

A certain class of non-compact 4-symmetric spaces of exceptional type

Hiroiyuki Kurihara and Koji Tojo

Abstract

Let \mathfrak{g} be a non-compact simple exceptional Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with an automorphism σ of order four and \mathfrak{h} the fixed point set of σ . Suppose that the dimension of the center of \mathfrak{h} is at most one and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In this paper we shall classify non-compact 4-symmetric pairs under the certain equivalence relation.

1 Introduction

It is known that k -symmetric spaces are generalizations of symmetric spaces. The definition is as follows:

Let G be a Lie group and H a closed subgroup of G . A homogeneous space $(G/H, \sigma)$ is called a k -symmetric space if there exists an automorphism σ of G such that

- $\sigma^k = \text{Id}$ and $\sigma^l \neq \text{Id}$ for any $l < k$,
- $G_o^\sigma \subset H \subset G^\sigma$, where G^σ and G_o^σ is the set of fixed points of σ in G and its identity component, respectively,

The classification of k -symmetric spaces is a fundamental problem for studying geometry of k -symmetric spaces. It is well known the classification of Riemannian symmetric spaces (cf. Helgason [3]). Gray [2] classified Riemannian 3-symmetric spaces (see also Wolf and Gray [8]). Moreover compact Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces is classified by Jeménez [4].

The classification of 3-symmetric spaces $(G/H, \sigma)$ was made by classifying involutions τ satisfying $\tau\sigma = \sigma\tau$. Similarly, involutions τ of a 4-symmetric space $(G/H, \sigma)$ satisfying $\tau\sigma = \sigma\tau$ are important for the classification of 4-symmetric spaces. Let \mathfrak{g} (or $\text{Lie}(G)$) denote the Lie algebra of G and \mathfrak{g}^σ the fixed point set of σ in \mathfrak{g} . In two previous papers [5] and [6], we classified such involutions τ when \mathfrak{g} is a compact simple Lie algebra of exceptional type and the dimension of the center of \mathfrak{g}^σ is at most one. In this paper we classify the non-compact 4-symmetric spaces satisfying some certain conditions.

Let $(G/H, \sigma)$ be a 4-symmetric space such that G is a simple Lie group. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} denote the Lie algebra of G and H , respectively. The pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ (or (\mathfrak{g}, σ)) is called a *4-symmetric pair*. Note that, since the fixed point set \mathfrak{g}^σ is equal to $\mathfrak{g}^{\sigma^{-1}}$, the 4-symmetric pair (\mathfrak{g}, σ) is equal to $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^{-1})$.

Suppose that \mathfrak{g} is of non-compact type. Let θ be a Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g} such that $\theta\sigma = \sigma\theta$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ the Cartan decomposition. Let \mathfrak{g}^* and \mathfrak{h}^* denote the compact duals of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$, respectively, that is, $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma \cap \mathfrak{k} \oplus \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma \cap \mathfrak{p})$. Then σ induces an

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C30; Secondary 17B20, 53C35.

automorphism σ^* on \mathfrak{g}^* , and $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*)$ becomes a compact 4-symmetric pair. Let $\mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*})$ denote the center of $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}$. Suppose that $\dim(\mathfrak{z}((\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*})) \leq 1$. Then according to [5] and [6] if there exists an automorphism τ^* of \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\tau^*((\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}) = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}$, then $\tau^*\sigma^* = \sigma^*\tau^*$ or $\tau^*\sigma^* = (\sigma^*)^{-1}\tau^*$. From the above, it is natural to define an isomorphism between the two triplets $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)$, where \mathfrak{g}_i is a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} , σ_i is an order four automorphism on \mathfrak{g}_i , and θ_i is an involution that commutes with σ_i ($i = 1, 2$), as the existence of an isomorphism $\mu : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ such that $\mu(\mathfrak{g}_1^{\pm\theta_1}) = \mathfrak{g}_2^{\pm\theta_2}$ and $\mu(\mathfrak{g}_1^{\sigma_1}) = \mathfrak{g}_2^{\sigma_2}$. In this paper, we classify non-compact 4-symmetric pairs (\mathfrak{g}, σ) of exceptional type when $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma) \leq 1$ under this isomorphism using the involution on compact 4-symmetric pairs investigated in [5] and [6].

At first, we shall prove that there exists a Cartan involution θ of G satisfying $\theta\sigma = \sigma\theta$. This allows us to consider the compact dual \mathfrak{g}^* of $\text{Lie}(G)$ with respect to this Cartan involution θ . These automorphisms θ and σ of $\text{Lie}(G)$ induce automorphisms θ^* and σ^* of \mathfrak{g}^* , respectively, which satisfy $(\theta^*)^2 = \text{Id} = (\sigma^*)^4$ and $\theta^*\sigma^* = \sigma^*\theta^*$. The non-compact dual of a symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \theta^*)$ induce non-compact 4-symmetric pairs. This construction method runs out of non-compact 4-symmetric spaces. Therefore the existence of such a Cartan involution is most important. If \mathfrak{g}^* is of an exceptional type, then these θ^* are classified by authors under the certain conditions ([5], [6]).

The organization of this paper is as follows:

In Section 2, we recall the notions of root systems needed for the remaining part of this paper and some results on inner automorphisms of order k ($k \leq 4$) of a semisimple Lie algebra.

In Section 3, let \mathfrak{g} be a non-compact simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with an automorphism of order k . We prove that there is a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ containing a compact subgroup $\{\text{Id}, \sigma, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^{k-1}\}$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ (Lemma 3.2). Using the Lie algebra of this maximal compact subgroup, we construct a Cartan decomposition and induced Cartan involution which is commute with σ . As mentioned above, this is key Proposition (Proposition 3.4).

In Section 4, we investigate some properties of automorphisms of the compact dual of non-compact 4-symmetric triple. These considerations shows that the compact dual constitutes a compact 4-symmetric triple.

In Section 5, we define the isomorphism between two triples $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*, \theta^*)$ and describe the relationship between the isomorphic of two compact(resp. non-compact) triples and the isomorphic of their non-compact(resp. compact) duals. Moreover, if the dimension of the center of $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}$ is 0 or 1 and σ^* is an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g}^* , then we prove that the non-compact triples are exhausted from all conjugate classes of involutions on \mathfrak{g}^* under $\text{Aut}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ which commutes with σ^* .

In Section 6, let $(G/H, \sigma)$ be a exceptional non-compact 4-symmetric space and suppose that the complexification of $\text{Lie}(H)$ contains a Cartan subalgebra of the complexification of $\text{Lie}(G)$ and the dimension of the center of $\text{Lie}(H)$ is 0 or 1. Then using [5] and [6], we classify the exceptional non-compact 4-symmetric spaces $(G/H, \sigma)$ that satisfy these conditions under the isomorphism defined in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries.

Let \mathfrak{g}^* and \mathfrak{t}^* be a compact semisimple Lie algebra and a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}^* , respectively. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ denote the complexifications of \mathfrak{g}^* and \mathfrak{t}^* , respectively. Let $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$ denote the root system of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ with respect to $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$, $\Pi(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ the set of fundamental roots of $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^*, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*)$ with respect to a lexicographic order and

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}^* = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^* ; [H, X] = \alpha(H)X \text{ for any } H \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*\}.$$

Since the Killing form B is non-degenerate, we can define $H_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*$ ($\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^*, \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*)$) by $\alpha(H) = B(H_\alpha, H)$ for any $H \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*$. As in Helgason [3], we take the Weyl basis $\{E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha^* ; \alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^*, \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*)\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^*$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] &= H_\alpha, \\ [E_\alpha, E_\beta] &= N_{\alpha,\beta} E_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad N_{\alpha,\beta} \in \mathbb{R}, \\ N_{\alpha,\beta} &= -N_{-\alpha,-\beta}, \\ A_\alpha &= E_\alpha - E_{-\alpha}, \quad B_\alpha = \sqrt{-1}(E_\alpha + E_{-\alpha}) \in \mathfrak{g}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Let Δ^+ denote the set of positive roots of $\Delta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^*, \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*)$ with respect to the order.

As is well-known, a Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{h}^* + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha)$$

is a compact real form of $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^*$. Here $\mathfrak{h}^* = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha$. In particular let

$$\mathfrak{su}_\alpha(2) := \mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}H_\alpha + \mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{su}(2).$$

Let t_α denote the root reflections for $\alpha \in \Delta(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}^*, \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*)$ and \tilde{t}_α an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\tilde{t}_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}^*} = t_\alpha$.

We define $K_j \in \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}^*$ ($j = 1, \dots, l$) by

$$\alpha_i(K_j) = \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, l,$$

and denote the highest root δ by

$$\delta = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j \alpha_j, \quad m_j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

3 The Cartan involution which commute to an automorphism of finite order.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a n -dimensional non-compact simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} . Let θ be a Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g} and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}, \quad \theta|_{\mathfrak{k}} = \text{Id}|_{\mathfrak{k}}, \quad \theta|_{\mathfrak{p}} = -\text{Id}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

the corresponding Cartan decomposition. Let σ be an automorphism of order k on \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $\sigma^k = \text{Id}, \sigma^i \neq \text{Id} (i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1)$. Then $\Gamma = \{\text{Id}, \sigma, \sigma^2, \dots, \sigma^{k-1}\}$ is a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Let $\tilde{\theta}$ be a Cartan involution of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. From 1.1 of [1] any Cartan involutions of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ are induced from $\tilde{\theta}$. For simplicity, these Cartan involutions of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ are also represented by $\tilde{\theta}$. Since $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, we have $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{ad}(\mathfrak{k}) \oplus \text{ad}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Let $L = (\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}))^{\tilde{\theta}} (= \{\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}); \tilde{\theta}(\varphi) = \varphi\})$.

Lemma 3.1 ([1]). (i) L is a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$.

(ii) $\text{Lie}(L) = \text{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$.

(iii) Every compact subgroup in $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is conjugate to a subgroup in L .

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ containing Γ .*

Proof. Since $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and represented by an algebraic equation, $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a reductive algebraic subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Note that $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})) = \text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$. Since \mathfrak{g} is simple, the center of \mathfrak{g} is $\{0\}$, and hence we have $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \mathfrak{g}$.

Now, from Lemma 3.1(iii) Γ is conjugate to some subgroup Γ' of L under $\tau \in \text{Ad}(\exp(\text{ad}(\mathfrak{p})))$, that is, $\Gamma' = \tau\Gamma\tau^{-1}$. From Lemma 3.1(i) $\tau^{-1}L\tau$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ containing Γ . \square

Let \tilde{B} be a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ containing Γ . Then there exists $\tau \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\tilde{B} = \tau^{-1}L\tau$, which together with Lemma 3.1(ii) implies that $\text{Lie}(\tilde{B}) \cong \text{Lie}(L) = \text{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} = \text{Lie}(\tilde{B})$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{b}} = \text{ad}(\mathfrak{b})$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Since $\Gamma \subset \tilde{B}$, we have

$$\sigma\tilde{B}\sigma^{-1} \subset \tilde{B} \cdot \tilde{B} \cdot \tilde{B} = \tilde{B}.$$

In particular, if \tilde{B}_o is the identity component of \tilde{B} , then we have

$$\sigma\tilde{B}_o\sigma^{-1} = \tilde{B}_o.$$

\tilde{B}_o is a closed set in a compact set \tilde{B} so \tilde{B}_o is a compact Lie group.

Lemma 3.3. $\sigma(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}$.

Proof. If $Y \in \mathfrak{b}$, then $\exp t(\text{ad } Y) \in \tilde{B}_o$ and for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\exp(t\sigma(\text{ad } Y)\sigma^{-1}) = \sigma(\exp t(\text{ad } Y))\sigma^{-1} \in \sigma\tilde{B}_o\sigma^{-1} = \tilde{B}_o.$$

It follows that

$$\text{ad}(\sigma(Y)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \exp t(\text{ad}(\sigma(Y))) \in \text{Lie}(\tilde{B}_o) = \tilde{\mathfrak{b}}.$$

Thus we have $\sigma(\mathfrak{b}) \subset \mathfrak{b}$. Therefore $\sigma(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}$. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let \mathfrak{g} be an non-compact simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with an automorphism σ of order k and \mathfrak{g}^σ the fixed point set of σ in \mathfrak{g} . Then*

- (i) *There exists a Cartan involution that commutes with σ .*
- (ii) *If θ_1 and θ_2 are Cartan involutions preserving \mathfrak{g}^σ , then there exists an automorphism τ of \mathfrak{g} preserving \mathfrak{g}^σ such that $\tau\theta_1\tau^{-1} = \theta_2$.*

Proof. (i) Let \mathfrak{b} be constructed above. Since \mathfrak{b} is a maximal compact subalgebra, there is a Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{b}^\perp$$

where \mathfrak{b}^\perp denote the orthogonal complement with respect to the Killing form B . Let ω be the corresponding Cartan involution. From Lemma 3.3 for any $X \in \mathfrak{b}$ there exists $X' \in \mathfrak{b}$ such that $\sigma(X') = X$. So if $Y \in \mathfrak{b}^\perp$, then we have $B(X, \sigma(Y)) = 0$. It follows that $\sigma(\mathfrak{b}^\perp) \subset \mathfrak{b}^\perp$. If $X \in \mathfrak{b}^\perp$, then since $\mathfrak{b}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{g} = \sigma(\mathfrak{g})$, there exists $X' \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X = \sigma(X')$. For any $Y \in \mathfrak{b}$, from Lemma 3.3 we have $\sigma(Y) \in \mathfrak{b}$ so $B(Y, X') = B(\sigma(Y), X) = 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{b}^\perp \subset \sigma(\mathfrak{b}^\perp)$ and therefore $\mathfrak{b}^\perp = \sigma(\mathfrak{b}^\perp)$.

Now, if $X = X_{\mathfrak{b}} + X_{\mathfrak{b}^\perp} \in \mathfrak{g}$ ($X_{\mathfrak{b}} \in \mathfrak{b}, X_{\mathfrak{b}^\perp} \in \mathfrak{b}^\perp$), then

$$\sigma\omega(X_{\mathfrak{b}} + X_{\mathfrak{b}^\perp}) = \sigma(X_{\mathfrak{b}}) - \sigma(X_{\mathfrak{b}^\perp}).$$

Because of $\sigma(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{b}$ and $\sigma(\mathfrak{b}^\perp) = \mathfrak{b}^\perp$, we have

$$\omega\sigma(X_{\mathfrak{b}} + X_{\mathfrak{b}^\perp}) = \sigma(X_{\mathfrak{b}}) - \sigma(X_{\mathfrak{b}^\perp}).$$

Consequently, we have $\sigma\omega = \omega\sigma$.

The statement (ii) is proved in the same way as in the proofs of Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 in [7] as follows. It is shown as in [3] that $\theta_2\theta_1$ is a self-adjoint transformation of \mathfrak{g} with respect to positive definite inner product $B_{\theta_1}(B_{\theta_1}(X, Y) = -B(X, \theta_1(Y))$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$). Since \mathfrak{g}^σ is θ_1 -stable and θ_2 -stable, we can take an orthonormal basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ such that $\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g}^σ and $\theta_2\theta_1$ is represented by a diagonal matrix with respect to this basis. Put $P = (\theta_2\theta_1)^2$ and define $P^t(t \in \mathbb{R})$ as in [3]. Then $P(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma) = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ so $P^t(\mathfrak{g}^\sigma) = \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$. Put $\tau = P^{1/4}$. Then it is easy to see that $\tau\theta_1\tau^{-1} = \theta_2$. Thus, τ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} preserving \mathfrak{g}^σ . \square

4 Compact dual.

Let \mathfrak{g} be a non-compact simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with an automorphism σ of order four. By Proposition 3.4 there exists a Cartan involution θ of \mathfrak{g} such that $\theta\sigma = \sigma\theta$. Let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$$

be the corresponding Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} .

Let \mathfrak{m} be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ in \mathfrak{g} with respect to the Killing form, that is,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}.$$

Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \theta(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}, \theta(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}, \sigma(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{k}, \sigma(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

In fact, Since $\theta\sigma = \sigma\theta$, it is obvious that $\theta(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$, $\sigma(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{k}$ and $\sigma(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$. Similarly as the proof of Lemma 3.3, we obtain $\theta(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 4.1. *The following decompositions are direct:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h} &= (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}), \quad \mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{p}), \\ \mathfrak{k} &= (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{m}), \quad \mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) \oplus (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{m}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $H_{\mathfrak{k}} + H_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{h}$ ($H_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}, H_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$), then we have $H_{\mathfrak{k}} + H_{\mathfrak{p}} = \sigma(H_{\mathfrak{k}}) + \sigma(H_{\mathfrak{p}})$. It follows from (4.1) that $\sigma(H_{\mathfrak{k}}) \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $\sigma(H_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \mathfrak{p}$ so $H_{\mathfrak{k}}, H_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{h}$. Thus we have a direct decomposition

$$\mathfrak{h} = (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}).$$

If $X_{\mathfrak{k}} + X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{m}$ ($X_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}, X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$), then we have $\mathfrak{m} \ni \theta(X_{\mathfrak{k}} + X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = X_{\mathfrak{k}} - X_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Thus we have $2X_{\mathfrak{k}} = (X_{\mathfrak{k}} - X_{\mathfrak{p}}) + (X_{\mathfrak{k}} + X_{\mathfrak{p}}) \in \mathfrak{m}$ so $X_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m} = (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{p}).$$

If $X_{\mathfrak{h}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathfrak{k}$ ($X_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h}$, $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathfrak{m}$), then we have $X_{\mathfrak{h}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}} = \theta(X_{\mathfrak{h}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \theta(X_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \theta(X_{\mathfrak{m}})$. It follows from (4.1) that $\theta(X_{\mathfrak{h}}) = X_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\theta(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) = X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ so $X_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. Therefore we get

$$\mathfrak{k} = (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{k}).$$

Similarly as above, we have $\mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}) \oplus (\mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{p})$. \square

Let \mathfrak{g}^* be the compact dual of \mathfrak{g} , that is,

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^* \quad (\mathfrak{p}^* = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}),$$

and τ an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} such that $\tau\theta = \theta\tau$. Then we have $\tau(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{k}$ and $\tau(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$. Thus we can define the mapping $\tau^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ by $\tau^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \tau(X_{\mathfrak{k}}) + \sqrt{-1}\tau(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$ ($X_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}$, $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$).

Lemma 4.2. *τ^* is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g}^* . If the order of τ is k , then the order of τ^* is k . If $\tau^k \neq \text{Id}$, then $(\tau^*)^k \neq \text{Id}$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of the mapping τ that τ^* preserve the bracket so $\tau^* \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Since $\tau(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$, we have $(\tau^*)^k(X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \tau^k(X_{\mathfrak{k}}) + \sqrt{-1}\tau^k(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Thus the second assertion is trivial. \square

We call the automorphism τ^* of \mathfrak{g}^* defined in Lemma 4.2 *the automorphism of \mathfrak{g}^* induced by τ .*

Lemma 4.3. $\sigma^*\theta^* = \theta^*\sigma^*$.

Proof. Since $\theta(\mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{k}$, $\theta(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$ and (4.1), if $X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ ($X_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}$, $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$), then we have $\sigma^*\theta^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \sigma(X_{\mathfrak{k}}) - \sqrt{-1}\sigma(X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \theta^*\sigma^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}})$. \square

Now, we have a direct decomposition

$$(4.2) \quad (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*} = (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}).$$

Indeed, if $X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}$ ($X_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}$, $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{p}$), then we have $X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}} = \sigma^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \sigma(X_{\mathfrak{k}}) + \sqrt{-1}\sigma(X_{\mathfrak{p}})$. From (4.1) we have $\sigma(X_{\mathfrak{k}}) = X_{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $\sigma(X_{\mathfrak{p}}) = X_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and hence $X_{\mathfrak{k}}, X_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathfrak{h}$. It follows that $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*} \subset (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})$. On the other hand, by the definition of the mapping σ^* it is clear that $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*} \supset (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})$.

Let \mathfrak{g}_i ($i = 1, 2$) be a non-compact simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with an automorphism σ_i of order four and θ_i a Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g}_i such that $\sigma_i\theta_i = \theta_i\sigma_i$. Let \mathfrak{k}_i and \mathfrak{p}_i denote eigenspaces of θ_i for the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 , respectively. Let \mathfrak{g}_1^* and \mathfrak{g}_2^* be the compact dual of $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{k}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2$, respectively, that is, $\mathfrak{g}_1^* = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1^*$ ($\mathfrak{p}_1^* = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_1$), $\mathfrak{g}_2^* = \mathfrak{k}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2^*$ ($\mathfrak{p}_2^* = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_2$). Suppose that there is an isomorphism $\mu : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ satisfying $\mu(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \mathfrak{k}_2$, $\mu(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \mathfrak{p}_2$ and $\mu((\mathfrak{g}_1)^{\sigma_1}) = (\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\sigma_2}$. Then, we can define the mapping $\mu^* : \mathfrak{g}_1^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ by $\mu^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}_1} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_1}) = \mu(X_{\mathfrak{k}_1}) + \sqrt{-1}\mu(X_{\mathfrak{p}_1})$ ($X_{\mathfrak{k}_1} \in \mathfrak{k}_1$, $X_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \in \mathfrak{p}_1$). It is obvious that $\mu^*(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \mathfrak{k}_2$ and $\mu^*(\mathfrak{p}_1^*) = \mathfrak{p}_2^*$. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$(4.3) \quad \mu^*([X_{\mathfrak{k}_1} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, Y_{\mathfrak{k}_1} + \sqrt{-1}Y_{\mathfrak{p}_1}]) = [\mu^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}_1} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_1}), \mu^*(Y_{\mathfrak{k}_1} + \sqrt{-1}Y_{\mathfrak{p}_1})],$$

for $X_{\mathfrak{k}_1}, Y_{\mathfrak{k}_1} \in \mathfrak{k}_1$, $X_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, Y_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \in \mathfrak{p}_1$. Thus μ^* is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{g}_1^* into \mathfrak{g}_2^* . Let σ_i^* ($i = 1, 2$) be the automorphism of \mathfrak{g}_i^* induced by σ_i . From Lemma 4.1 and (4.2) and the definition of the mapping μ we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu^*((\mathfrak{g}_1^*)^{\sigma_1^*}) &= \mu((\mathfrak{g}_1)^{\sigma_1} \cap \mathfrak{k}_1) \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mu((\mathfrak{g}_1)^{\sigma_1} \cap \mathfrak{p}_1) \\ &= ((\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\sigma_2} \cap \mathfrak{k}_2) \oplus \sqrt{-1}((\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\sigma_2} \cap \mathfrak{p}_2) \\ &= (\mathfrak{g}_2^*)^{\sigma_2^*}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Isomorphism

We define the isomorphism between two compact simple Lie algebras with order two and four automorphisms. Let \mathfrak{g}^* be a compact simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with an automorphism σ^* of order four and θ^* an involution of \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\sigma^*\theta^* = \theta^*\sigma^*$. Let \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{p}^* denote eigenspaces of θ^* for the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 , respectively. In this section we denote it by $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*, \theta^*)$ simply.

Two triples $(\mathfrak{g}_1^*, \sigma_1^*, \theta_1^*)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2^*, \sigma_2^*, \theta_2^*)$ are called *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism $\mu^* : \mathfrak{g}_1^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2^*$ satisfying $\mu^*(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \mathfrak{k}_2$, $\mu^*(\mathfrak{p}_1^*) = \mathfrak{p}_2^*$ and $\mu^*((\mathfrak{g}_1^*)^{\sigma_1^*}) = (\mathfrak{g}_2^*)^{\sigma_2^*}$.

Remark 5.1. *As stated in Section 1, for any triple $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*, \theta^*)$ the automorphism $(\sigma^*)^{-1}$ leaves $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}$ invariant. Thus $(\mathfrak{g}^*, (\sigma^*)^{-1}, \theta^*)$ will be identified with $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*, \theta^*)$.*

Suppose that the triple $(\mathfrak{g}_1^*, \sigma_1^*, \theta_1^*)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{g}_2^*, \sigma_2^*, \theta_2^*)$ and the corresponding isomorphism is μ^* .

Let \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 be the non-compact duals of $\mathfrak{g}_1^* = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}_2^* = \mathfrak{k}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2^*$, respectively, that is, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{k}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_1$ ($\mathfrak{p}_1 = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_1^*$), $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{k}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_2$ ($\mathfrak{p}_2 = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_2^*$). Since $\mu^*(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \mathfrak{k}_2$ and $\mu^*(\mathfrak{p}_1^*) = \mathfrak{p}_2^*$, we can define the mapping $\mu : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ by $\mu(X_{\mathfrak{k}_1} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_1^*}) = \mu^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}_1}) + \sqrt{-1}\mu^*(X_{\mathfrak{p}_1^*})$ ($X_{\mathfrak{k}_1} \in \mathfrak{k}_1, X_{\mathfrak{p}_1^*} \in \mathfrak{p}_1^*$). Let σ_i ($i = 1, 2$) be the automorphism of \mathfrak{g}_i induced by σ_i^* . Then by an argument similar to that in Section 4 μ is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{g}_1 into \mathfrak{g}_2 such that $\mu(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \mathfrak{k}_2$, $\mu(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \mathfrak{p}_2$ and $\mu((\mathfrak{g}_1)^{\sigma_1}) = (\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\sigma_2}$.

The following Lemma is a compact version of Lemma 4.2. The proof is also similar.

Lemma 5.2. *Let \mathfrak{g}^* be a compact simple Lie algebra, θ^* an involution of \mathfrak{g}^* and $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}^*$ the corresponding direct decomposition of \mathfrak{g}^* . Let \mathfrak{g} denote the non-compact dual of \mathfrak{g}^* . Then for each automorphism τ^* of \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\tau^*\theta^* = \theta^*\tau^*$, the mapping $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by $\tau(X_{\mathfrak{k}} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}^*}) = \tau^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}}) + \sqrt{-1}\tau^*(X_{\mathfrak{p}^*})$ ($X_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}, X_{\mathfrak{p}^*} \in \mathfrak{p}^*$) is an automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . If the order of τ^* is k , then the order of τ is k . If $(\tau^*)^k \neq \text{Id}$, then $\tau^k \neq \text{Id}$.*

We also call the automorphism τ of \mathfrak{g} defined in above Lemma *the automorphism of \mathfrak{g} induced by τ^** .

From Lemma 5.2 σ_i^* and θ_i^* ($i = 1, 2$) induce $\sigma_i, \theta_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_i)$, which satisfy $(\sigma_i)^4 = \text{Id} = (\theta_i)^2$.

Lemma 5.3. $\theta_2 = \mu\theta_1\mu^{-1}$, $\theta_i\sigma_i = \sigma_i\theta_i$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Proof. If we take $X_{\mathfrak{k}_i} \in \mathfrak{k}_i$ and $X_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*} \in \mathfrak{p}_i^*$ ($i = 1, 2$), then from (4.1) we find $\sigma^*(X_{\mathfrak{k}_i}) \in \mathfrak{k}_i$ and $\sigma^*(X_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}) \in \mathfrak{p}_i^*$. Thus it is easy to see that $\theta_i\sigma_i(X_{\mathfrak{k}_i} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*}) = \sigma_i\theta_i(X_{\mathfrak{k}_i} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_i^*})$. Since $\mu(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \mathfrak{k}_2$ and $\mu(\mathfrak{p}_1^*) = \mathfrak{p}_2^*$, we see that $\mu\theta_1\mu^{-1}(X_{\mathfrak{k}_2} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_2^*}) = X_{\mathfrak{k}_2} - \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_2^*} = \theta_2(X_{\mathfrak{k}_2} + \sqrt{-1}X_{\mathfrak{p}_2^*})$. \square

Let \mathfrak{g} be a non-compact simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with an automorphism σ of order four and θ a Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g} such that $\sigma\theta = \theta\sigma$. Let \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{p} denote eigenspaces of θ for the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 , respectively. In this section we denote it by $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \theta)$ simply.

From the above consideration, two triples $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)$ are called *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism $\mu : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ satisfying $\mu(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \mathfrak{k}_2$, $\mu(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \mathfrak{p}_2$ and $\mu((\mathfrak{g}_1)^{\sigma_1}) = (\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\sigma_2}$. The set of all isomorphisms $\mu : (\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)$ is denoted by $\text{Isom}\{(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1), (\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)\}$.

Remark 5.4. *By an argument similar to Remark 5.1 $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma^{-1}, \theta)$ will be identified with $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \theta)$.*

From Lemma 5.2, Lemma 5.3 and the argument as above we have following.

Lemma 5.5. *If $(\mathfrak{g}_1^*, \sigma_1^*, \theta_1^*)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{g}_2^*, \sigma_2^*, \theta_2^*)$, then the non-compact duals of \mathfrak{g}_1^* and \mathfrak{g}_2^* , denoted as \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 , generate non-compact triples $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)$ and these triples are isomorphic.*

Conversely, if two non-compact triples $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)$ are isomorphic, then using a similar argument to the one used to derive (4.3), (4.4), Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, we can construct two compact triples $(\mathfrak{g}_1^*, \sigma_1^*, \theta_1^*)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2^*, \sigma_2^*, \theta_2^*)$ which are isomorphic, i.e., the following holds.

Lemma 5.6. *If $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)$, then the compact duals of \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 , denoted as \mathfrak{g}_1^* and \mathfrak{g}_2^* , generate compact triples $(\mathfrak{g}_1^*, \sigma_1^*, \theta_1^*)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_2^*, \sigma_2^*, \theta_2^*)$ and these triples are isomorphic.*

Remark 5.7. *If a compact triple $(\mathfrak{g}_1^*, \sigma_1^*, \theta_1^*)$ is not isomorphic to a compact triple $(\mathfrak{g}_2^*, \sigma_2^*, \theta_2^*)$, then by Lemma 5.6 the non-compact triple $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1)$ is not isomorphic to the non-compact triple $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)$. Suppose that the non-compact 4-symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1)$ is isomorphic to the non-compact 4-symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2)$. Put $\mathfrak{h}_1 = (\mathfrak{g}_1)^{\sigma_1}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_2 = (\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\sigma_2}$. Then there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ such that $\varphi(\mathfrak{h}_1) = \mathfrak{h}_2$. Since $(\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\varphi\sigma_1\varphi^{-1}} = \mathfrak{h}_2$, and Cartan involutions $\varphi\theta_1\varphi^{-1}$ and θ_2 preserve \mathfrak{h}_2 , it follows from Proposition 3.4 (ii) that there exists $\tau \in \text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}_2}(\mathfrak{g}_2)$ such that $\tau(\varphi\theta_1\varphi^{-1})\tau^{-1} = \theta_2$. It is obvious that*

$$(\tau\varphi)(\mathfrak{h}_1) = \mathfrak{h}_2, \quad (\tau\varphi)((\mathfrak{g}_1)^{\theta_1}) = (\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\varphi\theta_1\varphi^{-1}} = (\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\tau(\varphi\theta_1\varphi^{-1})\tau^{-1}} = (\mathfrak{g}_2)^{\theta_2}.$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1, \theta_1)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2, \theta_2)$, which is contradiction. Consequently if a compact triple $(\mathfrak{g}_1^, \sigma_1^*, \theta_1^*)$ is not isomorphic to a compact triple $(\mathfrak{g}_2^*, \sigma_2^*, \theta_2^*)$, then the non-compact 4-symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}_1, \sigma_1)$ is not isomorphic to the non-compact 4-symmetric pair $(\mathfrak{g}_2, \sigma_2)$.*

6 Classification

Let \mathfrak{g} be a non-compact simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} with an automorphism σ of order four. Let \mathfrak{h} denote the fixed point set of σ in \mathfrak{g} . Suppose that $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In this section we classify triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \theta)$ under the isomorphism defined by Section 5 in the case where $\dim(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h})) \leq 1$.

Owing to Proposition 3.4, there exists a Cartan involution θ such that $\theta\sigma = \sigma\theta$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the corresponding Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{g}^* denote the compact dual of \mathfrak{g} and σ^* the automorphism of \mathfrak{g}^* induced by σ . Let \mathfrak{h}^* denote the compact dual of \mathfrak{h} . Then by (4.2) we have $\mathfrak{h}^* = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}^* &= \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h}^* \\ &= ((\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})) \oplus (\sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})) \\ &= ((\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})) \oplus \sqrt{-1}((\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})) \\ &= \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{h} \\ &= \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathfrak{t}^* be a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{h}^* . Since $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the dimension of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is equal to the dimension of the maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}^* . Suppose that there exists a maximal abelian subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$ of \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\mathfrak{t}^* \subsetneq \tilde{\mathfrak{t}}$. If σ is an outer automorphism, then the dimension of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is less than the dimension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{t}}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a contradiction (cf. Theorem 5.15 of Chapter X of [3]). Thus \mathfrak{t}^* is a maximal abelian subalgebra

of \mathfrak{g}^* , so $\mathfrak{t}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}^* \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$. Therefore there exists $T \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ such that $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp T)$ (cf. Proposition 5.3 of [3]).

Let $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ be the set of inner automorphisms of \mathfrak{g}^* . Since the dimension of the center of \mathfrak{h}^* is 0 or 1, $\sigma^* \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.2 of [5], σ^* is conjugate within $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ to one of the following σ_0^* :

$$\sigma_0^* = \text{Ad}(\exp \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{-1} K_i) (m_i = 3, 4) \text{ or } \text{Ad}(\exp \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{-1} (K_i + K_j)) (m_i = m_j = 2),$$

where K_i and m_i is defined in Section 2, meaning that there exists $\tau_0^* \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that $\tau_0^* \sigma^* (\tau_0^*)^{-1} = \sigma_0^*$. Thus the triple $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*, \theta^*)$ is isomorphic to the triple $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma_0^*, \tau_0^* \theta^* (\tau_0^*)^{-1})$. Let $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ be the set of automorphisms of \mathfrak{g}^* preserving \mathfrak{h}^* and let $\mathfrak{h}_0 := (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma_0^*}$. According to [5] and [6], $\theta_1^* := \tau_0^* \theta^* (\tau_0^*)^{-1}$ is conjugate within $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}_0^*}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ to $\tilde{\theta}^*$, which is listed in [5] and [6], i.e., there exists $\tau_1^* \in \text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}_0^*}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that $\tilde{\theta}^* = \tau_1^* \theta_1^* (\tau_1^*)^{-1}$. By the definition of $\tilde{\theta}^*$ we have $\tau_1^* ((\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\theta_1^*}) = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\tilde{\theta}^*}$. Thus the triple $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma_0^*, \theta_1^*)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma_0^*, \tilde{\theta}^*)$, so the triple $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*, \theta^*)$ is isomorphic to the triple $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma_0^*, \tilde{\theta}^*)$. Therefore, all non-compact triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma, \theta)$ are isomorphic to one of the non-compact duals of the compact triples $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma_0^*, \tilde{\theta}^*)$ classified in [5] and [6].

We suppose that \mathfrak{g}^* is of type \mathfrak{e}_7 . From what has been mentioned above, it suffices to consider the involution θ^* of \mathfrak{e}_7 that commute with each order four automorphism σ^* of \mathfrak{e}_7 . Let \mathfrak{t}^* be a maximal abelian subalgebra of the fixed point set $\mathfrak{h}^* := (\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\sigma^*}$ and let \mathfrak{k} denote the fixed point set of θ^* .

First, we assume that $\theta^*|_{\mathfrak{t}^*} = \text{Id}$. Then by Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.2 of [5] we have $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2) \sqrt{-1} K)$, where

$$K = K_4, K_3, K_5, K_1 + K_2, K_1 + K_6.$$

It follows from the lists of [5] and [6] that θ^* is conjugate within $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ to one of automorphisms listed in Table I.

Next, we assume that $\theta^*|_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \neq \text{Id}$. Then by Theorem 10.1 of [5] and Theorem 8.1 of [6] we have $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2) \sqrt{-1} K)$, where

$$K = K_4, K_1 + K_6.$$

Define $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ by

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(E_{\alpha_1}) &= E_{\alpha_6}, & \varphi(E_{\alpha_2}) &= E_{\alpha_2}, & \varphi(E_{\alpha_3}) &= E_{\alpha_5}, & \varphi(E_{\alpha_4}) &= E_{\alpha_4}, \\ \varphi(E_{\alpha_5}) &= E_{\alpha_3}, & \varphi(E_{\alpha_6}) &= E_{\alpha_1}, & \varphi(E_{\alpha_7}) &= E_{\alpha_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{E_{\alpha_0}, \dots, E_{\alpha_7}\}$ is the Weyl basis of \mathfrak{e}_7 . Then, θ^* is conjugate within $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\mathfrak{e}_7)$ to one of automorphisms listed in Table I (cf. [5], [6]).

Table I: $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{e}_7$

$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), \quad K = K_4$		
$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$
K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
K_4	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$K_1 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$K_1 + K_2 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$K_2 + K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$K_3 + K_4 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$

$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, \quad K = K_3$		
$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$
K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_3	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_4	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_5	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_3 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_3 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$

$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}, \quad K = K_5$		
$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$
K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_3	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_5	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_6	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_3 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_3 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$

Table continued

Table I (continued)

$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, \quad K = K_1 + K_2$		
$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$
K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_5	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_6	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_2 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_2 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_2 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_2 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$

$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, \quad K = K_1 + K_6$		
$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$
K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$
K_3	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$
K_4	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(4)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$
$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$
$K_1 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_2 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(2) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$
$K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$
$K_4 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(4)) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(2) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$K_1 + K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$

$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), \quad K = K_4$		
θ^*	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$
φ	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$
$\varphi \circ \tau_{K_2}$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$\varphi \circ \tau_{K_4}$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$

$\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, \quad K = K_1 + K_6$		
θ^*	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$
φ	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(7) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$\varphi \circ \tau_{K_2}$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(5) + \mathfrak{so}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$

φ is the same involution as in (6.1) and $\tau_h = \text{Ad}(\exp \pi \sqrt{-1}h)$.

In the case where $\theta^*|_{\mathfrak{t}^*} = \text{Id}$, $K = K_4$ and $h = K_1$, since $\mathfrak{k} \cong \mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$, the non-compact dual of \mathfrak{e}_7 is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-5)}$ (cf. Table V of Chapter X of [3]). Let σ be the automorphism of $\mathfrak{e}_{7(-5)}$ induced by σ^* and $\mathfrak{h} := (\mathfrak{e}_{7(-5)})^\sigma$. Since

$$\mathfrak{h}^* \cong \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), \quad \mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k} \cong \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2),$$

Lemma 4.1 and (4.2), \mathfrak{h} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$.

Similarly as above, from Section 5 we can determine \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{h} := \mathfrak{g}^\sigma$ up to isomorphism for all cases. We must be remark the case where there exists a center $\mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}K$. In this case, we can check the center $\mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}K$ is contained in $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$. Thus, similarly as above, for all h , we can determine \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} up to isomorphism listed in Table III and IV. For example, if $K = K_3$ and $h = K_1$, then we have

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{e}_{7(-5)}, \quad \mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

In the case where $\theta^*|_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \neq \text{Id}$, if $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}K_4)$ and $\theta^* \cong \varphi$, then similarly as in $\theta^*|_{\mathfrak{t}^*} = \text{Id}$. If $K = K_1 + K_6$ and $\theta^* \cong \varphi$, then there exists the center $\mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}(K_1 - K_6)$. Since

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k} &= \text{span}\{K_1 + K_6 - 2K_7, K_2 - K_7, K_3 + K_5 - 3K_7, K_4 - 2K_7\}, \\ \mathfrak{p}^* &= \text{span}\{K_1 - K_6, K_3 - K_5, K_7\}, \end{aligned}$$

the center $\mathbb{R}\sqrt{-1}(K_1 - K_6)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{p}^*$. Thus $\mathfrak{h} \cong \mathfrak{so}(7, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 6.1. In the case of $\sigma^ = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_2))$, if $\mathfrak{k}_1 = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_6)}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_2 = (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6))}$, then $\mathfrak{k}_1^* \cong A_1 \oplus D_6 \cong \mathfrak{k}_2^*$. However, $\text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_6)$ is not conjugate within $\text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ to $\text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6))$. In fact, \mathfrak{k}_1 and \mathfrak{k}_2 can be written as the direct decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{k}_1 &= \mathfrak{t} \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta^+ \\ \alpha(K_6)=0,2}} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha), \\ \mathfrak{k}_2 &= \mathfrak{t} \oplus \sum_{\substack{\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^7 n_i \alpha_i \in \Delta^+ \\ (n_1, n_6) = (0,0), (0,2), (1,1), (2,2)}} (\mathbb{R}A_\alpha + \mathbb{R}B_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Let $\Delta_{\mathfrak{k}_i} = \{\alpha \in \Delta^+(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}^, \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*); A_\alpha, B_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}_i\} (i = 1, 2)$. If $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}_1}^*$ and $\alpha(K_6) = 0$, then $\alpha_7 \pm \alpha \notin \Delta$. If $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}_1}^*$ and $\alpha(K_6) = 2$, then the coefficients of α_6 and α_7 of α are 2 and 1, respectively, so $\alpha_7 \pm \alpha \notin \Delta$. Therefore for \mathfrak{k}_1 we have*

$$(6.3) \quad A_1 = \mathfrak{su}_{\alpha_7}(2) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^7 n_i \alpha_i \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}_2}$ and $(n_1, n_6) = (0, 2)$, then $\alpha = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7$. If $\beta = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7 \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}_2}^$, then since there are no roots $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^7 m_i \alpha_i$ such that $(m_1, m_6) = (1, 3), (2, 4)$, we have $\beta \pm \gamma \notin \Delta$ where $\gamma = \sum_{i=1}^7 n_i \alpha_i$ ($(n_1, n_6) = (1, 1)$ or $(2, 2)$). Consequently, for any $\alpha \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{k}_2}$, we have $\beta \pm \alpha \notin \Delta$, so*

$$(6.4) \quad A_1 = \mathfrak{su}_\beta(2) \not\subset \mathfrak{h}^*.$$

Suppose that $\text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_6)$ is conjugate to $\text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6))$. Then there exists $\mu^ \in \text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that*

$$\mu^*(\text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_6))(\mu^*)^{-1} = \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6))$$

This μ^* satisfies $\mu^*(\mathfrak{k}_1) = \mathfrak{k}_2$. Thus $\mu^*(\mathfrak{su}_{\alpha_7}(2)) = \mathfrak{su}_{\beta}(2)$, which is contradicts (6.3) and (6.4), that is, μ^* do not preserve \mathfrak{h}^* .

We put $\beta := \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6$. Then it is easy to see that $\tau := t_{\beta} \circ t_{\alpha_6} \in \text{Aut}_{\mathfrak{h}^*}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$,

$$\tau(\text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6)))\tau^{-1} = \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_6)$$

and

$$\tau(\text{Ad}(\exp \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_2)))\tau^{-1} = \text{Ad}(\exp \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{-1}(2K_1 + K_2 + 3K_5 + K_7)).$$

Therefore two triples

$$(\mathfrak{e}_7, \text{Ad}(\exp \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_2)), \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6)))$$

and

$$(\mathfrak{e}_7, \text{Ad}(\exp \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{-1}(2K_1 + K_2 + 3K_5 + K_7)), \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_6))$$

are isomorphic.

In the same way as above, for which \mathfrak{g}^* is of all types we can determine \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} up to isomorphism, which are listed in Table II–IV.

Remark 6.2. There are three additional sets of non-isomorphic triples $(\mathfrak{g}^*, \sigma^*, \theta^*)$ where $\mathfrak{g}^*, (\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\sigma^*}$ and $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\theta^*}$ are equal, similar to those shown in Remark 6.1 (see Lemma 7.1 of [6]). These have the following isomorphism.

The triple $(\mathfrak{e}_7, \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6)), \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6)))$ becomes isomorphic to the triple $(\mathfrak{e}_7, \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}(3K_1 + 2K_6)), \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_1))$ using the root reflection $t_{\beta}t_{\alpha_1}$ where $\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5$.

The triple $(\mathfrak{e}_8, \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_8)), \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_8)))$ becomes isomorphic to the triple $(\mathfrak{e}_8, \text{Ad}(\exp(3\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}K_8), \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_8))$ using the root reflection $t_{\beta}t_{\alpha_8}$ where $\beta = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + 2\alpha_7 + \alpha_8$.

The triple $(\mathfrak{f}_4, \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_4)), \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_4)))$ becomes isomorphic to the triple $(\mathfrak{f}_4, \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + 2K_4)), \text{Ad}(\exp \pi\sqrt{-1}K_1))$ using the root reflection $t_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3}$.

Consequently, noting Remark 5.7, we obtain the following classification theorem.

Theorem 6.3. Let $(G/H, \sigma)$ be a 4-symmetric space such that G is a non-compact simple Lie group of the exceptional type with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and \mathfrak{h} denote the Lie algebra of H with the center \mathfrak{z} . Suppose that $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 0$ or 1 and $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$ contains a Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the following Table II–IV gives the complete list of \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{h} , $\mathfrak{k}(=\mathfrak{g}^{\theta})$ and $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ of the possibilities up to isomorphism.

Table II: $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 0$, $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}K)$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^\theta$

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K)$	$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_4)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), K_4)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_4)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), K_4)$	$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_4)$	$K_1 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{so}^*(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_4)$	$K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), K_4)$	$K_1 + K_2 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}^*(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(6) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), K_4)$	$K_2 + K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{so}^*(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_4)$	$K_3 + K_4 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{su}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), K_3)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{su}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{su}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_3)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{su}^*(8) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_3)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{su}(4, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_3)$	K_6	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(4)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{su}^*(8) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_3)$	$K_3 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{su}(4, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_3)$	$K_3 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(4)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{su}(6, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_3)$	$K_1 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(6) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{su}(6, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2), K_3)$	$K_1 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(6) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}(8, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6), K_6)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(8) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}(6, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6), K_6)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(6) + \mathfrak{so}(4)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6), K_6)$	K_6	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4, 2), K_6)$	K_8	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2))$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}(8, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4, 2), K_6)$	$K_1 + K_8$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(8) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2))$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}^*(10) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(6), K_6)$	$K_2 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(3)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}(6, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4, 2), K_6)$	$K_3 + K_8$	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(6) + \mathfrak{so}(4)) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2))$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}^*(10) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(6), K_6)$	$K_2 + K_6 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{u}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(3)$

Table continued

Table II (continued)

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K)$	$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3), K_3)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(-20)}, \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3), K_3)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{so}(9)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(-20)}, \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2, 1), K_3)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{so}(9)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2, 1), K_3)$	$K_1 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K)$	θ	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}, \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}^*(2), K_4)$	φ	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}, \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), K_4)$	$\varphi \circ \tau_{K_2}$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}, \mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}^*(2), K_4)$	$\varphi \circ \tau_{K_4}$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$
$\varphi : E_{\alpha_1} \mapsto E_{\alpha_6}, E_{\alpha_2} \mapsto E_{\alpha_2}, E_{\alpha_3} \mapsto E_{\alpha_5}, E_{\alpha_4} \mapsto E_{\alpha_4}, E_{\alpha_7} \mapsto E_{\alpha_0}, \tau_h = \text{Ad}(\exp \pi \sqrt{-1}h).$			

Table III: $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 1$, $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}K)$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^\theta$

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K)$	$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(-14), \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	K_5	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(-14), \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	$K_1 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	$K_2 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	$K_1 + K_2 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(-14), \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	$K_1 + K_4 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(5, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(3, 3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	K_5	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{su}(5, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{su}(5, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	$K_1 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(3, 3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	$K_1 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	$K_3 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{su}(3, 3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3)$	$K_3 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$

Table continued

Table III (continued)

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K')$	$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(4, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(3, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	K_5	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	K_6	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(4, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	$K_1 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(4, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	$K_1 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{su}(4, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	$K_1 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{su}(3, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	$K_3 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(3, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	$K_3 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(3, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_5)$	$K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{su}(7, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(7) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{su}(8) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{su}(8) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{su}(6, 2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(6) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{su}(5, 3) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{su}(4, 4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_5	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(4)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{su}(7, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_8	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(7) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{su}(6, 2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	$K_2 + K_3$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(6) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{su}(5, 3) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	$K_2 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$

Table continued

Table III (continued)

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K)$	$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{e}_6(-14) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_7)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(10) + \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{e}_6(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_7)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$(\mathfrak{su}(6) + \mathfrak{su}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_7)$	K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_7)$	K_8	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{e}_6(-14) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_7)$	$K_1 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(10) + \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{e}_6(-14) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_7)$	$K_1 + K_8$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(10) + \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{e}_6(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_7)$	$K_2 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{su}(6) + \mathfrak{su}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{e}_6(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_7)$	$K_2 + K_8$	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$(\mathfrak{su}(6) + \mathfrak{su}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(-20)}, \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{so}(9)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	$K_1 + K_3$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_2)$	$K_2 + K_4$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{g}_{2(2)}, \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{g}_{2(2)}, \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K)$	θ	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(-26), \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	ψ	\mathfrak{f}_4	$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(6)}, \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	$\psi \circ \tau_{K_2}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(4)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(6)}, \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_4)$	$\psi \circ \tau_{K_4}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(4)$	$\mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$\psi : E_{\alpha_1} \mapsto E_{\alpha_6}, E_{\alpha_2} \mapsto E_{\alpha_2}, E_{\alpha_3} \mapsto E_{\alpha_5}, E_{\alpha_4} \mapsto E_{\alpha_4}$			

Table IV: $\dim \mathfrak{z} = 1$, $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}K)$ and $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{g}^\theta$

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K)$	$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(-14), \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3 + K_6)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3 + K_6)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3 + K_6)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{so}(5, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3 + K_6)$	$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(-14), \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2, 2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3 + K_6)$	$K_1 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(2) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(-14), \mathfrak{so}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3 + K_6)$	$K_2 + K_3$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(-14), \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3 + K_6)$	$K_3 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{so}(10) \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6(2), \mathfrak{so}(5, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_3 + K_6)$	$K_1 + K_2 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(3, 3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	K_5	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	K_6	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{su}(5, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{su}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, 2K_1 + K_2 + 3K_5 + K_7)$	K_6	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(3, 3) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	$K_2 + K_5$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(3) + \mathfrak{u}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	$K_2 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{su}(5, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	$K_2 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(5) + \mathfrak{u}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{su}(4, 2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_2)$	$K_1 + K_2 + K_6$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{s}(\mathfrak{u}(4) + \mathfrak{u}(2)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$

Table continued

Table IV (continued)

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K')$	$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{so}^*(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{u}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}^*(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}(4, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(4)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2, 2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	K_7	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(2) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{so}^*(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{u}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, 3K_1 + 2K_6)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-5), \mathfrak{so}^*(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2, 2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	$K_2 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(4) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(2) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{so}^*(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	$K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{u}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(7), \mathfrak{so}(4, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2, 2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	$K_4 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(4) + \mathfrak{so}(4)) \oplus (\mathfrak{so}(2) + \mathfrak{so}(2)) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_7(-25), \mathfrak{so}^*(8) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	$K_1 + K_3 + K_7$	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{u}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}^*(12) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{u}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}^*(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}(8, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(8) + \mathfrak{so}(4)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}(6, 6) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	K_5	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(6) + \mathfrak{so}(6)) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	K_8	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}^*(12) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	$K_1 + K_2$	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}^*(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	$K_1 + K_3$	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$\mathfrak{u}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(-24), \mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, 3K_8)$	K_8	$\mathfrak{e}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{so}(12) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_8(8), \mathfrak{so}(8, 4) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_8)$	$K_4 + K_8$	$\mathfrak{so}(16)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(8) + \mathfrak{so}(4)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$

Table continued

Table IV (continued)

$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K')$	$h(\theta^* = \tau_h)$	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_4)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{sp}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_4)$	K_2	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{u}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(-20)}, \mathfrak{sp}(1, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_4)$	K_3	$\mathfrak{so}(9)$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(1) + \mathfrak{sp}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_4)$	K_4	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{sp}(1, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_4)$	$K_1 + K_3$	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$(\mathfrak{sp}(1) + \mathfrak{sp}(1)) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)}, \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + 2K_4)$	K_1	$\mathfrak{sp}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$	$\mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, K')$	θ	\mathfrak{k}	$\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}, \mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3, 1), K_3 + K_5)$	ψ	\mathfrak{f}_4	$\mathfrak{so}(6) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(6)}, \mathfrak{so}(3, 3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}^*(4), K_3 + K_5)$	$\psi \circ \tau_{K_2}$	$\mathfrak{sp}(4)$	$(\mathfrak{so}(3) + \mathfrak{so}(3)) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{7(-25)}, \mathfrak{so}(7, 1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	φ	$\mathfrak{e}_6 \oplus \mathbb{R}$	$\mathfrak{so}(7) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{7(7)}, \mathfrak{so}(5, 3) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3, 1) \oplus \mathbb{R}, K_1 + K_6)$	$\varphi \circ \tau_{K_2}$	$\mathfrak{su}(8)$	$\mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)$

φ and ψ are same involutions as in Table I and Table III.

Remark 6.4. $K \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ can be uniquely written as

$$K = K_{\mathfrak{k}} + K_{\mathfrak{p}^*}, \quad K_{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathfrak{k}, K_{\mathfrak{p}^*} \in \mathfrak{p}^*.$$

Thus $K_{\mathfrak{p}^*} = 0$ if and only if $K \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}^*$. Therefore, if $K \in \mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$, then $\sigma^* \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. In the case of $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{e}_7$ and $\theta^* \cong \varphi$, \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{p}^* is given by (6.2). Thus $K_4 = (K_4 - 2K_7) + 2K_7$, $K_1 + K_6 = (K_1 + K_6 - 2K_7) + 2K_7$ are not elements in $\mathfrak{h}^* \cap \mathfrak{k}$, so the automorphisms which induced by $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}K_4)$ and $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}(K_1 + K_6))$ are not inner. Similarly as above we can check that all the other $\sqrt{-1}K$ in Table II–V are the elements in $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$.

Consequently, except for above examples in the case where $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{e}_7$ and $\theta|_{\mathfrak{t}^*} \neq \text{Id}$, all automorphisms σ^* of order four of \mathfrak{g}^* can be written as $\sigma^* = \text{Ad}(\exp(\pi/2)\sqrt{-1}K)$ for some $K \in \mathfrak{k}$. Therefore σ^* is an inner automorphism of \mathfrak{g} .

Acknowledgments. The authors are deeply grateful to the anonymous referee of this paper for very careful reading and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Ann. of Math. **75** (1962), 485-535.
- [2] A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with geodesic symmetries of order 3*, J. Differential Geom. **7** (1972), 343-369.
- [3] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York London, 1978.
- [4] J. A. Jiménez, *Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **306** (1988), 715-734.
- [5] H. Kurihara and K. Tojo, *Involutions of compact Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces*, Osaka J. Math. **45** (2008), 643-689.
- [6] H. Kurihara and K. Tojo, *Involutions of a compact 4-symmetric space of exceptional type*, Osaka J. Math. **52** (2015), 1101-1124.
- [7] T. Matsuki, *The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), 331-357.
- [8] J. A. Wolf and A. Gray, *Homogeneous spaces defined by Lie group automorphisms I,II*, J. Differential Geom. **2** (1968), 77-114, 115-159.

Department of Mathematics,
Ibaraki University
Bunkyo, Mito, 310-8512
Japan
E-mail address : hiroyuki.kurihara.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics
Chiba Institute of Technology
Shibazono, Narashino, Chiba 275-0023
Japan
E-mail address : tojo.koji@it-chiba.ac.jp