\alpha_{1}=\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}, \alpha_{2}=-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{4} \in \Sigma_{1}$. Then, $\mathfrak{a}=\mathbb{R} Z_{\alpha_{1}}+\mathbb{R} Z_{\alpha_{2}}$ is a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $A=\lambda_{1} Z_{\alpha_{1}}+\lambda_{2} Z_{\alpha_{2}}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$. We easily check that the followings are true.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}} \pm Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1} \mp \lambda_{2}\right)\left(Z_{\beta} \mp Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right), \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\beta} \mp Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right)=-\left(\lambda_{1} \mp \lambda_{2}\right)\left(Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}} \pm Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}\right), \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}} \pm W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1} \mp \lambda_{2}\right)\left(W_{\beta} \mp W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right), \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\beta} \mp W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}\right)=-\left(\lambda_{1} \mp \lambda_{2}\right)\left(W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}} \pm W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}\right), \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\alpha_{1}}\right)=2 \lambda_{1}\left(i A_{\alpha_{1}}\right), \quad \operatorname{ad} A\left(i A_{\alpha_{i}}\right)=\left(-2 \lambda_{1}\right) W_{\alpha_{1}}, \\
& \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\alpha_{2}}\right)=2 \lambda_{2}\left(i A_{\alpha_{2}}\right), \quad \operatorname{ad} A\left(i A_{\alpha_{2}}\right)=\left(-2 \lambda_{2}\right) W_{\alpha_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, for each $5 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(-Z_{\epsilon_{3}-\epsilon_{k}}\right), & \operatorname{ad} A\left(-Z_{\epsilon_{3}-\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{1}\right) Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{k}}, \\
\operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(-W_{\epsilon_{3}-\epsilon_{k}}\right), & \operatorname{ad} A\left(-W_{\epsilon_{3}-\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{1}\right) W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{k}}, \\
\operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\lambda_{2}\left(Z_{-\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{k}}\right), & \operatorname{ad} A\left(Z_{-\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{2}\right) Z_{-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{k}}, \\
\operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\lambda_{2}\left(W_{-\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{k}}\right), & \operatorname{ad} A\left(W_{-\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{k}}\right)=\left(-\lambda_{2}\right) W_{-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{k}} .
\end{array}
$$

Set elements of $\mathfrak{m}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}^{1}=Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}, & T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}^{2}=W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}+W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}, \\
T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}^{1}=Z_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}-Z_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}, & T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}^{2}=W_{\beta-\alpha_{1}}-W_{\beta-\alpha_{2}}, \quad T_{2 \lambda_{i}}=W_{\alpha_{i}}(i=1,2)
\end{array}
$$

and $T_{\lambda_{1}}^{k, 1}=Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{k}}, T_{\lambda_{1}}^{k, 2}=W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{k}}, T_{\lambda_{2}}^{k, 1}=Z_{-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{k}}, T_{\lambda_{2}}^{k, 2}=W_{-\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{k}}$ for each $5 \leq k \leq n$. Set elements of $\mathfrak{k}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}^{1}=Z_{\beta}-Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}, & S_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}^{2}=W_{\beta}-W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}, \\
S_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}^{1}=Z_{\beta}+Z_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}, & S_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}^{2}=W_{\beta}+W_{\beta-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)}, \quad S_{2 \lambda_{j}}=i A_{\alpha_{j}}(j=1,2)
\end{array}
$$

and $S_{\lambda_{1}}^{k, 1}=-Z_{\epsilon_{3}-\epsilon_{k}}, S_{\lambda_{1}}^{k, 2}=-W_{\epsilon_{3}-\epsilon_{k}}, S_{\lambda_{2}}^{k, 1}=Z_{-\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{k}}, S_{\lambda_{2}}^{k, 2}=W_{-\epsilon_{4}+\epsilon_{k}}$ for each $5 \leq k \leq n$. Let
$R_{\beta}=\left\{\lambda_{1} \pm \lambda_{2}, 2 \lambda_{1}, 2 \lambda_{2}\right\}$ and $R_{0}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}$. Then, for any $A \in \mathfrak{a}, \omega \in\left\{\lambda_{1} \pm \lambda_{2}\right\}$ and $\eta \in R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\operatorname{ad} A\left(T_{\omega}^{i}\right)=\omega(A) S_{\omega}^{i}, & \operatorname{ad} A\left(S_{\omega}^{i}\right)=-\omega(A) T_{\omega}^{i}, & \\
\operatorname{ad} A\left(T_{\eta}^{k, i}\right)=\eta(A) S_{\eta}^{k, i}, & \operatorname{ad} A\left(S_{\eta}^{k, i}\right)=-\eta(A) T_{\eta}^{k, i} & (i=1,2 \text { and } 5 \leq k \leq n), \\
\operatorname{ad} A\left(T_{2 \lambda_{j}}\right)=2 \lambda_{j}(A) S_{2 \lambda_{j}}, & \operatorname{ad} A\left(S_{2 \lambda_{j}}\right)=-2 \lambda_{j} T_{2 \lambda_{j}} & (j=1,2)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, the restricted root system $R$ is given by $\pm i\left(R_{\beta} \cup R_{0}\right)$. For each $\omega \in R_{\beta} \cup R_{0}$, we set $\mathfrak{m}_{\omega}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{m} ;(\operatorname{ad} A)^{2}(X)=-\omega(A)^{2} X(A \in \mathfrak{a})\right\}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{1}}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{2}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{k}=\mathbb{R} T_{\lambda_{1}}^{k, 1}+\mathbb{R} T_{\lambda_{1}}^{k, 2}+\mathbb{R} T_{\lambda_{2}}^{k, 1}+\mathbb{R} T_{\lambda_{2}}^{k, 2}$ for each $3 \leq k \leq n$. Then, $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}+\sum_{k=3}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{k}$. By direct computations, we see $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}\right) \subset \mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$ and $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{m}_{k}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{k}$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $5 \leq k \leq n$. Moreover, we obtain Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 4.1. Set subspaces $\mathfrak{m}_{-}$and $\mathfrak{m}_{+}$of $\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{\beta}$ as follows:
$\mathfrak{m}_{-}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}+\mathbb{R}\left(Z_{\alpha_{1}}-Z_{\alpha_{2}}\right)+\mathbb{R}\left(T_{2 \lambda_{1}}-T_{2 \lambda_{2}}\right), \mathfrak{m}_{+}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}+\mathbb{R}\left(Z_{\alpha_{1}}+Z_{\alpha_{2}}\right)+\mathbb{R}\left(T_{2 \lambda_{1}}+T_{2 \lambda_{2}}\right)$.
Then, $\operatorname{ads}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{-}\right) \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{-}\right)$and $\operatorname{ads}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{+}\right) \subset\left(\mathfrak{m}_{+}\right)$. The representation matrices of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{-}}$, $\left.\operatorname{ad} Z_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{-}},\left.\operatorname{ad} W_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{-}}$with respect to $T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}^{1}, T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}^{2}, Z_{\alpha_{1}}-Z_{\alpha_{2}}, T_{2 \lambda_{1}}-T_{2 \lambda_{2}}$ are

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll|l|l|l|l} 
& -1 & & \\
1 & & & \\
\hline & & & 1 & \\
& & & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll} 
& & \\
& & \\
\hline-1 & & \\
& 1 &
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{lll} 
& 1 & \\
\hline
\end{array}\right)
$$

where empty components are 0 . Also, the representation matrices of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{+}},\left.\operatorname{ad} Z_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{+}}$, $\left.\operatorname{ad} W_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{+}}$with respect to $T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}^{1}, T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}^{2}, Z_{\alpha_{1}}+Z_{\alpha_{2}}, T_{2 \lambda_{1}}+T_{2 \lambda_{2}}$ are


Lemma 4.2. For each $5 \leq k \leq n, \operatorname{ad} \mathfrak{s}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{k}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{k}$. Moreover, the representation matrices of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{k}},\left.\operatorname{ad} Z_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{k}},\left.\operatorname{ad} W_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{k}}$ with respect to $T_{\lambda_{1}}^{k, 1}, T_{\lambda_{1}}^{k, 2}, T_{\lambda_{2}}^{k, 1}, T_{\lambda_{2}}^{k, 2}$ are
where empty components are 0 .

For $H \in \mathfrak{a}$, we set $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{\omega \in R_{\beta} ; \omega(H) \in \pi \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. If $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfies $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\phi$ for each $\omega \in R_{\beta}$, we say that $H$ is type I. We easily see that if $H$ is type I, then $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type I. If $H$ satisfies $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H} \subset\left\{2 \lambda_{1}, 2 \lambda_{2}\right\}$, then we say that $H$ is type II. We easily see that if $H$ is type II, then $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type II. If $H$ is not type I and type II, then we say that $H$ is type III. We see that if $H$ is type III, then $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\mathfrak{s}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type III.

Let $H$ be type I. Then, $\lambda_{i}(H) \notin \pi \mathbb{Z}(i=1,2)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{-}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}+\mathbb{R}\left(T_{2 \lambda_{1}}-T_{2 \lambda_{2}}\right), \\
& T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{+}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}+\mathbb{R}\left(T_{2 \lambda_{1}}+T_{2 \lambda_{2}}\right), \\
& T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{k}=\mathfrak{m}_{k}(5 \leq k \leq n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $X \in \mathfrak{s}$, let $W_{X}=\operatorname{ad} X(\mathfrak{a})$ and $V_{X}$ be the orthogonal complement of $W_{X}$ in $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$. Then $V_{X}, W_{X}$ satisfy

$$
V_{X} \perp W_{X}, V_{X}+W_{X}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \operatorname{ad} X\left(V_{X}\right) \subset V_{X}, \operatorname{ad} X\left(W_{X}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Thus, the immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $Q R$ submanifold. Thus, we obtain Lemma 4.3 .

Lemma 4.3. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type I. Then, $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section $I$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and $K$-invariant sections correspond to each point of the 2-dimensional sphere. Moreover, $c_{I}=c_{I}^{\prime}$ and $c_{I}$ is independent of the choice of $I$. Also, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $Q R$ submanifold.

Let $H$ be type II. Then, $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)$ defines the $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. If $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{2 \lambda_{1}\right\}$, then $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{2}}$ and $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=$ $\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{1}}$. If $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{2 \lambda_{2}\right\}$, then $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{1}}$ and $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=$ $\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{2}}$. If $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{2 \lambda_{1}, 2 \lambda_{2}\right\}$, then $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}$ and $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{\beta}=$ $\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{1}}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{2}}$. By Lemma 4.1, $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{1}}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{2}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{1}}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{2}}$. Moreover, $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{j}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{j}}(j=1,2)$ by Lemma 4.2. Thus, there are subspaces $V_{A}$ and $W_{A}$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that

$$
V_{A} \perp W_{A}, V_{A}+W_{A}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \quad \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(V_{A}\right) \subset V_{A}, \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\left(W_{A}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Also, for any $X \in \mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}$ since $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{1}}+\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{2}}$ by Lemma 4.1 and $\operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{2}}$ by Lemma 4.2, we see that there are subspaces $V_{X}, W_{X}$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that

$$
V_{X} \perp W_{X}, \quad V_{X}+W_{X}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \quad \operatorname{ad} X\left(V_{X}\right) \subset V_{X}, \operatorname{ad} X\left(W_{X}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Thus, we obtain Lemma 4.4.
Lemma 4.4. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type II. Then, $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by the $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and such $K$-invariant sections are unique up to sign.

Let $H$ be type III. Since $\left(K_{H}\right)_{0}$ acts on $\mathfrak{s}$ as $S O(3)$, we only consider $\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)$. Then, $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right\}$ or $R_{\beta}$. In the case of $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right\}$, then $\lambda_{i}(H) \notin$ $\pi \mathbb{Z}(i=1,2)$. Thus, $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}=\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}+\sum_{a=1}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{2 \lambda_{a}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{a}}\right)$ and $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}=\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}$. Let $W_{A}=\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) \mathfrak{a}$ and $V_{A}$ be the orthogonal complement of $W_{A}$ in $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$. Then, $V_{A}$ and $W_{A}$ satisfy

$$
V_{A} \perp W_{A}, V_{A}+W_{A}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) V_{A} \subset V_{A}, \operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right) W_{A} \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

In the case of $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right\}$, we can prove that there are such subspaces by similar way. In the case of $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=R_{\beta}$, we see $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}=\{0\}$ or $\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{1}}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda_{2}}$. In the former case, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a one-point set. In the latter case, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a quaternionic submanifold. Summarizing these arguments, we obtain Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.5. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ be type III. Then, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type III. If $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right\}$, then for any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$ there are subspaces $V$ and $W$ such that

$$
V \perp W, V+W=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \quad J(V) \subset V, J(W) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}
$$

If $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=R_{\beta}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a one-point set or a quaternionic submanifold.
Summarizing Lemma 4.3, Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5, we obtain Theorem 4.6.

Theorem 4.6. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.
(i) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type I, $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section $I$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and $K$-invariant sections correspond to each point of the 2-dimensional sphere one-to-one. Moreover, $c_{I}=c_{I}^{\prime}$ and $c_{I}$ is independent of the choice of $I$. Also, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $Q R$ submanifold.
(ii) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type II, then the immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by a $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. Such $K$-invariant sections are unique up to the sign.
(iii) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type III, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ satisfies one of the following:
(iii-1) $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a one-point set or a quaternionic submanifold.
(iii-2) For any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$, there are subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V \perp W, . V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}, J(V) \subset V$ and $J(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$.

We summarize that each $K$-orbit becomes one of (i),(ii),(iii-1),(iii-2) as Section 3. Let $\omega_{1}=i\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right), \omega_{2}=i \lambda_{2}$. Then, $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}$ are simple roots with respect to some linear order of $i \mathfrak{a}$ and the highest root $\eta$ is $2 i \lambda_{1}$. Let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \eta\right\}$. As the table in Section 3, we make Table 5 in the following.

| $\Delta$ | type | $\operatorname{dim}$ | $c$ | $c^{\prime}$ | remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,2, \eta)$ | (i) | $4 n-10$ | $4 n-12$ |  | principal orbit |
| $(1)$ | (ii) | $2 n-4$ | $2 n-4$ | 0 | polar, $f_{H}$ is totally complex |
| $(1, \eta)$ | (ii) | $2 n-3$ | $2 n-4$ | 2 |  |
| $(1,2)$ | $($ ii $)$ | $4 n-3$ | $4 n-4$ | $4 n-6$ |  |
| $(2)$ | $($ iii-1 $)$ | $4 n-16$ | $4 n-16$ |  | pole $(n=4)$, polar and quaternionic $(n>4)$ |
| $(2, \eta)$ | $(i i i-2)$ | $4 n-12$ | $4 n-14$ |  |  |

Table 5: $K$-orbits in $G=S U(n)(n \geq 4)$

## 5 The case of $\operatorname{rank} M=3$

In this section, we consider the case of $\operatorname{rank} M=3$, that is $M$ is the oriented real Grassmann manifold as the set of all oriented 3-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$. In this case, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{s o}(7, \mathbb{C})=\left\{X \in M(7, \mathbb{C}) ;{ }^{t} X=-X\right\}$. Let $\tau: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} ; X \mapsto \bar{X}$ and $\mathfrak{g}=\{X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} ; \tau(X)=X\}=\mathfrak{s o}(7)$. Set $F_{i j}=E_{i j}-E_{j i}$ for each $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}=\left\{H=z_{1} F_{12}+z_{2} F_{34}+z_{3} F_{56} ; z_{i} \in \mathbb{C}\right\}$. Then, $\mathfrak{h}=\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \cap \mathfrak{g}=\left\{x_{1} F_{12}+x_{2} F_{34}+x_{3} F_{56} ; x_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ is a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\epsilon_{j}$ be the linear form of $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ such that $\epsilon_{j}\left(z_{1} F_{12}+z_{2} F_{34}+z_{3} F_{56}\right)=i z_{j}(1 \leq j \leq 3)$. The root system of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ is given by $\Sigma=\left\{ \pm \epsilon_{i} \pm \epsilon_{j}, \pm \epsilon_{k} ; 1 \leq i<j \leq 3,1 \leq k \leq 3\right\}$. Set an invariant nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (, ) of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $(X, Y)=\operatorname{tr}(X Y)$ for $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. For each $\gamma \in \Sigma$, we set the element $H_{\gamma}$ of the real part $\mathfrak{h}_{0}=i \mathfrak{h}$ by $\left(H_{\gamma}, H\right)=\gamma(H)$, that is $H_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=$
$-\frac{i}{2}\left(F_{2 i-1,2 i}-F_{2 j-1,2 j}\right), H_{\epsilon_{i}+\epsilon_{j}}=-\frac{i}{2}\left(F_{2 i-1,2 i}+F_{2 j-1,2 j}\right), H_{\epsilon_{i}}=-\frac{i}{2} F_{2 i-1,2 i}$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. Let $A_{\gamma}=\frac{2}{\left(H_{\gamma}, H_{\gamma}\right)} H_{\gamma}$, that is $A_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=-i\left(F_{2 i-1,2 i}-F_{2 j-1,2 j}\right), A_{\epsilon_{i}+\epsilon_{j}}=-i\left(F_{2 i-1,2 i}+F_{2 j-1,2 j}\right), A_{\epsilon_{i}}=$ $-2 i F_{2 i-1,2 i}$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq 3$. Take some linear order such that the highest root $\beta$ is $\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}$ and the set of all positive roots $\Sigma^{+}$is $\left\{\epsilon_{i} \pm \epsilon_{j}, \epsilon_{k} ; 1 \leq i<j \leq 3,1 \leq k \leq 3\right\}$. Then, $\Sigma_{1}=\left\{\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}, \epsilon_{1} \pm \epsilon_{3}, \epsilon_{2} \pm \epsilon_{3}\right\}$ and $\Sigma_{0}=\left\{ \pm\left(\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}\right), \pm \epsilon_{3}\right\}$. For $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq 3$, we set root vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=-\left(F_{2 i-1,2 j-1}+F_{2 i, 2 j}\right)+i\left(F_{2 i-1,2 j}-F_{2 i, 2 j-1}\right), \\
& X_{\epsilon_{i}+\epsilon_{j}}=\left(F_{2 i-1,2 j-1}-F_{2 i, 2 j}\right)+i\left(F_{2 i-1,2 j}+F_{2 i, 2 j-1}\right) \\
& X_{\epsilon_{k}}=F_{2 k-1,7}+i F_{2 k, 7} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For each $\gamma \in \Sigma^{+}$, set $Z_{\gamma}=\frac{1}{2}\left(X_{\gamma}+\tau\left(X_{\gamma}\right)\right)$ and $W_{\gamma}=\frac{i}{2}\left(X_{\gamma}-\tau\left(X_{\gamma}\right)\right)$, that is for $1 \leq i<j \leq 3$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=-F_{2 i-1,2 j-1}-F_{2 i, 2 j}, & Z_{\epsilon_{i}+\epsilon_{j}}=F_{2 i-1,2 j-1}-F_{2 i, 2 j} \\
W_{\epsilon_{i}-\epsilon_{j}}=-F_{2 i-1,2 j}+F_{2 i, 2 j-1}, & W_{\epsilon_{i}+\epsilon_{j}}=-F_{2 i-1,2 j}-F_{2 i, 2 j-1} \\
Z_{\epsilon_{k}}=F_{2 k-1,7}, & W_{\epsilon_{k}}=-F_{2 k, 7}
\end{array}
$$

Let $\theta=\exp \left(\pi i A_{\beta}\right)$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{k}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; \theta(X)=X\}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}+\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}+\mathbb{R} Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}}+\mathbb{R} Z_{\epsilon_{3}}+\mathbb{R} W_{\epsilon_{3}}, \\
& \mathfrak{m}=\{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; \theta(X)=-X\}=\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R} Z_{\gamma}+\mathbb{R} W_{\gamma}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $G=S O(7)$ and denote by the same symbol the involution of $G$ induced by $\theta$. Let $K$ be the identity component of $\{g \in G ; \theta(g)=g\}$, that is $K=S O(4) \times S O(3)$.

Let $U_{i}=F_{i, 4+i}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ and $\mathfrak{a}=\left\{A=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i} U_{i} ; \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$. Then, $\mathfrak{a}$ is a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{m}$. We set elements of $\mathfrak{m}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
T_{\lambda_{1}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}}-W_{\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}}\right)=F_{45}, & T_{\lambda_{2}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}}+Z_{\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}}\right)=F_{46}, \quad T_{\lambda_{3}}=-W_{\epsilon_{2}}=F_{47}, \\
T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}=W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}}=F_{25}-F_{16}, & T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}},-W_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{3}}=F_{25}+F_{16}, \\
T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-Z_{\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}}+Z_{\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}}\right)-Z_{\epsilon_{1}}=F_{35}-F_{17}, & T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-Z_{\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}}+Z_{\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}}\right)+Z_{\epsilon_{1}}=F_{35}+F_{17}, \\
T_{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-W_{\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}}-W_{\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}}\right)+W_{\epsilon_{1}}=F_{36}-F_{27}, & T_{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{\epsilon_{2}-\epsilon_{3}}+W_{\epsilon_{2}+\epsilon_{3}}\right)-W_{\epsilon_{1}}=F_{36}+F_{27},
\end{array}
$$

These vectors give a basis of the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{m}$. Moreover, we set a basis of $\mathfrak{k}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
S_{\lambda_{1}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}}+W_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}}\right)=-F_{14}, \quad S_{\lambda_{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}}+Z_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}}\right)=-F_{24}, \quad S_{\lambda_{3}}=-2 i H_{\epsilon_{2}}=-F_{34}, & S_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}=2 i H_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{3}}=F_{12}+F_{56}, \\
S_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}=-2 i H_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}}=-F_{12}+F_{56}, & S_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}}-Z_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}}\right)+Z_{\epsilon_{3}}=F_{13}+F_{57}, \\
S_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}}-Z_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}}\right)+Z_{\epsilon_{3}}=-F_{13}+F_{57}, & F_{\epsilon_{2}}, \\
S_{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}}-W_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}}\right)-W_{\epsilon_{3}}=-F_{23}+F_{67}, & S_{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(W_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{2}}-W_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}}\right)-W_{\epsilon_{3}}=F_{23}+F_{67} .
\end{array}
$$

We use the notations used in the previous two sections. Let $R_{\beta}=\left\{\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j} ; 1 \leq i<j \leq 3\right\}$. Then, for any $\omega \in R_{\beta}$ and $A \in \mathfrak{a}$,

$$
\operatorname{ad} A\left(T_{\omega}\right)=\omega(A) S_{\omega}, \quad \operatorname{ad} A\left(S_{\omega}\right)=-\omega(A) T_{\omega}
$$

and the restricted root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ with respect to $\mathfrak{a}$ is given by $\pm i R_{\beta}$. We set $P_{j}^{i} \in$ $\mathfrak{m}(1 \leq i \leq 3,1 \leq j \leq 4)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}}+Z_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{3}}\right)=F_{15}, & P_{2}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}-T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\right)=F_{25}, \\
P_{3}^{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}}+T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}\right)=F_{35}, & P_{4}^{1}=T_{\lambda_{1}}=F_{45}, \\
P_{1}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}+T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}\right)=F_{16}, & P_{2}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(Z_{\epsilon_{1}-\epsilon_{3}}+Z_{\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{3}}\right)=F_{26}, \\
P_{3}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}+T_{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}}\right)=F_{36}, & P_{4}^{2}=T_{\lambda_{2}}=P_{46}, \\
P_{1}^{3}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}}-T_{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}}\right)=F_{17}, & P_{2}^{3}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}-T_{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}}\right)=F_{27}, \\
P_{3}^{3}=Z_{\epsilon_{2}}=F_{37}, & P_{4}^{3}=T_{\lambda_{3}}=F_{47} .
\end{array}
$$

Remark that $P_{i}^{i} \in \mathfrak{a}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$. Let $\mathfrak{m}^{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{4} \mathbb{R} P_{j}^{i}(i=1,2,3)$. We obtain Lemma 5.1
Lemma 5.1. For any $X \in \mathfrak{s}, \operatorname{ad} X\left(\mathfrak{m}^{i}\right) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{i}(i=1,2,3)$. Moreover, for each $i=1,2,3$, the representation matrices of $\left.\operatorname{ad}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)\right|_{\mathfrak{m}^{i}}$ and $\left.\operatorname{ad} Z_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}^{i}}$ and $\left.\operatorname{ad} W_{\beta}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}^{i}}$ with respect to $P_{1}^{i}, \cdots, P_{4}^{i}$ are
where empty components are 0 .
Set subsets $R^{1}, R^{2}, R^{3} \subset R_{\beta}$ as follows: $R^{1}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \pm \lambda_{3}\right\}, R^{2}=\left\{\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1} \pm \lambda_{3}\right\}, R^{3}=$ $\left\{\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{1} \pm \lambda_{2}\right\}$. If $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfies $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\phi$, we say that $H$ is type I. If $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ satisfies $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H} \neq \phi$ and $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H} \subset R^{i}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we say that $H$ is type II. In the other cases, we say that $H$ is type III. Then, we see that $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $H$ have the same type because $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathbb{R} S_{\omega}\right)=\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}$ if and only if $\omega \in R^{1}$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathbb{R} S_{\omega}\right)=\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}$ if and only if $\omega \in R^{2}$ and $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathbb{R} S_{\omega}\right)=\mathbb{R}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)$ if and only if $\omega \in R^{3}$.

If $H$ is type I, then $T_{o} \mathcal{O}=\sum_{\omega \in R_{\beta}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$ and $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}=\mathfrak{a}$. By Lemma 5.1, we see that for each $X \in \mathfrak{s}$ there are subspaces $V_{X}, W_{X}$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V_{X} \perp W_{X}, V_{X}+W_{X}=$ $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \operatorname{ad} X\left(V_{X}\right) \subset V_{X}, \operatorname{ad} X\left(W_{X}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$. Thus, the immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and such $K$ invariant section corresponds to each point of the 2-dimensional sphere. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $Q R$ submanifold.

Let $H$ be type II. We may assume $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H} \subset R^{1}$. Then, $\pi_{\mathfrak{s}}\left(\mathfrak{k}_{H}\right)=\mathbb{R} W_{\beta}$. We see that there are subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V \perp W, V+W=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \operatorname{ad} W_{\beta}(V) \subset V, \operatorname{ad} W_{\beta}(W) \subset$ $\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$. Moreover, we see that for each $X \in \mathbb{R}\left(i A_{\beta}\right)+\mathbb{R} Z_{\beta}$ there are subspace $V_{X}, W_{X}$ of $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V_{X} \perp W_{X}, V_{X}+W_{X}=T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}, \operatorname{ad} X\left(V_{X}\right) \subset V_{X}, \operatorname{ad} X\left(W_{X}\right) \subset\left(T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$. Thus, $f_{H}$ is a totally $C R$ immersion by the $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. In particular, $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=R^{1}$ if and only if $f_{H}$ is a totally complex immersion.

Let $H$ be type III. By the definition, we see $\#\left(\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H} \cap\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}\right)=0,2,3$. If $\#\left(\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H} \cap\right.$ $\left.\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}\right)=3$, then obviously $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=R_{\beta}$. Then, $T_{o} \mathcal{O}_{H}=\{0\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a one-point set. If $\#\left(\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H} \cap\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}\right)=2$, then $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}$ is one of $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1} \pm \lambda_{2}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{2} \pm\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{3}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{1} \pm \lambda_{3}\right\}$. In this case, for any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$ there are subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V \perp W, V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}, J(V) \subset V, J(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$. If $\#\left(\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H} \cap\right.$ $\left.\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right\}\right)=0$, we see that $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}$ is one of $\left\{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j} ; 1 \leq i<j \leq 3\right\},\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right\},\left\{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right\}$. If $\left(R_{\beta}\right)_{H}=\left\{\lambda_{i} \pm \lambda_{j} ; 1 \leq i<j \leq 3\right\}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a totally real submanifold. In the other cases, then for any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$ there are no subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V \perp W, V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}, J(V) \subset V, J(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$.

Summarizing these arguments, we obtain Theorem 5.2.
Theorem 5.2. Let $H \in \mathfrak{a}$.
(i) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type I, then $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by each $K$-invariant section $I$ of $f_{H}^{*} Q$ and $K$-invariant sections correspond to each point of the 2-dimensional sphere one-to-one. Moreover, $c_{I}=c_{I}^{\prime}$ and $c_{I}$ is independent of the choice of $I$. Also, $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $Q R$ submanifold.
(ii) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type II, then the immersion $f_{H}: K /\left(K_{H}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a $K$-equivariant totally $C R$ immersion by the $K$-invariant section of $f_{H}^{*} Q$. Such $K$-invariant sections are unique up to the sign.
(iii) If $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is type III, then $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ satisfies one of the following:
(iii-1) $\mathcal{O}_{H}$ is a one-point set or a totally real submanifold.
(iii-2) For any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$, there are subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V \perp W, V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}, J(V) \subset V, J(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$.
(iii-3) For any $p \in \mathcal{O}_{H}$ and $J \in Q_{p}$, there are no subspaces $V, W$ of $T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}$ such that $V \perp W, V+W=T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}, J(V) \subset V, J(W) \subset\left(T_{p} \mathcal{O}_{H}\right)^{\perp}$.

We summarize what type (i), (ii), (iii-1), (iii-2), (iii-3) each $K$-orbit becomes as Section 3. Let $\omega_{1}=i\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right), \omega_{2}=i\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right), \omega_{3}=i \lambda_{3}$. Then, $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}$ are simple roots with
respect to some linear order of $i \mathfrak{a}$ and the highest root $\eta$ is $2 i \lambda_{1}$. Let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \eta\right\}$. As the table in Section 3, we make Table 6.

| $\Delta$ | type | $\operatorname{dim}$ | $c$ | $c^{\prime}$ | remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,2,3, \eta)$ | (i) | 9 | 6 |  | principal orbit |
| $(2)$ | (ii) | 6 | 6 | 0 | polar, $f_{H}$ is totally complex |
| $(1,2)$ | (ii) | 7 | 6 | 2 |  |
| $(2,3)$ | (ii) | 7 | 6 | 2 |  |
| $(2, \eta)$ | (ii) | 7 | 6 | 2 |  |
| $(1,2,3)$ | (ii) | 8 | 6 | 4 |  |
| $(1,2, \eta)$ | (ii) | 8 | 6 | 4 |  |
| $(1,3, \eta)$ | (ii) | 8 | 6 | 4 |  |
| $(2,3, \eta)$ | (ii) | 8 | 6 | 4 |  |
| $(1)$ | (iii-1) | 0 |  |  | pole |
| $(3)$ | (iii-1) | 3 | 0 |  |  |
| $(1, \eta)$ | (iii-2) | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| $(3, \eta)$ | (iii-3) | 6 |  |  |  |
| $(1,3)$ | (iii-3) | 6 |  |  |  |

Table 6: $K$-orbits in $G=S O(7)$
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