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#### Abstract

In this paper, we extend Zakeri's result in [27] on boundaries of bounded type Siegel disks of some entire functions to some transcendental meromorphic functions as follows: We consider a one parameter family of some transcendental meromorphic functions with one pole, two critical points, one finite asymptotic value zero, and bounded type fixed Siegel disks centered at the origin. We show that if two critical values coincide, then the boundary of the Siegel disk is a quasicircle containing exactly one critical point, and the set $\Omega_{1}$ of all parameters for which two critical values coincide is countably infinite. We also show that there exist uncountable sets $\Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega_{3}$ such that the boundary of the Siegel disk is a quasicircle containing exactly one critical point for any parameter in $\Omega_{2}$ and the boundary of the Siegel disk is a quasicircle containing exactly two critical points for any parameter in $\Omega_{3}$. Furthermore, we can construct $\Omega_{2}$ so that for uncountably many parameters in $\Omega_{2}$, the critical values which are the images of the critical points outside the boundaries of the Siegel disks are in the Siegel disks, on the boundaries of the Siegel disks, and outside the closures of the Siegel disks.


## 1. Introduction

Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a transcendental meromorphic function. The $n$th iteration $f^{n}(z)$ is defined for all points in $\mathbb{C}$ except for the countable set consisting of the preimages of $\infty$ by $f, f^{2}, \cdots, f^{n-1}$.

A point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ is called an irrationally indifferent p-periodic point if there exists a minimum integer $p$ such that $f^{p}\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$ and $\lambda:=\left(f^{p}\right)^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)=e^{2 \pi i \theta}(\theta \in \mathbb{R} \backslash \mathbb{Q})$. The $\lambda$ is called the multiplier of $z_{0}$. In addition, the point $z_{0}$ is called a fixed point if $p=1$. The point $z_{0}$ is called a Siegel point if there exist a maximal $f^{p}$-invariant domain $D \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and an analytic homeomorphism $\phi: D \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that $\phi\left(f^{p}\left(\phi^{-1}(z)\right)\right)=\lambda z$ and $\phi\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. Otherwise, $z_{0}$ is called a Cremer point. In the former case, the domain $D$ is simply connected and we call $D$ the Siegel disk of period $p$ centered at $z_{0}$. In addition, $D$ is called fixed if $p=1$. If $\theta$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\sum_{n} \frac{\log q_{n+1}}{q_{n}}<\infty
$$

where $p_{n} / q_{n}$ is the $n$th convergent of $\theta$ obtained from the continued fraction expansion, then $z_{0}$ is a Siegel point (see [8] and [22] or [21, p.132, Theorem 11.10]). An irrational number is called a Brjuno number if it satisfies the condition above. The set $\mathcal{B}$ of all Brjuno numbers is uncountable and dense in $\mathbb{R}$. An irrational number is called of bounded type if $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded, where $\left[a_{0} ; a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}, \ldots\right]$ is its continued fraction. An irrational number of bounded type is always a Brjuno number. Hence if $\theta$ is of bounded type, then $z_{0}$ is a Siegel point. In this case, we call $z_{0}$ (or the Siegel disk $D$ centered at $z_{0}$ ) bounded type.

Points $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f(c)$ are called a critical point and a critical value respectively if $f^{\prime}(c)=0$. A point $a \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called an asymptotic value if there exists a continuous curve $\gamma(t)(0 \leq t<1)$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \gamma(t)=\infty$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} f(\gamma(t))=a$. We call critical values, asymptotic values, and their accumulation points singular values.

Let $S$ be the set of all transcendental entire functions of the form

$$
P(z) \exp (Q(z)),
$$

[^0]where $P$ and $Q$ are polynomials. The set $S$ is a proper subset of the Speiser class consisting of all entire functions with finitely many singular values. Functions in $S$ are also called structurally finite in the sense of [25]. Zakeri studied boundaries of bounded type fixed Siegel disks centered at the origin for functions in $S$. His result is as follows:

Theorem ([27]). Let $f \in S$. If $f$ has a bounded type fixed Siegel disk centered at the origin, then the boundary of the Siegel disk is a quasicircle containing at least one critical point. ${ }^{1)}$

Let $\tilde{S}$ be the set of all transcendental meromorphic functions of the form

$$
R(z) \exp (Q(z))
$$

where $R(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are a rational map which has at least one pole and a polynomial respectively. Functions in $\tilde{S}$ and functions in $S$ share many important properties. For example, they have finitely many critical points, two asymptotic values 0 and $\infty$, and finitely many zeros. ${ }^{2)}$ Thus we can expect the result for functions in $\tilde{S}$ similar to that for functions in $S$. We ask the following question:
Question 1. Let $f \in \tilde{S}$. Suppose that $f$ has a bounded type fixed Siegel disk centered at the origin. Is the fixed Siegel disk bounded by a quasicircle containing at least one critical point?
We consider the easiest case as follows: Henceforth fix any irrational number $\theta$ of bounded type. Suppose that $f \in \tilde{S}$, the degrees of $R$ and $Q$ are 1 , and $f$ has a bounded type Siegel fixed point at the origin with multiplier $\lambda=e^{2 \pi i \theta}$. The function $f$ is conformally conjugate to

$$
g_{\alpha}(z):=e^{2 \pi i \theta} \frac{z}{1-\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} z} e^{\alpha z}
$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$ (see Proposition 3.1). The one parameter family $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}}$ has the following properties:
(1) $g_{\alpha}$ has two critical points 1 and

$$
c_{\alpha}:=\frac{-1}{\alpha+1}
$$

two asymptotic values 0 and $\infty$, and one pole

$$
t_{\alpha}:=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}
$$

(see Proposition 3.1).
(2) $g_{\alpha^{\prime}} \neq g_{\alpha}$ is conformally conjugate to $g_{\alpha}$ if and only if $\alpha^{\prime}=1 /(\alpha+1)-1$ (see Proposition 3.2).

Main Theorem. Let $\triangle_{\alpha}$ be the bounded type fixed Siegel disk of $g_{\alpha}$ centered at the origin. Then:
(i) If two critical values $g_{\alpha}(1)$ and $g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right)$ coincide, then $\triangle_{\alpha}$ is bounded by a quasicircle containing exactly one critical point. Moreover, the set $\Omega_{1}:=\left\{\alpha \mid g_{\alpha}(1)=g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ is countably infinite.

[^1](ii) There exists an uncountable set $\Omega_{2}$ such that if $\alpha \in \Omega_{2}$, then $\triangle_{\alpha}$ is bounded by a quasicircle containing exactly one critical point. Moreover, the quasicircle constant can be taken so that it is independent of $\alpha \in \Omega_{2}$.
(iii) There exists an uncountable set $\Omega_{3}$ such that if $\alpha \in \Omega_{3}$, then $\triangle_{\alpha}$ is bounded by a quasicircle containing exactly two critical points. Moreover, the quasicircle constant can be taken so that it is independent of $\alpha \in \Omega_{3}$.
(iv) We can construct $\Omega_{2}$ so that it is connected and it consists of three uncountable sets $\Omega_{2,1}$, $\Omega_{2,2}$, and $\Omega_{2,3}$ such that:
(a) If $\alpha \in \Omega_{2, j}(j=1,2,3)$, then $v(\alpha) \in \triangle_{\alpha}, v(\alpha) \in \partial \triangle_{\alpha}$, and $v(\alpha) \notin \overline{\triangle_{\alpha}}$ respectively, where $v(\alpha)$ is the critical value of $g_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Omega_{2}$ which is the image of the critical point outside the boundary $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}$.
(b)
$$
\Omega_{2,2} \subset \partial \Omega_{2,1} \cap \partial \Omega_{2,3}, \quad \Omega_{3} \subset \partial \Omega_{2,3}
$$

Remark 1.1. We give two constructions of $\Omega_{2}$ in Section 5 and Section 7. The second construction of $\Omega_{2}$ will show the Main Theorem (iv) (see Section 7).
Keen and Zhang studied the one parameter family

$$
\left\{\tilde{g}_{\alpha}(z):=\left(e^{2 \pi i \theta} z+\alpha z^{2}\right) e^{z}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}},
$$

where $\theta$ is of bounded type (see [17]). Like $g_{\alpha}, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}$ has two critical points, two asymptotic values 0 and $\infty$, and a bounded type fixed Siegel disk $\tilde{\triangle}_{\alpha}$ centered at the origin. They showed that for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, \tilde{\triangle}_{\alpha}$ is bounded by a quasicircle containing critical points and that for $\alpha$ in some uncountable set, the boundary $\partial \tilde{\triangle}_{\alpha}$ contains exactly two critical points. However, they did not provide the information on the position of the critical values of $\tilde{g}_{\alpha}$ as in the Main Theorem (iv). It is natural to expect that Keen and Zhang's proof is applicable to our case and we obtain the result on the Siegel disk $\triangle_{\alpha}$ of $g_{\alpha}$ as in [17]. Unfortunately, since $g_{\alpha}$ has one pole $t_{\alpha}$, we cannot use their method as in [17] (and cannot use the method as in [27]). In particular, we have the difficulty of making the number of critical points in the boundaries $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}$ exactly one or two. Hence in order to show the Main Theorem, we have to modify Keen and Zhang's argument. We use the result of [9] in order to prove the Main Theorem (i). The proofs of the Main Theorem (ii), (iii), and (iv) are inspired by quasiconformal surgery methods of [9], [17], and [26]. We modify some meromorphic functions $f_{\beta}$ (defined in Section 5) into $g_{\alpha}$ with the bounded type fixed Siegel disks $\triangle_{\alpha}$ bounded by quasicircles containing critical points. The advantage of our surgery technique is that we obtain such $g_{\alpha}$ for uncountably many parameters $\alpha$ and that we control the number of critical points in the boundaries $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}$ and the position of critical values as in the Main Theorem (iv). This is done by choosing $f_{\beta}$ carefully.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we introduce basic definitions and facts. We characterize the family $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}}$ in Section 3. In Section 4, Section 5 and Section 6, we prove the Main Theorem (i), (ii), and (iii) respectively. In Section 7, we give another construction of $\Omega_{2}$ and show the Main Theorem (iv). We devote Section 8 to some concluding remarks.

## 2. Preliminaries

We introduce preliminary definitions and results.

Definition 2.1 (Quasiregular mappings). Let $U$ be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$. A continuous mapping $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a $K$-quasiregular mapping if $\varphi$ is locally $K$-quasiconformal except at a discrete set of points in $U$ for some $K \geq 1$. The constant $K$ is called a quasiregular constant. ${ }^{3)}$
Note that quasiconformal mappings or quasiregular mappings between Riemann surfaces are defined by their local coordinates.
Definition 2.2 (Quasicircles). A Jordan curve $\gamma \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is called a $K$-quasicircle if there exists a $K$ quasiconformal mapping $\phi: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\gamma=\phi\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$, where $\mathbb{S}^{1}:=\{z| | z \mid=1\}$. This $K$ is called a quasicircle constant of $\gamma$. We call $\gamma$ a quasicircle if it is a $K$-quasicircle for some $K \geq 1$.
We can tell whether a Jordan curve is a quasicircle or not by the following lemma:
Lemma 2.3 ([1], [12, p.23, Theorem 2.2.5]). Let $\gamma \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a Jordan curve and let $\operatorname{Diam}(X)$ be the Euclidean diameter of a set $X \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then $\gamma$ is a $K$-quasicircle for some $K \geq 1$ if and only if there exists a constant $A \geq 1$ such that for every pair of two distinct points $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \gamma \backslash\{\infty\}$,

$$
\min _{j=1,2} \operatorname{Diam}\left(\gamma_{j}\right) \leq A\left|z_{1}-z_{2}\right|
$$

where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are the components of $\gamma \backslash\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}\right\}$. Moreover, $K$ and $A$ depend only on each other. We prepare the following lemma:
Lemma 2.4 ([27, p.488, Lemma 2.2]). Let $\gamma \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a $K$-quasicircle, let $U$ be a component of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \gamma$, and let $g: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow U$ be a conformal mapping. Then $g$ extends to a $K^{2}$-quasiconformal mapping of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

## 3. Characterization of the family $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}}$

In this section, we characterize the one parameter family $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}}$ defined in the introduction by the following propositions:
Proposition 3.1. Let $f \in \tilde{S}$ have the following properties:
(a) $f$ can be written by

$$
f(z)=\frac{a z+b}{c z+d} e^{t z}
$$

where ad -bc, $c$, and $t$ are non-zero;
(b) $f$ has a bounded type Siegel fixed point at the origin with multiplier $\lambda=e^{2 \pi i \theta}$.

Then $f$ is conformally conjugate to

$$
g_{\alpha}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta} \frac{z}{1-\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} z} e^{\alpha z}
$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$. Moreover, $g_{\alpha}$ has two critical points 1 and $c_{\alpha}=-1 /(\alpha+1)$, two asymptotic values 0 and $\infty$, and one pole $t_{\alpha}=\alpha /(\alpha+1)$.
Proof. Since $f$ has a fixed point at the origin, we have $b=0$, and hence $a d \neq 0$. In addition, it follows from the assumption (b) that $f^{\prime}(0)=a / d=e^{2 \pi i \theta}$. Set

$$
s:=-c / d \neq 0
$$

Then we can write

$$
f(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta} \frac{z}{1-s z} e^{t z}
$$

[^2]An easy calculation shows that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta+t z} \frac{-s t z^{2}+t z+1}{(1-s z)^{2}}
$$

Hence $f$ has two non-zero critical points $u$ and $v$ which are roots of $-s t z^{2}+t z+1=0$. Let

$$
L(z):=u z
$$

It follows that $L^{-1} \circ f \circ L$ has two critical points 1 and $v / u$. Moreover, we obtain

$$
\tilde{f}(z):=L^{-1} \circ f \circ L(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta} \frac{z}{1-\tilde{s} z} e^{\tilde{t} z}
$$

where $\tilde{s}=s u \neq 0$ and $\tilde{t}=t u \neq 0$. Since $\tilde{f}^{\prime}(1)=0$, we have

$$
-\tilde{s} \tilde{t} \cdot 1^{2}+\tilde{t} \cdot 1+1=0
$$

and hence $\tilde{s}=(\tilde{t}+1) / \tilde{t}$. It follows from this, $\tilde{s} \neq 0$, and $\tilde{t} \neq 0$ that $\tilde{t} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$, and hence $\tilde{f}(z)=g_{\alpha}(z)$, where $\alpha=\tilde{t}$. By the construction, $g_{\alpha}$ has two critical points 1 and $c_{\alpha}$, and one pole $t_{\alpha}$. Since the map $z \mapsto e^{\alpha z}$ has two asymptotic values 0 and $\infty$, and

$$
e^{2 \pi i \theta} \frac{z}{1-\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} z} \rightarrow-e^{2 \pi i \theta} \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} \quad(z \rightarrow \infty),
$$

$g_{\alpha}$ has two asymptotic values 0 and $\infty$.
Proposition 3.2. Let $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ be two distinct points in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$. Then $g_{\alpha}$ and $g_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ are conformally conjugate if and only if $\alpha^{\prime}=1 /(\alpha+1)-1$.
Proof. Suppose that $\alpha^{\prime}=1 /(\alpha+1)-1$ and

$$
l(z):=-(\alpha+1) z
$$

An easy calculation shows that $l^{-1} \circ g_{\alpha^{\prime}} \circ l=g_{\alpha}$.
Suppose that there exists a conformal map $\tilde{l}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\tilde{l}^{-1} \circ g_{\alpha^{\prime}} \circ \tilde{l}=g_{\alpha}$. Since both $g_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and $g_{\alpha}$ have an essential singularity at $\infty$ and only two asymptotic values 0 and $\infty, \tilde{l}$ fixes 0 and $\infty$. It follows that $\tilde{l}(z)=k z$ for some $k \neq 0$. Moreover, since $\tilde{l}(1)=k$ is a critical point of $g_{\alpha^{\prime}}$, we have $k=1$ or $k=-1 /\left(\alpha^{\prime}+1\right)$. Since $g_{\alpha^{\prime}} \neq g_{\alpha}$, we have $k \neq 1$, and hence $k=-1 /\left(\alpha^{\prime}+1\right)$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \neq-2$. Since $g_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ has another critical point $\tilde{l}(-1 /(\alpha+1))=1 /\left\{\left(\alpha^{\prime}+1\right)(\alpha+1)\right\}=1$, we obtain $\alpha^{\prime}=1 /(\alpha+1)-1$.

## 4. Proof of the Main Theorem (i)

We use the following result of [9] to prove the Main Theorem (i):
Lemma 4.1 ([9, p.2140, Theorem 1.5.]). Let $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be an open set and let a meromorphic function $f: U \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ have the following properties:
(a) The set of all singular values of $f$ is contained in $\{a, b, c\}$ for some $a, b, c \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$;
(b) $a \in U$ and $a$ is a bounded type Siegel fixed point;
(c) $c \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash U$ or $f(c)=c$.

Moreover, let $\gamma^{\prime}$ be an injective path which goes from a to $b$ while avoiding $\{a, b, c\}$ in between and let $\gamma$ be the lift of $\gamma^{\prime}$ by $f$ which has an endpoint $a$. (Note that $f(\gamma) \subset \gamma^{\prime}$.) Then one and only one of the following three cases occurs:
(1) $\gamma$ ends on a non-critical point in $U$. In addition, $U=\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and $f$ is a Möbius transformation.
(2) $\gamma$ ends on a critical point. (We call the critical point the main critical point.) In addition, the Siegel disk $\triangle$ centered at a is bounded by a quasicircle which contains the main critical point and does not contain other critical points.
(3) $\gamma$ leaves every compact subset of $U$. In addition, $\triangle$ does not compactly contained in $U$.

Proof of the Main Theorem (i). By the assumption, $g_{\alpha}$ has exactly one critical value $g_{\alpha}(1)=g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right)$ and two asymptotic value 0 and $\infty$. Hence we can apply Lemma 4.1 to $g_{\alpha}$ by putting $U=\mathbb{C}$, $f=g_{\alpha}, a=0, b=g_{\alpha}(1)$, and $c=\infty$. Since $g_{\alpha}$ is transcendental, either of the cases (2) and (3) holds. Since $b=g_{\alpha}(1)$ is not an asymptotic value, the case (3) does not occur. Therefore, the case (2) occurs.

Next, we show the existence of $\Omega_{1}$. Put $g_{\alpha}(1)=g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right)$. Then it follows that

$$
F(\alpha):=\frac{1}{(\alpha+1)^{2}} e^{-\alpha /(\alpha+1)}-e^{\alpha}=0
$$

$F(\alpha)$ has an essential singularity at $\alpha=-1$ and does not have an asymptotic value 0 at $\alpha=-1$. By Picard's theorem and Iversen's theorem, the set $\Omega_{1}:=\left\{\alpha \mid g_{\alpha}(1)=g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right)\right\}$ is countably infinite (see [16] or [10, p.8, Theorem 1.6] for Iversen's theorem).

Remark 4.2. Two critical points 1 and $c_{\alpha}=-1 /(\alpha+1)$ of $g_{\alpha}$ coincides only when $\alpha=-2$. By the Main Theorem (i), $\triangle_{-2}$ is bounded by a quasicircle containing the critical point 1 of $g_{-2}$.

## 5. Proof of the Main Theorem (ii)

For $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$, we define

$$
f_{\beta}(z):= \begin{cases}\frac{z}{1-(\beta+1) z / \beta} e^{\beta z} & (\beta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}) \\ z e^{-z} & (\beta=-1)\end{cases}
$$

Note that if $\beta \rightarrow-1$, then $f_{\beta} \rightarrow f_{-1}$ locally uniformly. By the argument in Section 3 , when $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}, f_{\beta}$ has two critical points 1 and $c_{\beta}=-1 /(\beta+1)$, two asymptotic values 0 and $\infty$, and one pole $t_{\beta}=\beta /(\beta+1)$. We have $c_{\beta}, t_{\beta} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\beta \rightarrow-1$. For any $r>0$, we define

$$
B_{r}:=(-1,-1+r] .
$$

Henceforth we restrict $\beta$ to $B_{r}$ (or $\overline{B_{r}}=B_{r} \cup\{-1\}$ ). We prove the Main Theorem (ii) by going through the following three steps:

Step 1. By choosing a small enough $r>0$ and using $f_{\beta}$, we construct an $M$-quasiregular mapping $F_{\beta}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ for every $\beta \in B_{r}$ with the following properties:
(1) $F_{\beta}(0)=0, F_{\beta}(\mathbb{D})=(\mathbb{D})$, and $\left.F_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}$ is a critical circle map;
(2) $F_{\beta}$ and

$$
R_{\theta}(z):=e^{2 \pi i \theta} z
$$

are quasiconformally conjugate on $\mathbb{D}$;
(3) $F_{\beta}$ depends continuously on $\beta \in B_{r}$;
(4) The constant $M$ is independent of $\beta \in B_{r}$.

Step 2. We show that there exists an $M_{1}$-quasiconformal mapping $\varphi_{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ which fixes 0,1 , and $\infty$, and has the following properties:
(1) For some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$,

$$
G_{\beta}(z):=\varphi_{\beta} \circ F_{\beta} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta} \frac{z}{1-\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} z} e^{\alpha z}=g_{\alpha},
$$

where $g_{\alpha}$ is as in the introduction.
(2) $g_{\alpha}\left(=G_{\beta}\right)$ has the Siegel disk $\triangle_{\alpha}$ centered at the origin whose boundary $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}$ is an $M_{1^{-}}$ quasicircle containing exactly one critical point 1 ;
(3) The constant $M_{1}$ is independent of $\beta \in B_{r}$.

Step 3. From Step 2, we define the surgery map

$$
\mathcal{S}: B_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}, \quad \beta \mapsto \alpha,
$$

where $G_{\beta}=g_{\alpha}$. We show that the surgery map $\mathcal{S}$ is continuous and $\mathcal{S}(\beta) \rightarrow-1$ as $\beta \rightarrow-1$. Since the set $\mathcal{S}\left(B_{r}\right)$ is uncountable, and $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}$ is an $M_{1}$-quasicircle containing exactly one critical point 1 for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}\left(B_{r}\right)$, we obtain the Main Theorem (ii) by taking

$$
\Omega_{2}:=\mathcal{S}\left(B_{r}\right)
$$

We prepare the following lemmas for the steps above:
Lemma 5.1. Let $\beta \in \overline{B_{r}}$, let

$$
D_{\beta}:=\left\{z| | z\left|<\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|\right\},\right.
$$

and let $U_{\beta}$ be the connected component of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ which contains the origin. (Note that $f_{\beta}(0)=0$.) If $r>0$ is small enough, then $\left.f_{\beta}\right|_{U_{\beta}}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent and $U_{\beta}$ is simply connected. Moreover, $U_{\beta}$ has the following properties:
(1) $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a piecewise smooth Jordan curve containing exactly one critical point 1:
(2) $U_{\beta} \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\beta \in B_{r}$. $f_{\beta}$ has two critical values $f_{\beta}(1)$ and $f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$. We have

$$
f_{\beta}(1)=-\beta e^{\beta}, \quad f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)=-\frac{\beta}{(1+\beta)^{2}} e^{-\beta /(1+\beta)}
$$

Since $f_{\beta}(1) \rightarrow e^{-1}$ and $f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\beta \rightarrow-1$, we have $f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right) \notin D_{\beta}$ for $r>0$ small enough. By [9, p.2155, Lemma 5.3], $\left.f_{\beta}\right|_{U_{\beta}}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent and $U_{\beta}$ is simply connected. Obviously, $\partial D_{\beta}$ does not contain the asymptotic values 0 and $\infty$ of $f_{\beta}$. It follows from this that $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a Jordan curve (see [9, p.2155, Lemma 5.4]). Since $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a preimage of $\partial D_{\beta}$ by $f_{\beta}, \partial U_{\beta}$ is piecewise smooth. By the construction, we have $f_{\beta}([0,1)) \in \mathbb{R}, f_{\beta}^{\prime}(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in[0,1), f_{\beta}(1)>0$, and $f_{\beta}(0)=0$. It follows that $f_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)>0$ for any $z \in[0,1)$, and hence $[0,1) \subset U_{\beta}$. This implies that $\partial U_{\beta}$ contains the critical point 1 . An easy calculation shows that $\left|f_{\beta}(z)\right|>f_{\beta}(1)$ for any $z \in \mathbb{S}^{1} \backslash\{1\}$, and hence $U_{\beta} \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. By the construction, another critical point $c_{\beta}$ is not in $\partial U_{\beta}$ for $r>0$ small enough.

Similarly, we can show the case $\beta=-1$. We omit the details.
Lemma 5.2. If $r>0$ is small enough, then there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasicircle for all $\beta \in B_{r}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [17, p.142, Lemma 2.4]. We have to pay attention to the existence of the pole $t_{\beta}$ of $f_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in B_{r}$ and modify the argument.

Suppose that $r>0$ is small enough so that the statement of Lemma 5.1 holds. We take two distinct points $x$ and $y$ in $\partial U_{\beta}$ so that they divide $\partial U_{\beta}$ into two Jordan $\operatorname{arcs} I$ and $I^{\prime}$. (We mean that $I \cup I^{\prime}=\partial U_{\beta}$ and $I \cap I^{\prime}=\{x, y\}$.) For any piecewise smooth arc segment $J$, let $|J|$ be
the Euclidean length of $J$. We can assume that $\left|f_{\beta}(I)\right| \leq\left|f_{\beta}\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right|$ without loss of generality. Let $\operatorname{Diam}(X)$ be as in Lemma 2.3. By Lemma 2.3, we have only to show that there exists a constant $A>0$ independent of $\beta \in B_{r}, x$, and $y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\beta, x, y):=\frac{\operatorname{Diam}(I)}{|x-y|}<A \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f_{\beta}(I) \subset \partial D_{\beta}$ and $\partial D_{\beta}=\left\{z| | z \mid=f_{\beta}(1)\right\}$ is a circle, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\beta}(I)\right| \leq(\pi / 2)\left|f_{\beta}(x)-f_{\beta}(y)\right| \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Henceforth let $L$ be the closed straight line segment joining $x$ and $y$. It follows from (5.2) and $\left|f_{\beta}(x)-f_{\beta}(y)\right| \leq\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right|$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\beta}(I)\right| \leq(\pi / 2)\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right| \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 5.1, we have $L \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. In addition, recall that $f_{\beta}$ has the pole $t_{\beta}$ with $t_{\beta} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\beta \rightarrow-1$. Thus if $r>0$ is small enough, then $t_{\beta} \notin \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and hence $t_{\beta} \notin L$. Therefore, there exists a $q \in L$ such that $\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|=\max _{z \in L}\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)\right|>0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right| \leq\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right||L| \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of a diameter, there exist points $b_{1}, b_{2} \in I$ such that $\left|b_{1}-b_{2}\right|=\operatorname{Diam}(I)$. Moreover, there also exists a $j=1$ or 2 such that:

$$
1 \notin\left\{z\left|\left|z-b_{j}\right| \leq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 5\right\}\right.
$$

Let $\tilde{I}$ be the connected component of

$$
\left\{z\left|\left|z-b_{j}\right| \leq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10\right\} \cap I\right.
$$

which contains $b_{j}$. By definition, it follows that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\tilde{I}| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10  \tag{5.5}\\
|z-1| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10 \quad \text { for any } z \in \tilde{I} \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\tilde{I}$ does not contain critical points 1 and $c_{\beta}$ of $f_{\beta}$, there exists a $p \in \tilde{I}$ such that $\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|=$ $\min _{z \in \tilde{I}}\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)\right|>0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\beta}(\tilde{I})\right| \geq\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right||\tilde{I}| \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.4), (5.5), (5.7), the definition of $Q(\beta, x, y)$, and $\tilde{I} \subset I$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|} & \geq \frac{\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right|}{|L|} \cdot \frac{|\tilde{I}|}{\left|f_{\beta}(\tilde{I})\right|} \\
& =\frac{\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}(\tilde{I})\right|} \cdot \frac{|\tilde{I}|}{\operatorname{Diam}(I)} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{Diam}(I)}{|L|} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{10} \frac{\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}(I)\right|} \cdot Q(\beta, x, y) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (5.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|f_{\beta}(I)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}(L)\right|} \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities (5.8) and (5.9) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\beta, x, y) \leq 5 \pi \frac{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

An easy calculation shows that

$$
f_{\beta}^{\prime}(z)=-\beta^{2} \frac{(z-1)(z+1 /(\beta+1))}{(\beta+1)(z-\beta /(\beta+1))^{2}} e^{\beta z}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|}=\frac{|p-\beta /(\beta+1)|^{2}}{|q-\beta /(\beta+1)|^{2}} \cdot \frac{|q-1|}{|p-1|} \cdot \frac{|q+1 /(\beta+1)|}{|p+1 /(\beta+1)|} \cdot\left|e^{\beta(q-p)}\right| . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $L \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\tilde{I} \subset I \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, we have $|p| \leq 1$ and $|q| \leq 1$. Thus we obtain for every $\beta \in B_{r}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{\beta(q-p)}\right|<e^{2(1+r)} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, when $r>0$ is small enough, it follows that for every $\beta \in B_{r}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{|p-\beta /(\beta+1)|^{2}}{|q-\beta /(\beta+1)|^{2}}<2  \tag{5.13}\\
& \frac{|q+1 /(\beta+1)|}{|p+1 /(\beta+1)|}<2 \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

(This is because the left-hand sides of (5.13) and (5.14) converge to 1 as $\beta \rightarrow-1$.) From the triangle inequality, $q \in L$, and the definition of a diameter, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
|q-1| & \leq|q-p|+|p-1| \\
& \leq|q-x|+|x-p|+|p-1| \\
& \leq|x-y|+|x-p|+|p-1| \\
& \leq 2 \operatorname{Diam}(I)+|p-1| . \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequalities (5.6) and (5.15) show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{|q-1|}{|p-1|} & \leq \frac{2 \operatorname{Diam}(I)+|p-1|}{|p-1|} \\
& =\frac{2 \operatorname{Diam}(I)}{|p-1|}+1 \\
& \leq \frac{2 \operatorname{Diam}(I)}{\operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10}+1 \\
& =21 . \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (5.10)-(5.16) that if $r>0$ is small enough, then for any $\beta \in B_{r}$ and any pair of $x$ and $y$ in $U_{\beta}$,

$$
Q(\beta, x, y)<420 \pi e^{4}=: A
$$

as required.
Henceforth we suppose that $r>0$ is small enough so that the statements of Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 hold.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_{r}$ be a sequence with $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta_{\infty} \in \overline{B_{r}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\partial U_{\beta_{n}} \rightarrow \partial U_{\beta_{\infty}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to the Hausdorff metric.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a subsequence $\left\{\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a $\delta>0$ such that the Hausdorff metric between $\partial U_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}$ and $\partial U_{\beta_{\infty}}$ is greater than $\delta$ for any $n \geq 1$. By the Riemann mapping theorem and Carathéodory's theorem, we can take a homeomorphism $\tilde{\omega}_{\beta_{n}}: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \bar{U}_{\beta_{n}}$ which is conformal in $\mathbb{D}$, and fixes 0 and 1 . By Lemma 2.4, we can extend $\tilde{\omega}_{\beta_{n}}$ into a $K^{2}$-quasiconformal
mapping $\omega_{\beta_{n}}$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ fixing 0 and 1 , where $K$ is as in Lemma 5.2. From the construction, every limit function of $\left\{\omega_{\beta_{n}} \mid \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\{0,1\}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ cannot be the constant 0 or 1 . Therefore, there exists a subsequence $\left\{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset\left\{\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\omega_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow \omega$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C}$, where $\omega$ is a $K^{2}$-quasiconformal mapping of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ fixing 0 and 1 . Let

$$
\gamma:=\omega\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \subset \mathbb{C} .
$$

By the construction, $\gamma$ is a $K^{2}$-quasicircle with $\partial U_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow \gamma($ as $n \rightarrow \infty)$ with respect to the Hausdorff metric. By Lemma 5.1, we have $U_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ for any $n \geq 1$, and hence $\gamma \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. In addition, from the fact that $f_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow f_{\beta_{\infty}}$ uniformly on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and the definition of $D_{\beta}$, it follows that

$$
f_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}}\left(\partial U_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}}\right) \rightarrow f_{\beta_{\infty}}(\gamma), \quad \partial D_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow \partial D_{\beta_{\infty}}
$$

with respect to the Hausdorff metric. Since $\partial D_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}}=f_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}}\left(\partial U_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, we obtain $f_{\beta_{\infty}}(\gamma)=\partial D_{\beta_{\infty}}$. By Hurwitz's theorem, $f_{\beta_{\infty}}$ is univalent on the bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \backslash \gamma$, and hence $\gamma=\partial U_{\beta_{\infty}}$. It follows that $\partial U_{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}} \rightarrow \partial U_{\beta_{\infty}}$ with respect to the Hausdorff metric. This contradicts the fact that $\left\{\beta_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset\left\{\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Next, we introduce the following version of the Herman-Świa̧tek theorem:
Lemma 5.4 ([9, p.2147, Theorem 3.8], [14], [15], and [24]). Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of holomorphic maps defined in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ with the following properties:
(a) There exists an open annulus $A$ containing $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ such that every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is defined in $A$;
(b) $f\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{S}^{1}$ and $\left.f\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}$ is a critical circle map;
(c) There exists an $R>0$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the rotation number of $\left.f\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}$ has all its entries of the continued fraction less than or equal to $R$;
(d) $\mathcal{F}$ is precompact on $A$ for the Euclidean metric.

Then there exists a $k>1$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F},\left.f\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}$ is $k$-quasisymmetrically conjugate to rotation. ${ }^{4)}$

Proof of the Main Theorem (ii). Our proof is divided into the three steps which we mentioned at the beginning of this section. Recall that we restricted $\beta$ to $B_{r}$ (or $\overline{B_{r}}$ ) and $r>0$ is small enough for the statements of Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 to hold.
Step 1: By the Riemann mapping theorem and Carathéodory's theorem, for $\beta \in \overline{B_{r}}$, we can take a homeomorphism $\rho_{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash U_{\beta}$ which is conformal in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and satisfies $\rho_{\beta}(\infty)=\infty$ and $\rho_{\beta}(1)=1$. By Lemma 2.4, we can extend $\rho_{\beta}$ into a $K^{2}$-quasiconformal mapping $\hat{\rho}_{\beta}$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ fixing 1 and $\infty$, where $K$ is as in Lemma 5.2.

For any sequence $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_{r}$ with $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta_{\infty} \in \overline{B_{r}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from the construction that every limit function of $\left\{\hat{\rho}_{\beta_{n}} \mid \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash\{1, \infty\}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ cannot be the constant 1 or $\infty$. Thus there exists a subsequence $\left\{\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\hat{\rho}_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \sigma$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C}$, where $\sigma$ is a $K^{2}$ quasiconformal mapping of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ fixing 1 and $\infty$. It follows from Lemma 5.3 that $\left.\sigma\right|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathbb{D}}=\rho_{\beta_{\infty}}$, and hence $\left.\hat{\rho}_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}\right|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathbb{D}}=\rho_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \rho_{\beta_{\infty}}$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$. This implies that the set of all limit functions of $\left\{\rho_{\beta_{n}}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains only $\rho_{\beta_{\infty}}$, and hence $\rho_{\beta_{n}} \rightarrow \rho_{\beta_{\infty}}$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$. Therefore, $\rho_{\beta}$ depends continuously on $\beta \in \overline{B_{r}}$. The map $\left.f_{\beta} \circ \rho_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \partial D_{\beta}$ is a homeomorphism, where $D_{\beta}$ is as in Lemma 5.1. From the standard theory about the rotation number, there exists a unique

[^3]$\theta_{\beta} \in[0,1)$ such that for
$$
L_{\beta}(z):=\frac{e^{2 \pi i \theta_{\beta}} z}{\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|}
$$
the rotation number of $\left.L_{\beta} \circ f_{\beta} \circ \rho_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ is the $\theta$ which was fixed at the beginning (see [7, p.103, Theorem 3.20]). By the construction, $L_{\beta}$ depends continuously on $\beta \in \overline{B_{r}}$. For $\beta \in \overline{B_{r}}$, we define
$$
\tilde{F}_{\beta}(z):=L_{\beta} \circ f_{\beta} \circ \rho_{\beta}(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D})
$$

The Schwarz reflection principle shows that if $r>0$ is small enough, then there exists an $l>1$ such that for any $\beta, \tilde{F}_{\beta}$ is extended to a holomorphic map $\hat{F}_{\beta}$ in $\{z||z|>1 / l\}$. Henceforward, we fix a small enough $r>0$ so that such extension goes well and the statements of Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 hold. Set

$$
A_{l}:=\{z|1 / l<|z|<l\}
$$

By the construction, $\left.\hat{F}_{\beta}\right|_{A_{l}}$ depends continuously on $\beta \in \overline{B_{r}}$, and hence the family $\left\{\left.\hat{F}_{\beta}\right|_{A_{l}}\right\}_{\beta \in B_{r}}$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 5.4. By Lemma 5.4, there exists a $k$-quasisymmetric mapping $s_{\beta}: \mathbb{S}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ for $\beta \in B_{r}$ such that

$$
\left.s_{\beta} \circ \hat{F}_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} \circ s_{\beta}^{-1}=R_{\theta}, \quad s_{\beta}(1)=1,
$$

where $k>1$ is independent of $\beta$ and $R_{\theta}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta} z$. By the theory of Ahlfors-Beurling, we can extend $s_{\beta}$ as a homeomorphism $\hat{s}_{\beta}: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ which is an $M$-quasiconformal mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ with $s_{\beta}(0)=0$, where $M$ depends only on $k$, and hence $M$ is independent of $\beta$ (see [9, p.2148, Lemma 3.10]). Since $\left.\hat{F}_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}=\left.\tilde{F}_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}$ depends continuously on $\beta$, one can show that $s_{\beta}$ depends continuously on $\beta \in B_{r}$. Then it follows from the way of its extension that $\hat{s}_{\beta}$ also depends continuously on $\beta$. For $\beta \in B_{r}$, we define $F_{\beta}$ as follows:

$$
F_{\beta}(z):= \begin{cases}\tilde{F}_{\beta}(z) & (z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}) \\ \hat{s}_{\beta}^{-1} \circ R_{\theta} \circ \hat{s}_{\beta}(z) & (z \in \mathbb{D})\end{cases}
$$

Since $\left.\tilde{F}_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}=\left.\hat{F}_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}=s_{\beta}^{-1} \circ R_{\theta} \circ s_{\beta}, F_{\beta}$ is continuous. In addition, $F_{\beta}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is locally $M$ quasiconformal except the two preimages of the two critical points of $f_{\beta}$ by $\rho_{\beta}$. Thus $F_{\beta}$ is an $M$-quasiregular mapping. By the construction, $F_{\beta}$ satisfies the following properties:
(1) $F_{\beta}(0)=0, F_{\beta}(\mathbb{D})=(\mathbb{D})$, and $\left.F_{\beta}\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}$ is a critical circle map;
(2) $F_{\beta}$ and $R_{\theta}$ are quasiconformally conjugate on $\mathbb{D}$;
(3) $F_{\beta}$ depends continuously on $\beta \in B_{r}$;
(4) The constant $M$ is independent of $\beta \in B_{r}$.

Thus, we achieve the goal of Step 1.
Step 2: We construct an $F_{\beta}$-invariant almost complex structure on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with Beltrami coefficient $\mu_{\beta}$ satisfying $\left\|\mu_{\beta}\right\|_{\infty}<k^{\prime}$ for some $k^{\prime}<1$ independent of $\beta$ as follows: Let $\mu_{\hat{s}_{\beta}}$ be the Beltrami coefficient of $\hat{s}_{\beta}$ in $\mathbb{D}$. If $z \in F_{\beta}^{-n}(\mathbb{D})$ for some integer $n \geq 0$, then we define $\mu_{\beta}(z)$ as the pullback of $\mu_{\hat{s}_{\beta}}\left(F_{\beta}^{n}(z)\right)$ by $F_{\beta}^{n}$. Otherwise, set $\mu_{\beta}(z):=0$. Since the almost complex structure on $\mathbb{D}$ with Beltrami coefficient $\mu_{\hat{s}_{\beta}}$ is $F_{\beta}$-invariant, the almost complex structure on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with coefficient $\mu_{\beta}$ is well-defined and $F_{\beta}$-invariant. We have $\left\|\mu_{\beta}\right\|_{\infty}<k^{\prime}$ for some $k^{\prime}<1$, since $F_{\beta}$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $F_{\beta}(\overline{\mathbb{D}})=(\overline{\mathbb{D}})$. Moreover, we can take $k^{\prime}<1$ independent of $\beta$, since the quasiregular constant $M$ of $F_{\beta}$ is independent of $\beta$. By the integrability theorem (see [7, p.40, Theorem 1.28]),
there exists a quasiconformal mapping $\varphi_{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ which solves the Beltrami equation with coefficient $\mu_{\beta}$ and fixes 0,1 , and $\infty$. Therefore,

$$
G_{\beta}:=\varphi_{\beta} \circ F_{\beta} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}
$$

is meromorphic. By the construction, $G_{\beta}$ has the only one zero 0 and the only one pole. Thus there exist an entire function $h(z)$ and non-zero constants $b$ and $p$ such that

$$
G_{\beta}(z)=b \frac{z}{z-p} e^{h(z)}
$$

We can show that $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree 1 as follows: When $|z|$ is large enough, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1} \circ G_{\beta}(z)=f_{\beta} \circ \phi_{2}(z) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{1}:=L_{\beta}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}, \quad \phi_{2}:=\rho_{\beta} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1} .
$$

Obviously, $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are quasiconformal mappings. Since $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}^{-1}$ are Hölder continuous at $\infty$, there exist positive constants $K^{\prime}>1, C_{1}$, and $C_{2}$ such that

$$
\left|\phi_{1}(z)\right| \geq C_{1}|z|^{1 / K^{\prime}}, \quad\left|\phi_{2}(z)\right| \leq C_{2}|z|^{K^{\prime}} \quad \text { for }|z| \text { large enough. }
$$

From this and $\left|f_{\beta}(z)\right| \leq e^{|z|^{2}}(|z| \rightarrow \infty)$, there exist positive constants $A$ and $N$ such that

$$
\max _{|z|=R} e^{h(z)} \leq e^{A R^{N}} \quad \text { for } R>0 \text { large enough. }
$$

Thus $h(z)$ is a polynomial. In addition, the relation $(*)$ implies that both of $f_{\beta}$ and $G_{\beta}$ have only one positive (or negative) sector in a punctured neighborhood of $\infty$ in the sense of [27, p.495]. Therefore, we deduce that $h(z)$ is a polynomial of degree 1.

By the construction, we have $G_{\beta}^{\prime}(0)=e^{2 \pi i \theta}$ and $G_{\beta}^{\prime}(1)=0$. Hence as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we obtain for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$,

$$
G_{\beta}(z)=g_{\alpha}(z)=e^{2 \pi i \theta} \frac{z}{1-\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} z} e^{\alpha z}
$$

It follows from the construction that $g_{\alpha}\left(=G_{\beta}\right)$ has the Siegel disk $\triangle_{\alpha}=\varphi_{\beta}(\mathbb{D})$ centered at the origin. Since $\left\|\mu_{\beta}\right\|_{\infty}<k^{\prime}$ for $k^{\prime}<1$ independent of $\beta$, there exists a constant $M_{1} \geq 1$ independent of $\beta$ such that $\varphi_{\beta}$ is $M_{1}$-quasiconformal. Thus the boundary $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}=\varphi_{\beta}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is an $M_{1}$-quasicircle containing exactly one critical point 1 of $g_{\alpha}$. Therefore, the argument above completes Step 2.

Step 3: From Step 2, we can define the surgery map

$$
\mathcal{S}: B_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}, \quad \beta \mapsto \alpha,
$$

where $G_{\beta}=g_{\alpha}$. In order to show that $\mathcal{S}$ is continuous, we claim the following assertion, whose proof is similar to the argument in [17, p.157, Section 5] or [26, p.218, Section 11]:

Assertion. Let $\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B_{r}$ be any sequence with $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta_{\infty} \in B_{r}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists a subsequence $\left\{\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of the assertion. By Step 2, there exists a subsequence $\left\{\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an $M_{1^{-}}$ quasiconformal mapping $\varphi: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\varphi_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \varphi$ locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C}($ as $n \rightarrow \infty)$. We define

$$
\varsigma:=\varphi \circ F_{\beta_{\infty}} \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad \varsigma_{n}:=\varphi_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \circ F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \circ \varphi_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{-1}, \quad \varsigma_{\infty}:=\varphi_{\beta_{\infty}} \circ F_{\beta_{\infty}} \circ \varphi_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-1}
$$

If $\varsigma=\varsigma_{\infty}$, then $\mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{\infty}\right)$. The proof is completed in the case. Henceforth we suppose that $\varsigma \neq \varsigma_{\infty}$.

We can show that if $\varsigma \neq \varsigma_{\infty}$, then $\mu_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mu_{\beta_{\infty}}$ with respect to the spherical measure as follows: For a measurable set $E \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\operatorname{Area}(E)$ be the Lebesgue area of $E$ in the spherical metric. In addition, we define

$$
Q_{n}^{\varepsilon}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}| | \mu_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}(z)-\mu_{\beta_{\infty}}(z) \mid>\varepsilon\right\},
$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \geq 1$. It suffices to show that for any $\varepsilon>0$ and any $C>0$, if $n$ is large enough, then $\operatorname{Area}\left(Q_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)<C$. By the definitions of $\mu_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}$ and $\mu_{\beta_{\infty}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}^{\varepsilon} \subset \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D}) \cup \bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D}) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, $\varsigma$ and $\varsigma_{\infty}$ are quasiconformally conjugate. It follows from $\varsigma \neq \varsigma_{\infty}, \varsigma_{n} \rightarrow \varsigma$ locally uniformly, and the argument similar to that in [26, p.201] or [17, p.157, p.158] that for $n$ large enough, there exist quasiconformal mappings $\xi_{n}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that:
(i) $\xi_{n}$ fixes 0,1 , and $\infty$;
(ii) $\xi_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\xi_{n} \circ \varsigma=\varsigma_{n} \circ \xi_{n}
$$

(iii) The complex dilatations $\chi_{n}$ of $\xi_{n}$ are uniformly bounded, and

$$
\left\|\chi_{n}\right\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Hence we have

$$
\tau_{n} \circ F_{\beta_{\infty}}=F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \circ \tau_{n},
$$

where $\tau_{n}:=\varphi_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{-1} \circ \xi_{n} \circ \varphi$. It follows from the construction that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$
\tau_{n}(\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{D}, \quad \tau_{n}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}})=\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \quad \tau_{n}(0)=0, \quad \tau_{n}(\infty)=\infty
$$

and the complex dilatations of quasiconformal mappings $\tau_{n}$ are uniformly bounded. Thus from this, the fact that the area of the Riemann sphere is finite, and the area distortion theorem (see [3, p.37, Theorem 1.1]), we deduce that for any $\delta>0$, there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area }\left(\bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D}) \backslash \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D})\right)<\delta \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area }\left(\bigcup_{k \geq 0} F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D}) \backslash \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D})\right)<\delta \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that every connected component of $F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D})$ is the image of some connected component of $F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D})$ by $\tau_{n}$. It follows from the properties (i) and (iii) of $\xi_{n}$ that $\xi_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}$ locally uniformly, and hence $\tau_{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Id}_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}}}$ locally uniformly. We have for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area }\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D}) \backslash \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D})\right)<\delta \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the construction, $\hat{s}_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \circ F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{N} \rightarrow \hat{s}_{\beta_{\infty}} \circ F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{N}$ locally uniformly on $\bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In addition, when $z \in \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D})$ and $n$ is large enough, the complex dilatation of $\hat{s}_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \circ F_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}^{N}$
at $z$ and that of $\hat{s}_{\beta_{\infty}} \circ F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{N}$ at $z$ are $\mu_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}}(z)$ and $\mu_{\beta_{\infty}}(z)$ respectively. It follows from this and the construction that for $n$ large enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Area }\left(Q_{n}^{\varepsilon} \cap \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N} F_{\beta_{\infty}}^{-k}(\mathbb{D})\right)<\delta \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.17)-(5.21), we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Area}\left(Q_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right)<4 \delta
$$

Since $\delta>0$ is arbitrary, we can take $4 \delta=C$. This implies that $\mu_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mu_{\beta_{\infty}}$ with respect to the spherical measure.

From the argument above and [19, p.29, Theorem 4.6], we have $\varphi_{\beta_{n}^{\prime}} \rightarrow \varphi_{\beta_{\infty}}$ locally uniformly. It follows that $\varsigma=\varsigma_{\infty}$. On the other hand, we assumed that $\varsigma \neq \varsigma_{\infty}$. This is a contradiction, and hence we obtain $\varsigma=\varsigma_{\infty}$ and $\mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{n}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof of the assertion.

The assertion implies that if $\beta_{n} \rightarrow \beta_{\infty} \in B_{r}$, then the set $\left\{\mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and has only one accumulation point $\mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{\infty}\right)$. It follows that $\mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}\left(\beta_{\infty}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and hence $\mathcal{S}$ is continuous.

Finally, we show that $\mathcal{S}(\beta) \rightarrow-1$ as $\beta \rightarrow-1$. Recall that $\varphi_{\beta}: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is an $M_{1}$-quasiconformal mapping fixing 0,1 , and $\infty$, where $M_{1}$ is independent of $\beta$, and $\rho_{\beta}$ can be extended to a $K^{2}$ quasiconformal mapping of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ fixing 1 and $\infty$, where $K$ is as in Lemma 5.2. Thus

$$
\left\{\psi_{\beta}:=\varphi_{\beta} \circ \rho_{\beta}^{-1}\right\}_{\beta \in B_{r}}
$$

is uniformly Hölder continuous at $\infty$ in the sense of [20, p.70] (see [20, p.70, Theorem 4.3]). In addition, since $g_{\mathcal{S}(\beta)}$ has a critical point $c_{\mathcal{S}(\beta)}=-1 /(\mathcal{S}(\beta)+1)=\psi_{\beta}(-1 /(\beta+1))$, it follows from $-1 /(\beta+1) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\beta \rightarrow-1$ that

$$
\frac{-1}{\mathcal{S}(\beta)+1}=\psi_{\beta}\left(\frac{-1}{\beta+1}\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $\beta \rightarrow-1$. This shows that $\mathcal{S}(\beta) \rightarrow-1$ as $\beta \rightarrow-1$, and hence $\mathcal{S}\left(B_{r}\right)$ is uncountable. Moreover, by the construction, $\triangle_{\alpha}$ is an $M_{1}$-quasicircle containing exactly one critical point 1 when $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}\left(B_{r}\right)$ (see Step 2). Thus we can take

$$
\Omega_{2}:=\mathcal{S}\left(B_{r}\right)
$$

Therefore, we have the desired result of the Main Theorem (ii).
Remark 5.5. From this construction of $\Omega_{2}$ and Proposition 3.2, there exists an uncountable set $\tilde{\Omega}_{2}$ such that if $\alpha \in \tilde{\Omega}_{2}$, then $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}$ is a quasicircle containing exactly one critical point $c_{\alpha}$. Moreover, it follows from the construction that $g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right) \notin \overline{\triangle_{\alpha}}$ for $\alpha \in \Omega_{2}$.

## 6. Proof of the Main Theorem (iit)

In this section, we show the Main Theorem (iii) by the quasiconformal surgery in Section 5. Let $f_{\beta}$ be as in Section 5. For $0<r<\pi$, we restrict $\beta$ to the set

$$
C_{r}:=\left\{z=-1+e^{i \theta} \mid \theta \in[\pi-r, \pi) \cup(\pi, \pi+r]\right\}
$$

Lemma 6.1. Let $\beta \in C_{r}$, let

$$
D_{\beta}:=\left\{z| | z\left|<\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|\right\}\right.
$$

and let $U_{\beta}$ be the connected component of $f_{\beta}^{-1}\left(D_{\beta}\right)$ which contains the origin. (Note that $f_{\beta}(0)=0$.) If $r>0$ is small enough, then $\left.f_{\beta}\right|_{U_{\beta}}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent and $U_{\beta}$ is simply connected. Moreover, $U_{\beta}$ has the following properties:
(1) $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a piecewise smooth Jordan curve containing exactly two critical points 1 and $c_{\beta}=$ $e^{i(\pi-\theta)}$;
(2) There exists a large enough $R>1$ independent of $\beta$ such that

$$
\overline{U_{\beta}} \subset E
$$

where $E:=\{z| | z \mid \leq R\} \backslash\left\{z| | z-t_{\beta} \mid<1 / R\right\}$.
Proof. We show that $\partial U_{\beta}$ contains 1 and $c_{\beta}$ as follows: An easy calculation shows that for $0<$ $x<1$,

$$
\left|f_{\beta}(x)\right|^{2}=\left|f_{\beta}\left(x e^{i(\pi-\theta)}\right)\right|^{2}=\frac{2 x^{2}(1-\cos \theta)}{(x-(1-\cos \theta))^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta} e^{-2 x+2 x \cos \theta}=: M(x) .
$$

In addition, we have

$$
M^{\prime}(x)=\frac{4 x(1-\cos \theta)^{2}(1-x)\left(x^{2}+(2 \cos \theta-1) x+2\right)}{\left((x-(1-\cos \theta))^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{2}} e^{-2 x+2 x \cos \theta} .
$$

Therefore, we have $M^{\prime}(x)>0$ for any $0<x<1$. Thus $U_{\beta}$ contains

$$
\{x \mid 0<x<1\} \cup\left\{x e^{i(\pi-\theta)} \mid 0<x<1\right\}
$$

and hence $\partial U_{\beta}$ contains 1 and $c_{\beta}$. From the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.1, it follows that $\left.f\right|_{U_{\beta}}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent and $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a piecewise smooth Jordan curve. This shows (1).

Since

$$
\frac{f_{\beta}}{e^{\beta z}}=\frac{z}{(1-(\beta+1) z / \beta)} \rightarrow \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1} \quad(z \rightarrow \infty)
$$

and $\partial D_{\beta}=\left\{z| | z\left|=\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|\right\}\right.$ is bounded away from 0 and $\infty$, which are asymptotic values of $z \mapsto e^{\beta z}$, there exists some compact set $E^{\prime}$ such that $\overline{U_{\beta}} \subset E^{\prime}$ for any $\beta \in C_{r}$. Moreover, since the pole $t_{\beta} \rightarrow 2$ and $f_{\beta} \rightarrow f_{-2}$ uniformly in a neighborhood of $t_{-2}=2$ with respect to the spherical metric as $\beta \rightarrow-1+e^{i \pi}=-2$, we can choose a large enough $R>0$ such that the property (2) holds if $r>0$ is small enough.
Lemma 6.2. If $r>0$ is small enough, then there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ independent of $\beta$ such that $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasicircle for any $\beta \in C_{r}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.2. However, we have to modify the treatment of the pole $t_{\beta}$ and pay attention to the two critical points 1 and $c_{\beta}$ in $\partial U_{\beta}$. Suppose that $r>0$ is small enough so that the statement of Lemma 6.1 holds. We need to show that there exists a constant $A>0$ independent of $\beta \in C_{r}$ and two distinct points $x$ and $y$ in $\partial U_{\beta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\beta, x, y):=\frac{\operatorname{Diam}(I)}{|L|}<A \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ and $I^{\prime}$ are two Jordan arcs with $\partial U_{\beta}=I \cup I^{\prime}, I \cap I^{\prime}=\{x, y\}$, and $\left|f_{\beta}(I)\right| \leq\left|f_{\beta}\left(I^{\prime}\right)\right|$, and $L$ is the closed straight line segment joining $x$ and $y$. Let $d$ be the distance from $t_{\beta}$ to the straight line segment $L$. Suppose that $d<1 /(2 R)$. Then the property (2) in Lemma 6.1 assures that $|L|>1 / R$. Since $\operatorname{Diam}(I) \leq 2 R$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\beta, x, y)=\frac{\operatorname{Diam}(I)}{|L|}<\frac{2 R}{1 / R}=2 R^{2} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Henceforth, we consider the case $d \geq 1 /(2 R)$. There exist two points $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ in $I$ such that $\left|b_{1}-b_{2}\right|=\operatorname{Diam}(I)$. In addition, there exists a connected component $\hat{I}$ of

$$
\left\{z\left|3 \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10 \leq\left|z-b_{1}\right| \leq 2 \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 5\right\} \cap I\right.
$$

with $|\hat{I}| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10$. If

$$
\left\{1, c_{\beta}\right\} \cap\left\{z\left|\left|z-b_{j}\right| \leq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 5\right\} \neq \emptyset\right.
$$

for $j=1,2$, then we define $\tilde{I}:=\hat{I}$. Otherwise, there exists a $j=1$ or 2 such that:

$$
\left\{1, c_{\beta}\right\} \cap\left\{z\left|\left|z-b_{j}\right| \leq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 5\right\}=\emptyset\right.
$$

In this case, let $\tilde{I}$ be the connected component of

$$
\left\{z\left|\left|z-b_{j}\right| \leq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10\right\} \cap I\right.
$$

which contains $b_{j}$. By definition, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
|\tilde{I}| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10  \tag{6.3}\\
\left|z-c_{\beta}\right| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10,|z-1| \geq \operatorname{Diam}(I) / 10 \quad \text { for any } z \in \tilde{I} \tag{6.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we can show that there exist points $q \in L$ and $p \in \tilde{I}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(\beta, x, y) \leq 5 \pi \frac{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the argument similar to the proof of Lemma 5.2, the property (2) in Lemma 6.1, (6.3), (6.4), and the assumption $d \geq 1 /(2 R)$, there exists a constant $A^{\prime}>0$ independent of $\beta, x$, and $y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(q)\right|}{\left|f_{\beta}^{\prime}(p)\right|}<A^{\prime} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6.2), (6.5), and (6.6), we can take $A:=\max \left\{5 \pi A^{\prime}, 2 R^{2}\right\}$.
Remark 6.3. In Lemma 6.2, we suppose that $r>0$ is small enough. However, by using the compactness of $C_{r} \cup\{-2\}$ and modifying the proofs in Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2, one can remove the assumption. Let

$$
\tilde{C}:=\left\{z=-1+e^{i \theta} \mid \theta \in(0, \pi) \cup(\pi, 2 \pi)\right\}
$$

and let $D_{\beta}$ and $U_{\beta}$ be as in Lemma 6.1 for $\beta \in \tilde{C}$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 6.1 that $\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|=\left|f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)\right|$ and $\partial U_{\beta}$ contains exactly two critical points of $f_{\beta}$ for any $\beta \in \tilde{C}$. However, since $f_{\beta}$ is not defined for $\beta=0=-1+e^{2 \pi i}$, and $\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|=\left|f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$ and the pole $t_{\beta} \rightarrow 0$ as $\beta \rightarrow 0$, we do not know whether there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ independent of $\beta$ such that $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasicircle for any $\beta \in \tilde{C}$ or not.

Proof of the Main Theorem (iii). From Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2, we can apply the quasiconformal surgery technique in Section 5 to $f_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in C_{r}$. Hence there exists a continuous mapping

$$
\mathcal{S}: C_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}
$$

such that $\partial \triangle_{\mathcal{S}(\beta)}$ is a quasicircle containing exactly two critical points. Note that the construction assures that we can choose the quasicircle constant of $\partial \triangle_{\mathcal{S}(\beta)}$ independent of $\beta \in C_{r}$. Moreover, there exist quasiconformal mappings $\psi_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in C_{r}$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ fixing 1 and $\infty$ such that:
(1) $\left\{\psi_{\beta}\right\}_{\beta \in C_{r}}$ is uniformly Hölder continuous at 1 in the sense of [20, p.70];
(2) $g_{\mathcal{S}(\beta)}$ has two critical points 1 and

$$
c_{\mathcal{S}(\beta)}=\frac{-1}{\mathcal{S}(\beta)+1}=\psi_{\beta}\left(\frac{-1}{\beta+1}\right)
$$

Since $-1 /(\beta+1) \rightarrow 1$ as $\beta \rightarrow-2$, we have

$$
c_{\mathcal{S}(\beta)}=\frac{-1}{\mathcal{S}(\beta)+1} \rightarrow 1 \quad(\beta \rightarrow-2)
$$

and hence

$$
\mathcal{S}(\beta) \rightarrow-2 \quad(\beta \rightarrow-2) .
$$

Thus $\mathcal{S}\left(C_{r}\right)$ is uncountable. We can take

$$
\Omega_{3}:=\mathcal{S}\left(C_{r}\right)
$$

## 7. Proof of the Main Theorem (iv)

In this section, we give another construction of $\Omega_{2}$ and prove the Main Theorem (iv). We extend the surgery map $\mathcal{S}: C_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$ in the proof of the Main Theorem (iii) into the map $\mathcal{S}: Q_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$, where $Q_{r} \supset C_{r}$ is defined as follows: For any $0<r<\pi$, let

$$
I_{r}:=\{z=k(r)+i y \mid-l(r) \leq y \leq l(r)\}
$$

where $k(r)$ and $l(r)$ are the real part and the imaginary part of $-1+e^{i(\pi-r)} \in C_{r}$ respectively, and let $Q_{r}$ be the bounded closed domain whose boundary is

$$
\{-2\} \cup C_{r} \cup I_{r} .
$$

Note that $k(r) \rightarrow-2$ and $l(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Let $D_{\beta}$ and $U_{\beta}$ be as in Lemma 6.1 for $\beta \in Q_{r}$. As in the proofs of Lemma 5.1, Lemma 6.1, and Lemma 6.2, we can easily show the following lemma:

## Lemma 7.1. Let

$$
\hat{B}_{r}:=(-2, k(r)] .
$$

If $r>0$ is small enough and $\beta \in \hat{B}_{r}$, then $\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|>\left|f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)\right|$ and $\left.f_{\beta}\right|_{U_{\beta}}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent and $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a piecewise smooth Jordan curve which contains exactly one critical point 1 of $f_{\beta}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ independent of $\beta$ such that $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasicircle for any $\beta \in \hat{B}_{r}$.
Henceforth let $\tilde{Q}_{r}$ be the interior of $Q_{r}$.
Lemma 7.2. If $r>0$ is small enough and $\beta \in \tilde{Q}_{r}$, then $\left.f_{\beta}\right|_{U_{\beta}}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent and $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a piecewise smooth Jordan curve which contains exactly one critical point 1 of $f_{\beta}$. Moreover, there exists a constant $K \geq 1$ independent of $\beta$ such that $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasicircle for any $\beta \in \tilde{Q}_{r}$.
Proof. First of all, we show that $\partial U_{\beta}$ contains the critical point 1 of $f_{\beta}$ as follows: Let

$$
M(x):=\left|f_{\beta}(x)\right|^{2},
$$

where $\beta=-2+X+i Y \in \tilde{Q}_{r}$ for $0<X \leq k(r)+2<2$ and $-l(r)<Y<l(r)$, and $0<x<1$. An easy calculation shows that

$$
M^{\prime}(x)=L(x) \cdot P(x)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(x):=\frac{2 x(2-X)\left((2-X)^{2}+Y^{2}\right)(1-x) e^{2(-2+X) x}}{\left((-2+X+(1-X) x)^{2}+Y^{2}(1-x)^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
P(x):=\left((1-X)^{2}+Y^{2}\right) x^{2}-\left(Y^{2}+(X-1)(X-3)\right) x+2-X+Y^{2} /(2-X) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Obviously, we obtain $L(x)>0$. Since $0<X<2$, we have

$$
P(1)=X+Y^{2} /(2-X)>0 .
$$

It follows from this that if $r>0$ is small enough, then $P(x)>0$ for $0<x<1$. This implies that $U_{\beta}$ contains $(0,1)$, and hence $\partial U_{\beta}$ contains the critical point 1 of $f_{\beta}$.

Next, we show that $f_{\beta}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent and $c_{\beta} \notin \partial U_{\beta}$ as follows: Let $\beta=-2+X+i Y \in \tilde{Q}_{r}$ for $0<X \leq k(r)+2<2$ and $-l(r)<Y<l(r)$. Note that

$$
\left|f_{\beta}(1) / \beta\right|=\left|e^{\beta}\right|, \quad\left|f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right) / \beta\right|=\left|e^{-\beta /(\beta+1)} /(\beta+1)^{2}\right| .
$$

Let

$$
H(X, Y):=\left|e^{\beta}\right|-\left|e^{-\beta /(\beta+1)} /(\beta+1)^{2}\right|=e^{-2+X}-\frac{1}{(-1+X)^{2}+Y^{2}} e^{F(X, Y)}
$$

where

$$
F(X, Y):=\frac{(2-X)(-1+X)-Y^{2}}{(-1+X)^{2}+Y^{2}}
$$

One can check that

$$
\frac{\partial H(X, Y)}{\partial Y}=\frac{2 Y}{\left((-1+X)^{2}+Y^{2}\right)^{3}} e^{F(X, Y)} \cdot G(X, Y),
$$

where

$$
G(X, Y):=\left(X-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}+Y^{2}-\frac{1}{4}
$$

For any fixed $0<X \leq k(r)+2$, define $Y(X)>0$ and $T(X)>0$ by

$$
G(X, \pm Y(X))=0, \quad-2+X \pm i T(X) \in C_{r}
$$

We have $Y(X)<T(X)$, and hence

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial H(X, Y)}{\partial Y}<0 & (-T(X)<Y<-Y(X), 0<Y<Y(X)) \\
\frac{\partial H(X, Y)}{\partial Y}=0 \quad(Y=0, \pm Y(X)) \\
\frac{\partial H(X, Y)}{\partial Y}>0 \quad(-Y(X)<Y<0, Y(X)<Y<T(X)) .
\end{array}
$$

Since $H(X, 0)>0$ from Lemma 7.1 and $H(X, \pm T(X))=0$, there exists a constant $W(X) \in$ $(0, Y(X))$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H(X, Y)>0 \quad(-W(X)<Y<0,0<Y<W(X))  \tag{7.1}\\
& H(X, Y)=0 \quad(Y= \pm W(X))  \tag{7.2}\\
& H(X, Y)<0 \quad(-T(X)<Y<-W(X), W(X)<Y<T(X)) \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 7.1, we have $c_{\beta} \notin \overline{U_{\beta}}$ and $\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|>\left|f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)\right|$ for any $\beta \in \hat{B}_{r}$. Obviously, the mappings

$$
\beta \mapsto c_{\beta}, \quad \beta \mapsto\left|f_{\beta}(1)\right|, \quad \beta \mapsto\left|f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)\right|
$$

are continuous. Therefore, there exist the positive values:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{+}(X):=\sup \left\{L>0 \mid c_{\beta} \notin \overline{U_{\beta}} \text { for any } \beta \in I^{+}(L)\right\} \\
& S^{-}(X):=\sup \left\{L>0 \mid c_{\beta} \notin \overline{U_{\beta}} \text { for any } \beta \in I^{-}(L)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{+}(L) & :=\left\{\beta=-2+X+i Y \in \tilde{Q}_{r} \mid 0<Y<L\right\} \\
I^{-}(L) & :=\left\{\beta=-2+X+i Y \in \tilde{Q}_{r} \mid-L<Y<0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose that $S^{+}(X)<T(X)$. Then, as in the proofs of Lemma 5.1, Lemma 6.1, and Lemma 6.2, $f_{\beta}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent, and there exists a constant $K^{\prime} \geq 1$ independent of $\beta$ such that $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a $K^{\prime}$-quasicircle for any $\beta \in I^{+}\left(S^{+}(X)\right)$. Let

$$
\beta(X):=-2+X+i S^{+}(X) .
$$

It follows from the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.3 that $\partial U_{\beta(X)}$ is a $\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{2}$-quasicircle and $f_{\beta(X)}: U_{\beta(X)} \rightarrow D_{\beta(X)}$ is univalent. Therefore, we have $c_{\beta(X)} \notin U_{\beta(X)}$. Since $H(X, Y)<0$ for $W(X)<Y<T(X)$ from (7.3), we obtain $S^{+}(X) \leq W(X)$. Let $p_{\beta} \in \overline{U_{\beta}}$ be the preimage of $f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$ by $f_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in I^{+}\left(S^{+}(X)\right) \cup\{\beta(X)\}$. Note that $p_{\beta} \neq c_{\beta}$ and $p_{\beta} \in U_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in I^{+}\left(S^{+}(X)\right)$. From the construction, we see that $S^{+}(X)=W(X)$ and $p_{\beta} \rightarrow p_{\beta(X)} \in \partial U_{\beta(X)}$ as $\beta \rightarrow \beta(X)$. Moreover, since the multiplicity of $c_{\beta}$ is unchanged for all $\beta \in \tilde{Q}_{r}$, it follows that $p_{\beta(X)} \neq c_{\beta(X)}$. This implies that $c_{\beta} \notin \overline{U_{\beta}}$ for all $\beta \in I^{+}\left(S^{+}(X)\right) \cup\{\beta(X)\}$. This contradicts the definition of $S^{+}(X)$, and hence $S^{+}(X) \geq T(X)$. Similarly, we can show that $S^{-}(X) \geq T(X)$. Since $0<X \leq k(r)+2$ is arbitrary, $\left.f_{\beta}\right|_{U_{\beta}}: U_{\beta} \rightarrow D_{\beta}$ is univalent and $\partial U_{\beta}$ is a piecewise smooth Jordan curve containing exactly one critical point of $f_{\beta}$ for any $\beta \in \tilde{Q}_{r}$. As in the proof of Lemma 6.2, for some constant $K \geq 1$ independent of $\beta, \partial U_{\beta}$ is a $K$-quasicircle for any $\beta \in \tilde{Q}_{r}$.
Remark 7.3. Let $W(X)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 7.2. Obviously, the map

$$
W:(0, k(r)+2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad X \mapsto W(X)
$$

is continuous. Note that $W(X) \rightarrow 0$ as $X \rightarrow 0$. In addition, it follows from the proof of Lemma 7.2 that $\partial D_{\beta}$ contains $f_{\beta}\left(c_{\beta}\right)$ and $\partial U_{\beta}$ does not contain $c_{\beta}$ for $\beta=-2+X \pm i W(X)$.

It follows from Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.2, Lemma 7.2, and the quasiconformal surgery technique in Section 5 that:

Lemma 7.4. There exists a continuous mapping

$$
\mathcal{S}: Q_{r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}
$$

such that:
(1) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}\left(C_{r}\right)$, then $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}$ contains exactly two critical points;
(2) If $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{Q}_{r} \cup I_{r}\right)$, then $\partial \triangle_{\alpha}$ contains exactly one critical point 1 ;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}(-2)=-2 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 7.5. From the construction, the three sets $\mathcal{S}\left(C_{r}\right), \mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{Q}_{r} \cup I_{r}\right)$, and $\{-2\}$ are mutually disjoint.
Moreover, it follows from Remark 7.3, Lemma 7.4, and (7.1), (7.2), and (7.3) in the proof of Lemma 7.2 that:

Lemma 7.6. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be as in Lemma 7.4. Then there exist uncountable sets $\Omega_{2,1}, \Omega_{2,2}$, and $\Omega_{2,3}$ in $\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}\right)$ such that:
(1)

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}\right)=\Omega_{2,1} \cup \Omega_{2,2} \cup \Omega_{2,3} ;
$$

(2) If $\alpha \in \Omega_{2,1}$, then $g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right) \in \triangle_{\alpha}$;
(3) If $\alpha \in \Omega_{2,2}$, then $g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right) \in \partial \triangle_{\alpha}$;
(4) If $\alpha \in \Omega_{2,3}$, then $g_{\alpha}\left(c_{\alpha}\right) \notin \overline{\triangle_{\alpha}}$;
(5)

$$
\Omega_{2,2} \subset \partial \Omega_{2,1} \cap \partial \Omega_{2,3}, \quad \Omega_{3}:=\mathcal{S}\left(C_{r}\right) \subset \partial \Omega_{2,3}
$$

Remark 7.7. Obviously, the three sets $\Omega_{2,1}, \Omega_{2,2}$, and $\Omega_{2,3}$ are mutually disjoint. The set $\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}\right)$ may contain some open set.

Proof of the Main Theorem (iv). By Lemma 7.4 and Lemma 7.6, we can also take

$$
\Omega_{2}:=\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}\right)
$$

Furthermore, this construction of $\Omega_{2}:=\mathcal{S}\left(\tilde{Q}_{r}\right)$ shows the claim.

## 8. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we deal with the one parameter family $\left\{g_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}}$. By the Main Theorem, $g_{\alpha}$ has the Siegel disk $\triangle_{\alpha}$ (centered at the origin) bounded by a quasicircle containing critical points for uncountably many $\alpha$. However, there are many parameters $\alpha$ left. We ask the following questions:
Question 2. Are $\triangle_{\alpha}$ bounded by quasicircles containing at least one critical point of $g_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0,-1\}$ ?
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[^0]:    2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37F10; Secondary 30D05, 37F31.
    Key words and phrases. Bounded type Siegel disks, quasiconformal surgery.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1)}$ Zakeri's original statement includes Shishikura's result which says that all bounded type fixed Siegel disks of polynomials of arbitrary degree are bounded by quasicircles containing critical points. On the other hand, since the space $S$ is not invariant under affine conjugations moving the origin, Theorem does not say anything about bounded type fixed Siegel disks centered at points other than the origin. Zakeri mentioned this technical problem in his paper [27]. In [18], Kisaka and Naba construct some functions in $S$ with bounded type fixed Siegel disks centered at points other than the origin, whose boundaries are quasicircles containing critical points.
    ${ }^{2}$ Meromorphic functions with finitely many critical points and asymptotic values share important dynamical properties (see [4], [5], [6], [11], and [23]).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3)}$ This is one of the equivalent definitions of quasiregular mappings. See [7] for alternative definitions. For basic properties of quasiconformal mappings, see also [2] or [20].

[^3]:    ${ }^{4)}$ For the definition of quasisymmetric mappings from $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ to itself, see [13] or [9, p.2144, Definition 3.2]. The rotation is the map $z \mapsto e^{2 \pi i \theta} z$, where $\theta$ is the rotation number of $\left.f\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}$.

