

SPECTRAL BOUNDS FOR NON-SMOOTH PERTURBATIONS OF THE LANDAU HAMILTONIAN

JACQUES BARBE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study spectral estimates for perturbations of the Landau Hamiltonian by a pseudo-differential operator with non-smooth Weyl symbol in a modulation space. We obtain an upper bound for the counting function of the eigenvalues in a spectral gap.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Perturbed Landau Hamiltonian. We recall first some classical results. Let H_0 be the self-adjoint realization in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ of the second order partial differential operator

$$\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}y\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2}x\right)^2$$

initially defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$; this operator is usually called Landau Hamiltonian. The spectrum of H_0 consists of eigenvalues $\Lambda_q := 2q + 1$ of infinite multiplicity, the Landau levels (see 4.1).

Let $V = \text{Op}^w(b)$ be a bounded selfadjoint pseudo-differential operator (Ψ DO). Under the general assumption that VH_0^{-1} is a compact operator, the Kato-Rellich theorem and the Weyl perturbation theorem imply that the operator $H_0 - V$ is selfadjoint and that

$$\sigma_{ess}(H_0 - V) = \sigma_{ess}(H_0) = \sigma(H_0).$$

Hence the discrete spectrum of $H_0 - V$ consists of eigenvalues of finite multiplicity; these eigenvalues can accumulate only to the Landau levels.

Suppose furthermore that the operator V is non-negative. Fix $q \geq 1$ and let E' be a fixed real number in the gap $(\Lambda_{q-1}, \Lambda_q)$ and E a positive real number such that $\Lambda_{q-1} < E' < \Lambda_q - E < \Lambda_q$. Denote by $N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V)$ the number of eigenvalues of the perturbed operator $H_0 - V$ lying in the open interval $(E', \Lambda_q - E)$; for brevity we set

$$N_q(E) := N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V). \tag{1.1}$$

Similarly for $q = 0$, we put $N_0(E) = N(-\infty, \Lambda_0 - E; H_0 - V)$. The behaviour of the function N_q has been extensively studied under various assumptions on the perturbation V . The most precise results have been obtained when V is a local smooth

Date: 26/10/2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47G30; 35P15.

Key words and phrases. Landau Hamiltonian, Weyl quantization, non-smooth symbols, modulation spaces, Fourier-Wigner transform.

potential with derivatives decaying polynomially or exponentially (see [13],[15]). Recently one of the main results proved in [4] concerns the case where the Weyl symbol of V is smooth and belongs to a Hörmander-Shubin class $\Gamma_\rho^m(\mathbf{R}^4)$ of negative order. The authors obtain Weyl's law type asymptotics when $E \downarrow 0^+$.

1.2. Main results. The aim of this paper is to investigate the behaviour of the function N_q defined in (1.1) when the perturbation V is a bounded Ψ DO. In particular we are interested in perturbation by an integral operator whose kernel is square-integrable. It is then convenient to consider the scale of Sobolev-Shubin classes $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^4)$ (see 2.5) for $s \geq 0$ as spaces of symbols or kernels. These classes are particular cases of weighted modulation spaces.

Denote by \mathbb{P}_q , $q \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, the spectral projection of H_0 corresponding to the eigenvalue Λ_q . A common feature of the papers cited above is the important fact that the spectral properties of the eigenvalues of $H_0 - V$ accumulating near the Landau level Λ_q is governed (in a sense which will be stated more precisely, see section 5) by the compact operator $\mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$; this operator is called the effective Hamiltonian associated with the Landau level Λ_q .

Assume V is a Ψ DO with Weyl symbol $b \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$. Evidently $\mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. But this property is not sharp. Our first result proves that, under additional assumptions on the symbol of V , the effective Hamiltonian belongs to a Schatten class \mathbf{S}_p with $p \leq 2$. Let us denote by $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$ the L^2 -space with weight $w_s(z, w) = (1 + |z|^2 + |w|^2)^{s/2}$ and by \mathcal{F}_2 the partial Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ (see precise definition in subsection 1.4).

Theorem 1.1. *Let $b \in L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$ ($s \geq 0$). Suppose furthermore that $\mathcal{F}_2 b \in L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$. Then the effective Hamiltonian $\mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$ belongs to the Schatten class $\mathbf{S}_p(L^2(\mathbf{R}^2))$ for $p > 2/(s + 1)$. In particular it is a trace-class operator for $s > 1$.*

The second result concerns an upper bound for the counting function N_q defined in (1.1).

Theorem 1.2. *We suppose that b satisfies the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.1 and that furthermore $V \geq 0$. Then*

$$N_q(E) = O(E^{-\frac{2}{s+1}}) \quad (E \downarrow 0^+). \quad (1.2)$$

For these two results we use earlier results concerning eigenvalues of Ψ DO with symbol in Sobolev-Shubin classes (see [11]).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the backgrounds of phase space analysis. We list here some important properties of the Fourier-Wigner and Wigner transforms. Section 3 is devoted to some results of phase space analysis needed in the following section. In Section 4 we apply the results proved in Section 3 to the Landau Hamiltonian and its perturbations. We define in particular the effective Hamiltonian which is the principal object of our work. Section 5 contains the proof of the main theorem on the number of bound states in a gap of the essential spectrum.

1.3. Comments. The technics used in [13] and [4] cannot be used here because there is no symbolic calculus with symbols in Sobolev-Shubin classes. Instead we must use phase-space analysis technics (see Section 3). Similarly the precise asymptotics for Ψ DO's with negative order smooth symbols in Hörmander-Shubin classes proved in [5] and used in [13] and [4] cannot be used. Instead we use the results for eigenvalues of Ψ DO with symbol in Sobolev-Shubin classes in [11].

Moreover it can be noted that the operator H_0 is unitary equivalent to the operator L selfadjoint realization of the partial differential operator

$$\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{2}y\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2}x\right)^2 .$$

This operator is called twisted Laplacian and plays a major role in harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group. The spectral analysis of the operators L and H_0 is easily performed with the special Hermite functions (see Section 2.4 for the definitions).

1.4. Notations. For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, (f, g) denotes the standard scalar product in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$; it is linear in the first argument.

The standard symplectic form on \mathbf{R}^{2d} is defined by $\sigma(x, \xi; y, \eta) := \xi \cdot y - x \cdot \eta$. Let J be the linear map on \mathbf{R}^{2d} defined by $J(x, \xi) := (\xi, -x)$; J is a symplectic map and $\sigma(x, \xi; y, \eta) = J(x, \xi) \cdot (y, \eta)$ where $u \cdot v$ denote the standard scalar product on \mathbf{R}^d . For $z = (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ we set $\bar{z} := (x, -\xi)$.

Let us recall some standard notations in spectral theory. For a bounded operator T on a Hilbert space with range $R(T) := T(\mathbf{H})$, $\text{rank } T$ is the dimension of $R(T)$, $N(T)$ is the kernel of T , i.e. $\{x \in \mathbf{H} : Tx = 0\}$, $\|T\|$ is the standard norm on $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H})$ and T^* is the adjoint of T . Let A be a selfadjoint operator acting in a Hilbert space \mathbb{H} . The sets $\rho(A)$, $\sigma(A)$, $\sigma_{ess}(A)$, $\sigma_{disc}(A)$ are respectively the resolvent set, the spectrum, the essential spectrum and the discrete spectrum of A . For $\Omega \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R})$, the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbf{R} , $E_\Omega(A)$ is the spectral projection corresponding to the Borel set Ω . If Ω is a relatively compact Borel subset inside an open gap of the essential spectrum of A , then $\text{rank } E_\Omega(A)$ is the (finite) number of eigenvalues, counted with multiplicity, of A lying in Ω . Let T be a compact selfadjoint operator in \mathbb{H} , $\lambda_j^+(T)$ be the positive eigenvalues of T , arranged in descending order, counting multiplicity. For $s > 0$ we set

$$n_+(s, T) := \text{card } \{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ : \lambda_j^+(T) > s\} .$$

For any compact operator T we define the singular values of T by

$$s_j(T) := \lambda_j^+(T^*T)^{1/2}, \quad j \geq 1 .$$

For $p \geq 1$ the compact operator T belongs to the Schatten class $\mathbf{S}_p(\mathbf{H})$ if

$$\|T\|_p := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} s_j(T)^p \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite.

For f belonging to the Schwartz class $S(\mathbf{R}^d)$, the Fourier transform of f , denoted by $\mathcal{F}f$ or \widehat{f} , is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} f(x) dx .$$

The partial Fourier transforms are defined respectively by

$$\mathcal{F}_1 F(\xi, y) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot x} F(x, y) dx$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_2 F(x, \eta) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-i\eta \cdot y} F(x, y) dy$$

for F in the Schwartz class $S(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$; $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_2$ are the inverse partial Fourier transforms.

For a complex-valued function f defined on \mathbf{R}^d , we put $f^*(x) := \overline{f(-x)}$.

2. FOURIER-WIGNER TRANSFORM

2.1. Basic properties. Let R be the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group defined by

$$R(z, t) = e^{it} \rho(z)$$

with

$$\rho(z) \cdot f(y) := e^{\frac{i}{2}x \cdot \xi} e^{ix \cdot y} f(y + \xi), \quad z = (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}, \quad y \in \mathbf{R}^d, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) .$$

The composition law for the projective representation ρ is the following:

$$\rho(z_1) \circ \rho(z_2) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z_1, z_2)} \rho(z_1 + z_2), \quad z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{2d} . \quad (2.1)$$

It follows that

$$\rho(z)^* = \rho(z)^{-1} = \rho(-z) . \quad (2.2)$$

If $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we define the Fourier-Wigner transform $V(f, g)$ by

$$V(f, g)(z) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\rho(z)f, g), \quad z \in \mathbf{R}^{2d} .$$

Proposition 2.1. (i) For all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we have

$$V(f, g)(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{ix \cdot y} f\left(y + \frac{1}{2}\xi\right) \overline{g\left(y - \frac{1}{2}\xi\right)} dy .$$

(ii) Let U_L be the mixing operator defined on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ by

$$U_L F(x, \xi) := F\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\xi, x - \frac{1}{2}\xi\right) .$$

Then, for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we have

$$V(f, g) = \overline{\mathcal{F}}_1 U_L (f \otimes \bar{g}) .$$

(iii) (The Moyal identity) For all $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we have

$$(V(f_1, g_1), V(f_2, g_2)) = (f_1, f_2) \overline{(g_1, g_2)} .$$

(iv)

$$V(g, f) = V(f, g)^* \quad f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

Furthermore if the functions f and g are real-valued, if $z = (x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ and $\bar{z} = (x, -\xi)$, then

$$V(g, f)(z) = V(f, g)(\bar{z}).$$

Proof. (i) [17] p.10; (ii) follows from (i); (iii) is a consequence of (ii) since U_L and \mathcal{F}_1 are unitary operators.

We prove now (iv): by definition and (2.2), we have

$$V(f, g)(-z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\rho(-z)f, g) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(f, \rho(z)g),$$

hence

$$\overline{V(f, g)(-z)} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\rho(z)g, f) = V(g, f)(z),$$

which proves the first part of (iv). Furthermore

$$\overline{V(f, g)(-z)} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\overline{\rho(-z)f}, g) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int \overline{\rho(-z)f} g dy.$$

A straightforward verification proves that for all $z \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we have $\overline{\rho(z)f} = \rho(-\bar{z})\bar{f}$. Consequently

$$\overline{V(f, g)(-z)} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int \rho(\bar{z})\bar{f}(y) g(y) dy.$$

If furthermore f and g are real-valued, then

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{V(f, g)(-z)} &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int \rho(\bar{z})f(y) \bar{g}(y) dy \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}(\rho(\bar{z})f, g) \\ &= V(f, g)(\bar{z}). \end{aligned}$$

□

By easy computations taking into account (2.1), we obtain the following

Lemma 2.1. *For all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ we have*

$$V(\rho(z_1)f, \rho(z_2)g)(z) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z_1, z_2)} e^{i\sigma(z, \frac{1}{2}(z_1+z_2))} V(f, g)(z + (z_1 - z_2)).$$

From this lemma we deduce the following result which is similar to formula (14.34) in [9].

Proposition 2.2.

$$V(V(f, g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))(z, \zeta) = V(f, \phi_1) \left(Jz + \frac{1}{2}\zeta \right) \overline{V(g, \phi_1) \left(Jz - \frac{1}{2}\zeta \right)}.$$

Proof. By definition of the Fourier-Wigner transform we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(V(f, g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))(z, \zeta) &:= (2\pi)^{-d} (\rho(z, \zeta) \cdot V(f, g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} e^{\frac{i}{2}z \cdot \zeta} \int V(f, g)(w + \zeta) \overline{e^{-iz \cdot w} V(\phi_1, \phi_2)(w)} dw . \end{aligned}$$

As particular cases of Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{cases} V(f, g)(w + \zeta) = V(\rho(\frac{1}{2}\zeta) f, \rho(-\frac{1}{2}\zeta) g)(w) \\ e^{-iz \cdot w} V(\phi_1, \phi_2)(w) = V(\rho(-Jz)\phi_1, \rho(-Jz)\phi_2)(w) \end{cases}$$

By use of the Moyal identity, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} V(V(f, g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))(z, \zeta) &= \\ (2\pi)^{-d} e^{\frac{i}{2}z \cdot \zeta} \left(\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right) f, \rho(-Jz) \cdot \phi_1 \right) \overline{\left(\rho\left(-\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right) g, \rho(-Jz) \cdot \phi_2 \right)} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} e^{\frac{i}{2}z \cdot \zeta} \left(\rho(Jz)\rho\left(\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right) f, \phi_1 \right) \overline{\left(\rho(Jz)\rho\left(-\frac{1}{2}\zeta\right) g, \phi_2 \right)} \end{aligned}$$

and by a new application of (2.1) and a simplification of the complex exponents, we obtain the announced equality. \square

We define the Wigner transform of $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by:

$$W(f, g)(x, \xi) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int e^{-i\xi \cdot y} f\left(x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \overline{g\left(x - \frac{1}{2}y\right)} dy .$$

Proposition 2.3. *For all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we have*

$$W(f, g) = \mathcal{F}_2 U_L(f \otimes \bar{g}) = \mathcal{F}(V(f, g)) .$$

Proof. For the first equality, see [9]. The second equality results of Proposition 2.1 since $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{F}_1$. \square

Proposition 2.4.

$$W(V(f, g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))(z, \zeta) = W(f, \phi_1)\left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz\right) \overline{W(g, \phi_2)\left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}Jz\right)}$$

Proof. By the last proposition we get

$$\begin{aligned} W(V(f, g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))(z, \zeta) &= (2\pi)^{-2d} \int e^{-i(z, \zeta) \cdot (v, w)} V(V(f, g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))(v, w) dv dw \\ &= (2\pi)^{-2d} \int e^{-i(z \cdot v + \zeta \cdot w)} V(f, \phi_1)\left(Jv + \frac{1}{2}w\right) \overline{V(g, \phi_1)\left(Jv - \frac{1}{2}w\right)} dv dw . \end{aligned}$$

We consider the change of variables defined by

$$\begin{cases} Jv + \frac{1}{2}w &= v' \\ Jv - \frac{1}{2}w &= w' \end{cases}$$

Then

$$z.v + \zeta.w = \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz\right).v' + \left(-\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz\right).w'.$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & W(V(f, g), V(\phi_1, \phi_2))(z, \zeta) = \\ & (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{-i(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz).v'} V(f, \phi_1)(v') dv' (2\pi)^{-d} \int e^{i(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}Jz).w'} V(g, \phi_2)(w') dw' \\ & = W(f, \phi_1) \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}Jz\right) \overline{W(g, \phi_2) \left(\zeta - \frac{1}{2}Jz\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.2. Weyl transform. We summarize the main properties of the Weyl quantization we will use. We omit certain proofs for classical results (see [17]).

Theorem 2.1. *There exists a unique bounded operator $W : L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d}) \mapsto \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbf{R}^d))$ with the following properties :*

(i) *Denoting $W(a) = Op^w(a)$, then for all $a \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we have*

$$(Op^w(a)f, g) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int \widehat{a}(z) V(f, g)(z) dz$$

and

$$\|Op^w(a)\| \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|a\|.$$

(ii) *Furthermore*

$$(Op^w(a)f, g) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int a(z) W(f, g)(z) dz = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (a, W(g, f))$$

and

$$(Op^w(\widehat{a})f, g) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (a, V(g, f))$$

(iii) *For all $a \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ the operator $Op^w(a)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Let k be the kernel of this operator; then*

$$a = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathcal{F}_2 U_L k.$$

In particular

$$\|Op^w(a)\|_{S_2} = \|k\|_{L^2} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|a\|_{L^2}.$$

Remark Let $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. We put

$$\Pi_{\psi, \phi} f := (f, \phi) \psi.$$

Then $\Pi_{\psi, \phi} = S_k = Op^w(a)$ with $a = W(\psi, \phi)$ and $k = \psi \otimes \bar{\phi}$.

The following proposition will be particularly useful in the next section.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $a \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then*

$$V(Op^w(a)f, g) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} Op^w(\tilde{a}).V(f, g)$$

where $\tilde{a}(x, \xi) := a(\xi + \frac{1}{2}Jx)$.

Proof. The subspace generated by the Fourier-Wigner transforms $V(\phi, \psi)$ when $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Thus it suffices to prove that for all $\phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$

$$(V(\text{Op}^w(a)f, g), V(\phi, \psi)) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} (\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a}).V(f, g), V(\phi, \psi)) .$$

By Theorem 2.1 (ii), Proposition 2.4 and a linear change of variables, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a}).V(f, g), V(\phi, \psi)) = (2\pi)^{-d} (\tilde{a}, W(V(\phi, \psi), V(f, g))) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a\left(\xi + \frac{1}{2}Jx\right) \overline{W(\phi, f)\left(\xi + \frac{1}{2}Jx\right)} W(\psi, g)\left(\xi - \frac{1}{2}Jx\right) dx d\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} \iint a(u) \overline{W(\phi, f)(u)} W(\psi, g)(v) dudv \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} (a, W(\phi, f)) \int W(\psi, g)(v) dv . \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\int W(\psi, g)(v) dv = \int 1(v) W(\psi, g)(v) dv = (\psi, g) = \overline{(g, \psi)} .$$

Therefore by Proposition 2.1(ii) and the Moyal identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a})V(f, g), V(\phi, \psi)) &= (2\pi)^{-d} (a, W(\phi, f)) \overline{(g, \psi)} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\text{Op}^w(a)f, \phi) \overline{(g, \psi)} \\ &= \left((2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} V(\text{Op}^w(a)f, g), V(\phi, \psi) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

□

2.3. Twisted convolution. Let F and G be measurable functions defined on \mathbf{R}^{2d} . We define the twisted convolution $F *_\sigma G$ of F and G by

$$(F *_\sigma G)(z) := \int F(z-w)G(w)e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z,w)}dw, \quad z \in \mathbf{R}^{2d} \quad (2.3)$$

$$= \int F(w)G(z-w)e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z,w)}dw \quad (2.4)$$

whenever the function of w in the integral is integrable. The notation $F \natural G$ is also used. The following property is classical ([6]).

Proposition 2.6. *If $F, G \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, then so is $F *_\sigma G$ and*

$$\|F *_\sigma G\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq \|F\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \|G\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} .$$

Before stating the next proposition we must recall some classical notations. Let $\omega : \mathbf{R}^{2d} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a submultiplicative weight defined on \mathbf{R}^{2d} , which means that for all $z, w \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$

$$\omega(z+w) \leq \omega(z)\omega(w) .$$

Let $s \in \mathbf{R}$; the standard weight ω_s is defined on \mathbf{R}^{2d} by

$$\omega_s(x, \xi) = \omega_s(z) = (1 + |z|)^s$$

is submultiplicative for $s \geq 0$.

A weight v is said to be ω -moderate if for all $z \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$

$$v(z+w) \leq Cv(z)\omega(w) .$$

We frequently use the weight v_s defined by

$$v_s(z) := (1 + |z|^2)^{s/2} .$$

Let $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$. The weighted mixed Lebesgue space $L_\omega^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is the set of all measurable functions F for which

$$\|F\|_{L_\omega^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} := \left(\int \left(\int |F(x, \xi)|^p \omega(x, \xi)^p dx \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right)^{1/q} .$$

is finite. We note L_ω^p in the case where $p = q$.

The following property is known ([9]); we recall it for further explicit references.

Proposition 2.7. *Let p satisfying $1 \leq p < +\infty$, v a submultiplicative weight and ω a v -moderate weight. Let $F \in L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, $G \in L_v^1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $z, w \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Denote by T_z the translation operator defined by $T_z F(w) := F(w - z)$ for $F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then*

(i) $T_z(F) \in L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and

$$\|T_z(F)\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq Cv(z)\|F\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} .$$

(ii) The function $F * G$ belongs to $L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and

$$\|F * G\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq C\|F\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}\|G\|_{L_v^1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} .$$

(iii) The same result is true when convolution is replaced by twisted convolution in (ii): the function $F *_\sigma G$ belongs to $L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and

$$\|F *_\sigma G\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq C\|F\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}\|G\|_{L_v^1(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} .$$

Proof. (i) Since the weight ω is v -moderate

$$\begin{aligned} \int |T_z(F)|^p \omega(w)^p dw &= \int |F(w - z)|^p \omega(w)^p dw \\ &\leq \int |F(w)|^p \omega(z + w)^p dw \\ &\leq C^p v(z)^p \int |F(w)|^p \omega(w)^p dw . \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since $L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ is invariant by translation, we can define a vector-valued map $\phi : \mathbf{R}^{2d} \mapsto L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ by setting

$$\phi(w) := T_w(F)G(w) .$$

An application of (i) yields to the following inequality

$$\|\phi(w)\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq C\|F\|_{L_\omega^p(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}|G(w)|v(w) .$$

Hence the function ϕ is Bochner integrable and

$$\left\| \int \phi(w)dw \right\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq \int \|\phi(w)\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} dw \leq C \|F\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \|G\|_{L^q_\omega(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}.$$

Since the convolution can be rewritten as the Bochner integral

$$F * G = \int \phi(w)dw$$

we obtain (ii).

(iii) For all $z, w \in \mathbf{R}^{2d}$ we have

$$|(F * G)_\sigma(z)| \leq (|F| * |G|)_\sigma(z).$$

Again with (ii) we obtain that $F * G \in L^p_\omega(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and

$$\|F * G\|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbf{R}^{2d})} \leq \| |F| * |G| \|_{L^p_\omega(\mathbf{R}^{2d})}$$

which proves (iii). □

By use of the twisted convolution, we get a result similar to Proposition 2.5.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $a \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then*

$$V(\text{Op}^w(\widehat{a})f, g) = (2\pi)^{-d} a *_\sigma V(f, g).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1 (ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V(\text{Op}^w(\widehat{a})f, g)(z) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\rho(z) \text{Op}^w(\widehat{a})f, g) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\text{Op}^w(\widehat{a})f, \rho(-z)g) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} (a, V(\rho(-z)g, f)). \end{aligned}$$

But by Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} V(\rho(-z)g, f)(w) &= e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sigma(w, z)} V(g, f)(w - z) \\ &= e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z, w)} \overline{V(f, g)(z - w)}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} V(\text{Op}^w(\widehat{a})f, g) &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int a(w) e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sigma(z, w)} V(f, g)(z - w) dw \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d} a *_\sigma V(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

□

2.4. Special Hermite functions. Let e_n be the Hermite function of order n defined by

$$e_n(x) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}} 2^{-\frac{n}{2}} (n!)^{-\frac{1}{2}} H_n(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

where H_n is the Hermite polynomial of order n .

We define the special Hermite functions by setting

$$e_{i,j} := V(e_i, e_j)$$

for $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

Proposition 2.9. (i) *The system $(e_{i,j})_{i,j}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$.*

(ii) *Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have*

$$e_{q,q}(z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} L_q \left(\frac{1}{2} |z|^2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{4} |z|^2}$$

where L_q is the Laguerre polynomial of degree q and order 0.

(iii) *For all $z \in \mathbf{R}^2$ and $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$*

$$e_{k,j}(z) = e_{j,k}(\bar{z}).$$

Proof. For (i) and (ii), see [17] and (iii) results of Proposition 2.1 (iv). \square

2.5. Modulation spaces. As explained in the introduction, we will be concerned with pseudo-differential operators with symbols in modulation spaces rather than in Hörmander-Shubin classes. We state the definitions and results we need in the following.

First at all, we recall the definition of the Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT). Let $g \in S(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ we define the STFT $V_g f$ by

$$V_g f(x, \xi) := (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int f(t) \overline{g(t-x)} e^{-it \cdot \xi} dt.$$

The modulation space $M_{\omega}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is the set of all tempered distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d)$ for which the short-time Fourier transform $V_g f \in L_{\omega}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. This space equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{M_{\omega}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^d)} := \left(\int \left(\int |V_g f(x, \xi)|^p \omega(x, \xi)^p dx \right)^{q/p} d\xi \right)^{1/q}$$

is a Banach space. We note L_{ω}^p , resp. M_{ω}^p , in the case where $p = q$. The definition of $M_{\omega}^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is independent of the choice of the window $g \in S(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ and the norms corresponding to different choices of the window are equivalent. In particular we will use the following spaces

$$L_{v_s}^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^{2d}), M_{v_s}^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^d), L_{\omega_s}^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$$

abbreviated respectively by L_s^2 , M_s^2 and L_s^1 (the weights v_s and ω_s are defined in section 2.3).

Lemma 2.2. *$f \in M_s^2$ if and only if $V(f, g) \in L_s^2$ and for all $f \in M_s^2$ we have*

$$\|f\|_{M_s^2} = \|V(f, g)\|_{L_s^2}.$$

Proof. The Fourier-Wigner $V(f, g)$ and the STFT $V_g f$ are connected by the relation

$$V(f, g)(x, \xi) = e^{-ix \cdot \xi} V_g f(\xi, -x) .$$

Furthermore $v_s(\xi, -x) = v_s(x, \xi)$; then by using the (symplectic) change of variables $(x, \xi) \mapsto (\xi, -x)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{M_s^2}^2 &= \|V_g f\|_{L_s^2}^2 = \iint |V_g f(\xi, -x)|^2 v_s(\xi, -x)^2 dx d\xi \\ &= \iint |V_g f(x, \xi)|^2 v_s(x, \xi)^2 dx d\xi = \|V(f, g)\|_{L_s^2}^2 . \end{aligned}$$

□

It happens that the modulation space $M_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ coincide with the Sobolev-Shubin space $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ initially defined in [16] as a Sobolev space corresponding to pseudo-differential calculus with symbols in Hörmander-Shubin classes (see the remark below). This space is defined for $s \geq 0$ by

$$Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d) := \{f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) : \text{Op}_{\psi_0}^{aw}(v_s)f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\}$$

where $\text{Op}_{\psi_0}^{aw}(v_s)$ is the Anti-Wick operator with symbol v_s and Gaussian window defined by

$$\psi_0(x) := \pi^{-d/4} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^2}{2}\right) .$$

Lemma 2.3. [2, lemma 2.3] *For all $s \geq 0$ $M_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ coincide with $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and the norms are equivalent.*

Combining the two preceding lemma, we obtain immediatly the following result.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $s \geq 0$. Then $f \in Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ if and only if $V(f, g) \in L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$. Furthermore, there exists $C_s > 0$ such that for all $f \in Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$*

$$C_s^{-1} \|V(f, g)\|_{L_s^2} \leq \|f\|_{Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq C_s \|V(f, g)\|_{L_s^2} .$$

Remark For $s = m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the Sobolev-Shubin space is defined by

$$Q^m(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d); x^\alpha D^\beta u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d), |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq m\} .$$

Another explicit characterization of $M_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is (see [9], [11])

$$M_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d) = L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \cap H^s(\mathbf{R}^d) .$$

3. SOME RESULTS OF PHASE-SPACE ANALYSIS

Let U be an isometry from a Hilbert space \mathbb{H}_1 into an other \mathbb{H}_2 ; denote by G its range $G := U(\mathbb{H}_1)$. It is well known that G is a closed subspace of \mathbb{H}_2 and that, if P is the orthogonal projection on G , then $U^*U = I_{\mathbb{H}_1}$ and $UU^* = P$.

Let $g \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$ satisfying $\|g\|_2 = 1$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ define $\mathcal{V}_g(f) := V(f, g)$.

Proposition 3.1. *The map \mathcal{V}_g is an isometry from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ on a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and if P_g is the orthogonal projection on this closed subspace, then $\mathcal{V}_g^* \mathcal{V}_g = I_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)}$ and $\mathcal{V}_g \mathcal{V}_g^* = P_g$.*

Proof. \mathcal{V}_g is an isometry by the Moyal identity (Proposition 2.1 (iii)) and the assumption on g . We apply then the result above to the isometry $U = \mathcal{V}_g$. \square

The following results are inspired by [7] and [8] Chapter 18; the isometry \mathcal{V}_g plays the role of the windowed wavepacket transform W_ϕ in [8] p. 299.

Proposition 3.2. *Let be $F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}_g^*(F) = (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \text{Op}^w(\mathcal{F}F) \cdot g .$$

Proof. Let $h \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. By (ii) of Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 we get

$$(\text{Op}^w(\mathcal{F}F) \cdot g, h) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (\mathcal{F}F, W(h, g)) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (F, V(h, g)) .$$

On the other hand

$$(\mathcal{V}_g^*(F), h) = (F, \mathcal{V}_g(h)) = (F, V(h, g)) .$$

\square

Corollary 3.1. *Under the same assumption on F and g , we have $\mathcal{V}_g^*(F) = S_k g$ where S_k is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator with kernel*

$$k(x, y) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \mathcal{F}_1 F \left(\frac{1}{2}(x + y), x - y \right) .$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.1 (iii) :

$$\text{Op}^w(\mathcal{F}F) = S_k$$

with

$$k = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} U_L^{-1} \overline{\mathcal{F}_2}(\mathcal{F}F) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} U_L^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1(F))$$

and

$$U_L^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_1(F))(x, y) = \mathcal{F}_1 F \left(\frac{1}{2}(x + y), x - y \right) .$$

\square

We deduce in particular

Corollary 3.2. *Under the same assumptions as in Corollary 3.1 we have*

$$(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int F \left(\frac{1}{2}(x + y), x - y \right) g(y) dy = \mathcal{V}_g^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}_1} F)(x) \quad (\text{a.e. in } \mathbf{R}^d) .$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let be $F \in L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then $\mathcal{V}_g^*(F)$ belongs to $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and there is $C_s > 0$ such that*

$$\|\mathcal{V}_g^*(F)\|_{Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} C_s \|V(g, g)\|_{L_s^1} \|F\|_{L_s^2} .$$

Proof. Put $f := \mathcal{V}_g^*(F) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Applying Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 2.8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_g(f) &= \mathcal{V}_g(\mathcal{V}_g^*(F)) \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \mathcal{V}_g(\text{Op}^w(\mathcal{F}F).g) \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} V(\text{Op}^w(\mathcal{F}F).g, g) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} F *_\sigma V(g, g). \end{aligned}$$

And now we conclude from Proposition 2.7 (iii) that $\mathcal{V}_g(f) \in L^2_s(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$ and

$$\|\mathcal{V}_g(f)\|_{L^2_s} \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|V(g, g)\|_{L^1_s} \|F\|_{L^2_s},$$

or equivalently by Proposition 2.10 that $f \in Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and

$$\|f\|_{Q^s(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq C_s \|\mathcal{V}_g(f)\|_{L^2_s} \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} C_s \|V(g, g)\|_{L^1_s} \|F\|_{L^2_s}.$$

□

The next result is a consequence of Proposition 2.5; we use the same notations.

Proposition 3.4. *We suppose that the symbol a is real-valued and therefore that the operator $\text{Op}^w(a)$ is self-adjoint. The operators $\text{Op}^w(a)$ and $\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a})$ have the same eigenvalues. If f is an eigenfunction of $\text{Op}^w(a)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ , then for all $g \in S(\mathbf{R}^d)$ the function $F := \mathcal{V}_g(f)$ is an eigenfunction of $\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a})$ corresponding to the same eigenvalue.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 we have

$$\mathcal{V}_g \circ \text{Op}^w(a) = \text{Op}^w(\tilde{a}) \circ \mathcal{V}_g. \quad (3.1)$$

Let λ be an eigenvalue of $\text{Op}^w(a)$, let f be in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\text{Op}^w(a)f = \lambda f$ and $F := \mathcal{V}_g(f)$. We have $\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a}).F = \lambda F$, and since $\|F\| = \|f\| > 0$ we deduce that λ is an eigenvalue of $\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a})$ and F is an eigenfunction of $\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a})$.

Let now λ be an eigenvalue of $\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a})$ and let $F \neq 0 \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ be an eigenfunction. Taking adjoint operators in (3.1), it results that $\mathcal{V}_g^* \circ \text{Op}^w(\tilde{a}) = \text{Op}^w(a) \circ \mathcal{V}_g^*$. Since $\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a})F = \lambda F$, we get that $\text{Op}^w(a)\mathcal{V}_g^*F = \lambda\mathcal{V}_g^*F$ for all $g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{V}_g^*F = 0$ for all $g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$; then $F \in \text{N}(\mathcal{V}_g^*) = \text{R}(\mathcal{V}_g)^\perp$, hence $(F, V(f, g)) = 0$ for all $f, g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$; in particular $(F, V(e_j, e_k)) = (F, e_{j,k}) = 0$. Since $(e_{j,k})$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, we deduce that $F = 0$ which is not possible. Therefore there exists $g \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\mathcal{V}_g^*(F)$ is not the null function and this proves that λ is an eigenvalue of $\text{Op}^w(a)$ and that F is a corresponding eigenfunction. □

4. PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL PERTURBATION: THE HILBERT-SCHMIDT CASE

We will now apply the results proved in the previous section to the Landau Hamiltonian perturbed by a Hilbert-Schmidt Ψ DO operator.

4.1. The Landau Hamiltonian. We suppose $d = 1$ and $a(q, p) = q^2 + p^2$ for $(q, p) \in \mathbf{R}^2$. The DO $\text{Op}^w(a)$, initially defined on $S(\mathbf{R})$, is essentially self-adjoint and its unique realization as unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ is the harmonic oscillator h_0 . It is well known that $\sigma(h_0) = \{2q + 1; q \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $h_0(e_q) = (2q + 1)e_q$ and $\text{Ker}(h_0 - (2q + 1)I) = \text{Vect}(e_q)$, where e_q is the Hermite function of order q .

With the notations of section 3, the symbol \tilde{a} associated to a is defined by $\tilde{a}(x, \xi) = a(\xi + \frac{1}{2}Jx)$; more precisely here

$$\tilde{a}(x_1, x_2, \xi_1, \xi_2) = a\left(\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2, \xi_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1\right) = \left(\xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2\right)^2 + \left(\xi_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1\right)^2.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Op}^w(\tilde{a}) = \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}y\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2}x\right)^2.$$

We deduce from Proposition (3.4) that

$$\sigma(H_0) = \sigma(h_0) = \{2q + 1; q \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Let E_q^0 be the eigenspace of H_0 corresponding to the eigenvalue $\Lambda_q = 2q + 1$:

$$E_q^0 := \text{Ker}(H_0 - \Lambda_q I).$$

We know again from Proposition 3.4 that, since e_q is an eigenfunction of h_0 with respect to the eigenvalue Λ_q , then $\mathcal{V}_{e_j}(e_q) = e_{q,j}$ is an eigenfunction of H_0 for the same eigenvalue:

$$H_0 e_{q,j} = (2q + 1)e_{q,j}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $q \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ be fixed and let $\text{Vect}(\{e_{q,j}; j \in \mathbf{Z}_+\})$ be the subspace of $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ spanned by the special Hermite functions $e_{q,j}$ for $j \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. Then*

$$E_q^0 = \overline{\text{Vect}(\{e_{q,j}; j \in \mathbf{Z}_+\})}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the inclusion $E_q^0 \subseteq \overline{\text{Vect}(\{e_{q,j}; j \in \mathbb{N}\})}$. Let be $F \in E_q^0$; we have $\mathcal{V}_g^* \circ H_0 = h_0 \circ \mathcal{V}_g^*$ and $H_0 F = (2q + 1)F$, from which we deduce $(2q + 1)\mathcal{V}_g^* F = h_0 \mathcal{V}_g^* F$, thereby $\mathcal{V}_g^* F \in \text{Ker}(h_0 - (2q + 1)I) = \text{Vect}(e_q)$. In particular $\mathcal{V}_{e_j}^* F \in \text{Vect}(e_q)$. But for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(\mathcal{V}_{e_j}^* F, e_k) = (F, V(e_k, e_j)) = (F, e_{k,j}).$$

Since $\mathcal{V}_{e_j}^*(F) \in \text{Vect}(e_q)$, we deduce that $(F, e_{k,j}) = 0$ for $k \neq q$ and then

$$F = \sum_k \sum_j (F, e_{k,j}) e_{k,j} = \sum_j (F, e_{q,j}) e_{q,j}$$

which proves that $F \in \text{Vect}(\{e_{q,j}; j \in \mathbb{N}\})$. \square

Next we wish to express E_q^0 with \mathcal{V}_{e_q} and factorise \mathbb{P}_q the orthogonal projection on E_q^0 . Recall that $R(\mathcal{V}_{e_q}) = \text{Vect}(\{e_{j,q}; j \in \mathbb{N}\}) := E_q$. Let be U the unitary involutive operator defined on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ by

$$U F(z) := F(\bar{z}), \quad F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2), \quad z \in \mathbf{R}^2$$

or equivalently $U F(x_1, x_2) = F(x_1, -x_2)$.

By Proposition 2.1, for all $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ we have $e_{j,k}(\bar{z}) = e_{k,j}(z)$ or $U(e_{j,q}) = e_{q,j}$. We deduce that $U(E_q) = E_q^0$. Let P_q be the orthogonal projection on E_q ; we have $P_q = \mathcal{V}_{e_q} \mathcal{V}_{e_q}^*$; therefore $UP_q U^* = \mathbb{P}_q$. Define now

$$\mathbb{V}_{e_q} := U \mathcal{V}_{e_q} . \quad (4.1)$$

Then

$$\mathbb{P}_q = \mathbb{V}_{e_q} \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* . \quad (4.2)$$

4.2. Hilbert-Schmidt perturbation. Let be $b \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$ a real-valued symbol and $V := \text{Op}^w(b)$ the Ψ DO with Weyl symbol b . Since b is square-integrable, V is an Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Hence the operators VH_0^{-1} and

$$T_q := \mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$$

are also Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$. The last operator is the effective Hamiltonian corresponding to the perturbed operator $H_0 - V$ and to the Landau level Λ_q as we will see in the next section.

Our first goal is to show that the operator T_q has the same spectrum as a Ψ DO operator S_q on $L^2(\mathbf{R})$ easier to study. We can first give an abstract result.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $U : \mathbb{H}_1 \mapsto \mathbb{H}_2$ be an isometry, $S = S^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}_1)$ and $T = T^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H}_2)$. We suppose that*

$$T := U S U^* .$$

Then the operators S and T have the same non-zero eigenvalues; more precisely for all $\lambda \neq 0$ and for all $u \in \mathbb{H}_1$, u is an eigenvector of S corresponding to the eigenvalue λ iff Uu is an eigenvector of T corresponding to the same eigenvalue.

Proof. Let be $u \in \mathbb{H}_1 \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ such that $Su = \lambda u$. We set $v := Uu$:

$$Tv = (U S U^*)Uu = USu = \lambda Uu = \lambda v$$

and since v and u have the same norm, then v is non null, and λ is an eigenvalue and v an eigenvector of T .

Conversely, suppose $Tv = \lambda v$ with λ and v non null and let be $u := U^*v$. Then, composing on the left hand side by U^* , we obtain that $Su = \lambda u$. Furthermore, if $u = 0$, then $Tv = (US)(u) = 0$ and since $Tv = \lambda v$, we deduce $\lambda v = 0$, hence $v = 0$ since $\lambda \neq 0$, which contradicts the assumption $v \neq 0$. \square

We will apply this result to our problem by considering the operator

$$S_q := \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* V \mathbb{V}_{e_q} .$$

The operator T_q defined above can be rewrited since

$$T_q = \mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q = \mathbb{V}_{e_q} \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* V \mathbb{V}_{e_q} \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* = \mathbb{V}_{e_q} S_q \mathbb{V}_{e_q}^* .$$

Furthermore $\mathbb{V}_{e_q} = U \mathcal{V}_{e_q}$ is also an isometry. Applying the latest proposition, we obtain that the operators S_q and T_q have the same non-zero eigenvalues.

We will now prove that the operator S_q is a Ψ DO and determine its Weyl-symbol. By definition of S_q and by Theorem 2.1 (ii) we have

$$(S_q f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint b(x, y; \xi, \eta) W(V(f, e_q), V(g, e_q))(x, -y; \xi, -\eta) dx dy d\xi d\eta .$$

By Proposition (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & W(V(f, e_q), V(g, e_q))(x, -y, \xi, -\eta) = \\ & W(f, g) \left(\xi - \frac{1}{2}y, -\eta - \frac{1}{2}x \right) W(e_q, e_q) \left(\xi + \frac{1}{2}y, -\eta + \frac{1}{2}x \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Making use of a change of variables, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & (S_q f, g) = \\ & \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint \left[\iint b\left(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta)\right) W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi) dx d\xi \right] W(f, g)(y, \eta) dy d\eta \end{aligned}$$

therefore S_q is a Ψ DO with Weyl symbol γ_q defined by

$$\gamma_q(y, \eta) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \iint b\left(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta)\right) W(e_q, e_q)(x, \xi) dx d\xi . \quad (4.3)$$

Let us define $F \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$ by

$$F(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) := b(-v_2, -v_1, u_1, -u_2) \quad (4.4)$$

We put $w = (x, \xi)$ et $z = (y, \eta)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F\left(\frac{1}{2}(z + w), z - w\right) &= F\left(\frac{1}{2}(x + y), \frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta), -(x - y), -(\xi - \eta)\right) \\ &= b\left(\xi - \eta, x - y, \frac{1}{2}(x + y), -\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta)\right) , \end{aligned}$$

hence by (4.3)

$$\gamma_q(z) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \iint F\left(\frac{1}{2}(z + w), z - w\right) W(e_q, e_q)(w) dw$$

and by Corollary 3.2

$$\gamma_q = \mathcal{V}_{W(e_q, e_q)}^*(\overline{\mathcal{F}_1 F}) .$$

If we set $\Lambda(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) := (-v_2, -v_1, -u_1, u_2)$, we obtain easily

$$\gamma_q = \mathcal{V}_{W(e_q, e_q)}^*(\mathcal{F}_2 b \circ \Lambda) .$$

Suppose now that $\mathcal{F}_2 b \in L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$. The function space $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$ is invariant by linear change of variables; thus $\mathcal{F}_2 b \circ \Lambda$ is also in $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$. According to Proposition 3.3 we deduce that

$$\gamma_q = \mathcal{V}_{W(e_q, e_q)}^*(\mathcal{F}_2 b \circ \Lambda) \quad (4.5)$$

belongs to $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^2)$. We have therefore prove the following result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $b \in L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_2 b$ belongs to $L_s^2(\mathbf{R}^4)$. Then $S_q = \text{Op}^w(\gamma_q)$ with γ_q , defined by (4.5), belonging to $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^2)$.*

We can now achieve the proof of Theorem 1.1. We recall first the following result about Schatten class properties for Ψ DO with symbols in Shubin-Sobolev classes obtained by C. Heil in [11]. Let $s \geq 0$ be given and let $a \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$. Define the operator $L := \text{Op}^w(a)$. By Theorem 2.1 we know that L is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator; let $s_j(L)$ be the singular values of L , arranged in descending order, counting multiplicity.

Proposition 4.4. *If the symbol a lies in $Q^s(\mathbf{R}^2)$, then*

$$s_j(L) = O\left(j^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}\right).$$

Consequently $L \in S_p(L^2(\mathbf{R}))$ for $p > 2/(s+1)$. In particular L is trace-class if $s > 1$.

From this result we deduce that, with the same hypothesis as in Proposition 4.3, the singular values of $S_q = \text{Op}(\gamma_q)$ verify

$$s_j(S_q) = O\left(j^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}\right).$$

Therefore we obtain the same estimates for the operator T_q since the two operators S_q and T_q have the same non-zero eigenvalues by Proposition 4.2.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

5.1. Reduction to an effective Hamiltonian. The first aim of this section is to prove that the operator $T_q = \mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q$ is the effective Hamiltonian for estimating the number of eigenvalues of the perturbed Landau Hamiltonian near the Landau level Λ_q . We follow [15] but some modifications must be precised.

We recall the classical Weyl inequality ([2], chap. 9).

Lemma 5.1. *Let T_1 and T_2 be linear self-adjoint compact operators in a Hilbert space. Then for each $s_1 > 0$ and $s_2 > 0$*

$$n_+(s_1 + s_2, T_1 + T_2) \leq n_+(s_1, T_1) + n_+(s_2, T_2)$$

holds true.

Let H_0 and V be as in Section 1 and let Λ_q be a fixed Landau level, \mathbb{P}_q be the corresponding spectral projection and $\mathbb{Q}_q := I - \mathbb{P}_q$. For $\lambda \in \rho(H_0)$ we set

$$T(\lambda) := V^{1/2}(H_0 - \lambda)^{-1}V^{1/2}.$$

This operator is selfadjoint and compact.

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that the interval $[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]$, $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$ belongs to the gap $(\Lambda_{q-1}, \Lambda_q)$, then*

$$\text{rank } E_{[\lambda_1, \lambda_2]}(H_0 - V) = n_+(1, T(\lambda_2)) - n_+(1, T(\lambda_1)).$$

For the proof of this result, we refer to [14, Sections 1 and 3], and to the earlier article [1, Proposition 1.6].

Lemma 5.2. *Let E', E be positive real numbers satisfying $\Lambda_{q-1} < E' < 2q$ and $0 < E < 1$. Then*

$$N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V) = n_+ \left(1, V^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + O(1), \quad E \downarrow 0^+.$$

Proof. With these assumptions, the interval $[E', \Lambda_q - E]$ is included in the gap $(\Lambda_{q-1}, \Lambda_q)$. Therefore we can apply Proposition 5.1 :

$$\text{rank } E_{[E', \Lambda_q - E]}(H_0 - V) = n_+(1, T(\Lambda_q - E)) - n_+(1, T(E'))$$

or equivalently with the notations of section 1 :

$$N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V) = n_+(1, T(\Lambda_q - E)) - n_+(1, T(E')) - \dim[\text{Ker}(H_0 - V - E')].$$

But the last two terms in the right-hand side are independent of E . \square

For brevity, we set

$$T_q(E) := T(\Lambda_q - E) = V^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We then write $T_q(E) = T_{1,q}(E) + T_{2,q}(E)$ with

$$\begin{cases} T_{1,q}(E) & := V^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}\mathbb{P}_qV^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ T_{2,q}(E) & := V^{\frac{1}{2}}(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}\mathbb{Q}_qV^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

First we remark that

$$(H_0 - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}\mathbb{P}_q = \sum_{l=0}^{+\infty} (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}\mathbb{P}_l\mathbb{P}_q = E^{-1}\mathbb{P}_q,$$

and so

$$T_{1,q}(E) = E^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{P}_qV^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The operator $T_{1,q}(E)$ is compact, selfadjoint and positive. The operator $T_{2,q}(E)$ can be rewritten as

$$T_{2,q}(E) = \sum_{l \neq q} (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{P}_lV^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proposition 5.2. *For all $s > 0$ we have*

$$n_+(s, T_{2,q}(E)) \leq 4\Lambda_q^2 s^{-2} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}}H_0^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathfrak{S}_2}^2.$$

Proof. The operator $T_{2,q}(E)$ is compact, selfadjoint but not positive. We are led to define

$$\begin{cases} T_{2,q}^+(E) & := \sum_{l > q} (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{P}_lV^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ T_{2,q}^-(E) & := -\sum_{l < q} (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1}V^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{P}_lV^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

Since $0 < E < 1$, we have $\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E < -1$ if $l < q$, and $\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E > 2$ if $l > q$. Consequently the operators $T_{2,q}^+(E)$ and $T_{2,q}^-(E)$ are selfadjoint and positive and

$$T_{2,q}(E) = T_{2,q}^+(E) - T_{2,q}^-(E).$$

By straightforward inequalities we get

$$0 < (\Lambda_l - \Lambda_q + E)^{-1} \leq \Lambda_{q+1} \Lambda_l^{-1} \quad , \quad l > q .$$

Thereby it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{2,q}^+(E)u, u) &\leq \Lambda_{q+1} \sum_{l>q} \Lambda_l^{-1} \left(V^{\frac{1}{2}} P_l V^{\frac{1}{2}} u, u \right) \\ &\leq \Lambda_{q+1} \left((V^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{l \neq q} \Lambda_l^{-1} P_l \right) V^{\frac{1}{2}}) u, u \right) \\ &\leq \Lambda_{q+1} \left((V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}) u, u \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$(T_{2,q}^-(E)u, u) \leq \Lambda_{q-1} \left((V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}) u, u \right) .$$

By assumption the operator V is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Since the operators $V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} V H_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ have the same non-zero eigenvalues, we deduce that $V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. As a consequence of the preceding inequalities, we obtain that $T_{2,q}^+(E)$ and $T_{2,q}^-(E)$ are Hilbert-Schmidt operators and

$$\begin{cases} \|T_{2,q}^+(E)\|_{\mathbf{S}_2} \leq \Lambda_{q+1} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2} \\ \|T_{2,q}^-(E)\|_{\mathbf{S}_2} \leq \Lambda_{q-1} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2} . \end{cases}$$

Since $T_{2,q}(E) = T_{2,q}^+(E) - T_{2,q}^-(E)$, it follows that $T_{2,q}(E) \in \mathbf{S}_2$ and

$$\|T_{2,q}(E)\|_{\mathbf{S}_2} \leq (\Lambda_{q-1} + \Lambda_{q+1}) \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2} \leq 2\Lambda_q \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2},$$

and

$$n_+(s, T_{2,q}(E)) \leq 4\Lambda_q^2 s^{-2} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2}^2 .$$

□

5.2. End of the proof of Theorem 1.2. For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ we deduce from the Weyl inequality that

$$n_+(1, T_q(E)) \leq n_+(1 - \varepsilon, T_{1,q}(E)) + n_+(\varepsilon, T_{2,q}(E)) .$$

For the first term of the right-hand side, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n_+(1 - \varepsilon, T_{1,q}(E)) &= n_+(1 - \varepsilon, E^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{P}_q V^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= n_+((1 - \varepsilon)E, \mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q) . \end{aligned}$$

But by Proposition 4.4

$$\lambda_j(\mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q) = O\left(j^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}\right)$$

or equivalently there is $C_q > 0$ independent of ε and E such that

$$n_+((1 - \varepsilon)E, \mathbb{P}_q V \mathbb{P}_q) \leq C_q (1 - \varepsilon)^{-\frac{2}{s+1}} E^{-\frac{2}{s+1}} .$$

For the second term of the right-hand side, we have by Proposition 5.2

$$n_+(\varepsilon, T_{2,q}(E)) \leq 4\Lambda_q^2 \varepsilon^{-2} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2}^2 .$$

Finally we deduce from the preceding inequalities that

$$E^{\frac{2}{s+1}} n_+(1, T_q(E)) \leq C_q (1 - \varepsilon)^{-\frac{2}{s+1}} + 4\Lambda_q^2 \varepsilon^{-2} E^{\frac{2}{s+1}} \|V^{\frac{1}{2}} H_0^{-1} V^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbf{S}_2}^2 .$$

Consequently

$$\limsup_{E \rightarrow 0^+} E^{\frac{2}{s+1}} n_+(1, T_q(E)) \leq C_q (1 - \varepsilon)^{-\frac{2}{s+1}} ,$$

and letting ε tend to 0^+ we deduce

$$n_+(1, T_q(E)) \leq C_q E^{-\frac{2}{s+1}}$$

or equivalently

$$N(E', \Lambda_q - E; H_0 - V) \leq C_q E^{-\frac{2}{s+1}} .$$

Acknowledgments. The author is thankful to the referee for the numerous corrections and helpful suggestions given for the improvement of the present paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Sh. BIRMAN, *Discrete spectrum in the gaps of a continuous one for perturbations with large coupling constant*, Advances in Soviet Mathematics, vol. 7, AMS, Providence, RI, 1991, pp. 57-74.
- [2] M. Sh. BIRMAN, M.Z. SOLOMYAK, *Spectral Theory of Self-Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1987.
- [3] P. BOGGIATTO, E. CORDERO, K. GRÖCHENIG, *Generalized Anti-Wick operators with symbols in distributional Sobolev spaces*, Integr. equ. oper. theory **48** (2004), 427-442.
- [4] E. CARDENAS, G. RAIKOV, I. TEJEDA, *Spectral properties of Landau Hamiltonians with non-local potentials*, Asympt. analysis **120** (2020), 337-371.
- [5] M. DAUGE, D. ROBERT *Weyl's formula for a class of pseudodifferential operators with negative order on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$* , Pseudodifferential operators (Oberwolfach, 1986) 91-122, LNM **1256**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [6] G. B. FOLLAND *Harmonic Analysis on Phase Space*, Ann. Math. Studies, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
- [7] M. A. de GOSSON, *Spectral properties of a class of generalized Landau operators*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **33** (2008), 2096-2104.
- [8] M. A. de GOSSON, *Symplectic Methods in Harmonic Analysis and in Mathematical Physics*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2011).
- [9] K. GRÖCHENIG, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston (2001).

- [10] K. GRÖCHENIG, C. HEIL, *Modulation spaces as symbol classes for pseudodifferential operators*, 2002.
- [11] C. HEIL, *Integral operators, pseudodifferential operators, and Gabor frames*, Advances in Gabor analysis, H. G. Feichtinger and T. Strohmer eds, Birkhäuser, Boston (2003), 153-169.
- [12] F. LUEF, Z. RAHBANI, *On pseudodifferential operators in generalized Shubin classes and an application to Landau-Weyl operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal. **5** (2011), 59-72.
- [13] G. RAIKOV, *Eigenvalues asymptotics for the Schrödinger operator with homogeneous magnetic potential and decreasing electric potential. I*, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. **15** (1990), 407-434.
- [14] G. RAIKOV, *Asymptotic bounds on the number of the eigenvalues in the gaps of the 2D magnetic Schrödinger operator*, Asympt. An. **16** (1998), 87-98.
- [15] G. RAIKOV, S. WARZEL, *Quasi-classical versus non-classical spectral asymptotics for magnetic Schrödinger operators with decreasing electric potential*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002), 1051-1072.
- [16] M. A. SHUBIN, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1987).
- [17] M. W. WONG, *Weyl transforms*, Springer Verlag, New-York, 1998.
- [18] M. W. WONG, *Weyl transforms, the heat kernel and Green function of a degenerate elliptic operator*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **28** (2005), 271-283.

Current address: 8 allée des Favrières, 44 240, La Chapelle-sur-Erdre, France
E-mail address: `js.barbe2@wanadoo.fr`